

This book explains the origin and dynamic of the West's advanced cultural cancer, and that a necessary goal of this cancer is the eradication of white culture and the white race. Through a brilliant and fearless complex analysis, this book articulates for the first time the truth of racism, the horrific results of multiculturalism, and the reason why United States Democrats have become zealous anti-white racists. It explains the best strategies to arrest the current white genocide, and what you can do to help right now.

"Every sane Westerner is now teeming with anxiety, desperately waiting for someone to defend his culture and the white race by publicly stating salient taboo truths. Smith has defiantly done just that, and a lot more. We no longer need to expose ourselves. We can simply ask that Smith's work be discussed."

— Ralph Shroyer

"Winston Smith is the rarest of men- a righteous, courageous genius." - Michael Rocke

LOVE is TRUTH will set us free.
TabooRaceBook.com



### Leftism Racism White Supremacy White Genocide



Winston Smith

Smit

Leftism Racism White Supremacy White Genocide

# Leftism Racism White Supremacy White Genocide

Winston Smith

### Hello Reader!

I hope you will find this book as relevant as I do. If this is the case, may you please submit a review of this book on Amazon.com? These reviews help the book propagate.

Thank you.

-Winston Smith

"Leftism Racism White Supremacy White Genocide"

Second Edition, Version "c"

Copyright 2020, 2024 by Winston Smith

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced in any form or by any means- whether mechanical, electronic, or otherwise- without permission in writing from Winston Smith, except by a reviewer, who may quote brief passages in a review.

The first edition of this book was titled "The Catastrophe of Multiethnic Society" and published in 2020.

TabooRaceBook.com

### Author's note on this second edition...

- This second edition has incorporated such fundamental and other major changes that it deserves a different title. The title has been changed from "The Catastrophe of Multiethnic Society" to "Leftism Racism White Supremacy White Genocide". The initial work is now depreciated. The ISBN is still the same.
- Most relevantly, the definition of racism has been fundamentally changed, and its investigation has been lengthened.
- 3. The section "Morality" has been extended.
- 4. The plight and consequences of the Jewish race has been properly investigated.
- Nazism was improperly fully condemned in the first edition.
   The consequences of Nazism have now been properly investigated.
- 6. The section on "white supremacy" has been rewritten.
- 7. The George Floyd riots have now been discussed.
- The final sections regarding the solution to the catastrophe of multiracial society and white genocide have been changed and rewritten.
- 9. Some sections have been moved, some text has been edited and also added.
- 10. Regarding footnotes: Ideally this work would be replete with footnotes. I currently don't have the time to undergo this large effort. This is a goal of a future edition.
- 11. It is possible I may continually revise this work within the same edition. Each new revision will be noted as a new "Version" on the first page. This current work is "Second Edition, Version "c"".

This book is dedicated to the hundreds of millions of whites that feel hopeless to the current rabid annihilation of their culture and race.



### **Table of Contents**

```
Author's Note on The Second Edition... iv
Dedication... v
Table of Contents... vii
Preface... xiii
Introduction... 1
Elements Consequential to a Psychologically Healthy Society... 3
        Biological Altruism... 3
        Culture... 5
                 History... 6
                 Religion ... 6
                 Language ... 7
                 Sexuality ... 8
                 Family Unit ... 8
                 Architecture... 9
                 Music... 9
                 Literature... 10
                 Public Awards... 10
                 Commercial Media... 11
                 Sense Of Community... 12
                 National Pride... 12
```

Morality... 13

Without Hate, The Loved Is Destroyed....14

Leftism... p.19

The Leftist Brain... 19

Leftist Flawed Thinking... 22

Leftist Word Manipulation... 26

Why Leftism Thrives... 29

Intellectual Viruses... 33

The Dynamics and Consequences of an Intellectual

Virus... 34

Cultural Marxism: The Diabolical Intellectual Virus... 37

Refutations to Denials of Cultural Marxism... 42

Cultural Marxism Is Not Kindness from

Empathy.... 45

Race Realism... 49

The Catastrophe of Multiracial Society... 65

Racism in Multiracial Society... 65

Jews... 75

The Nazi Catastrophe... 80

The Ruinous Concept of Multiculturalism... 83

The Tragic Politics of The African Race... 84

Cultural Marxism in Multiracial Society... 86

"White Supremacy": Cultural Marxism's White

Genocide	Weapon	92

Immigration Policy in Cultural Marxist Multiracial

Society... 97

Cultural Marxism's Subversion Technique... 104

The Horrific Results of Western Cultural Marxism

Infection... 105

Journalism in Cultural Marxist Multiracial

Society... 105

**Education in Cultural Marxist Multiracial** 

Society... 109

History in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society... 113

The Atlantic Slave Trade and The Plight of

Black Americans... 113

Religion in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society... 127

Sexuality in Cultural Marxist Multiracial

Society... 132

Family in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society... 133

Language in Cultural Marxist Multiracial

Society 134

Music in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society... 136

Literature in Cultural Marxist Multiracial

Society... 136

Visual Art in Cultural Marxist Multiracial

Society... 138

Public Awards in Cultural Marxist Multiracial

Society... 139

Commercial Media in Cultural Marxist Multiracial

Society... 143

Law and Order in Cultural Marxist Multiracial

Society... 145

Sense Of Community in Cultural Marxist Multiracial

Society... 150

National Pride in Cultural Marxist Multiracial

Society... 152

Who Is to Blame for The Catastrophe of Multiracial

Society?... 154

Undeniable White Genocide... 157

Genocide Defined and Confirmed... 157

Geocide Defined... 159

White Genocide Confirmed... 161

Are White Vigilantes Justified?... 167

The Impossibility of Normal Cultural Recovery... 173

The George Floyd Riots... 174

Never Again... 177

Solutions to The Catastrophe of Multiracial Society and White

Genocide... 181

The Correct Solution to Multiracial Society and White

Genocide... 181

A Blueprint for National Balkanization... 185

What Will Not Work to Stop White Genocide... 190

The Practical Strategy to Survive White Genocide in The

USA... 191

The Consequence of White Inaction Is White Genocide...192

Whites, Your Fate Is Up to You... 196

What You Can Do Right Now... 198



### **Preface**

From 8 to 43 years old my closest friend was an Iranian immigrant. He had never exhibited "racism". Shortly after Donald Trump became president my friend spoke these words: "White people are too sensitive", "There is a white employment bubble", "...people in authority such as the police or old white congressman", and "White people are getting what's coming to them." Four times in a row I cut and pasted, "Is it institutional racism when a professor tells whites that they don't deserve to live? Yes or no?" He refused to directly respond. Nothing in my life has affected me more than this exchange. Among other issues, this incident led me to deeply contemplate what I had never before contemplated-- my white identity.

Fast forward to the publication of the first edition of this book. Just before printing, and despite the explicit denunciation of Nazi ideology throughout that first edition (which I've now fundamentally altered with nuance), I was told that the owner had discovered I was printing "hate speech", and thus he wouldn't print the book. When I told the printer owner I might publicly speak out against his political suppression, he summoned a lawyer to tell me, "We will not print your sad little book. We have no legal obligation to facilitate hate speech.", "Be very careful, Mr. Smith. We are closely watching you now.", "I know exactly who and what you are Mr. Smith, and I know exactly how to handle cultural relics such as you." "You would be presenting me with a great gift of such a second opportunity to fully expose a monster in his own community! If someone like you wants to play the first amendment victim card, I am your Huckleberry, I promise!" See that? If you try to publish views incongruous with leftist groupthink, or if you defend freedom of thought and speech, members of today's American Democrat party will aggressively demonize you as a "monster", then attempt to frighten you into silence.

These incidents evidence how alarmingly far our society has slid into the horrors of cultural communism. My beloved friend had become an unfounded anti-white racist. The local printer held contempt for America's cornerstone value of free speech. So sadly, the obvious needs to be stated: "hate speech" is a dangerously evil term because it implicitly validates the user's arrogant labels of not only what ideas the community should condemn, but to what ideas the community should be exposed. In other words, the healthy mind understands that if anything is to be "hate speech", it begins with the term "hate speech".

I cannot help but conclude that our once great United States that was founded on liberty grown from rugged individualism is doomed to this ghastly newest flavor of cultural communism. It is almost certain that our children are doomed to live in a society rooted in historical, social, and political lies of which some know to be false but dare not expose lest they be socially destroyed by true monsters such as the aforementioned Democrat printer. The unintelligent will have little problem with this, but the intelligent, especially the racially sensitive, will suffer psychological torture every minute of their lives as I now suffer. Furthermore, as the white majority dwindles, whites will endure increasing unjust and terrible social and physical abuse. Mark my words-- when whites lose their majority, there will not be the utopia that Democrats expect; whites will be permanently psychologically, financially, and physically oppressed, and they will not be allowed to talk about it. I am not brilliant to know this, I am simply clear. As will be discussed, this is the logical consequence of modern (communist) Democrat thought.

I wish I could do more to avoid this fate, but I have not the solution to remedy the self-sustaining brainwashing of leftist ideology. I don't believe there is a real solution to this. If there is a solution, its foundation is courageous public exposure of the taboo truths. Thus, if you are one of the remaining sane, and you are unable to find the courage to publicly speak taboo truths that expose the horror of our

## new American communist culture, you first have yourself to blame for its endurance.

On a different subject, I am aware that if I am successful with my imminent effort to propagate this book, I will suffer social and probably financial ruin—I will be unable to gain employment to feed myself and my family. I don't know what I will do about this. In my current daily local interactions I quietly look into the eyes of my community members with the understanding that despite my motivation of love, these community members will either misunderstand me or at least be too scared to interact with me. Nevertheless, with this work I have proven to myself that what I love most is my white race and its culture, which means I am spiritually healthy. This fills me with the gratitude and peace of a life correctly lived.

What is the essential truth that I have discovered and will publicly reveal that will cause my destruction? It is this...

In the current West there exists a truth so taboo, that if a person states it, no amount of money, political influence, or social influence, can stop the swift total destruction of his membership in society. The taboo is this: Racism is normal, moral, and healthy, and the suppression of this truth is destroying Western culture, and the white race itself.



### Introduction



No reasonable American born before 1975 will deny that something massive and deeply sinister has consumed Western culture.

One who lived in 1980's America knows it epitomized societal health. In the year 1984, 49 of 50 states voted Reagan into a second term. Blondie, Michael

Jackson, Men At Work, and Billy Joel filled the airwaves. Theaters screened "Ghostbusters", "Rambo", "Sixteen Candles", and "Beverly Hills Cop". Women dressed in shoulder-pads, men showcased leather ties. Social ills could be found if you looked for them, but they never dominated because joy poured from every social and technological outlet. If one doubts this closeness to heaven, he can inspect music videos, movies, television shows. The evidence is there.

Since then a ghastly evil has expanded from a puddle to the size of continents. None can name it but everyone knows it is swallowing us and all that is good. It consumes our production, our knowledge, our wisdom, our sanity, our love. In 1990 it splashed our feet. In 2000 we sloshed knee-high. In 2010 we waded waist-deep. We are now swimming. Very soon we will drown. Music has been destroyed. Literature has been destroyed. Movies have been destroyed. Awards have been destroyed. We know that people who say there is hope are lying; everyone knows there is nothing to hope for. Nothing can stop it now. One by one we will drown.

This treatise will show you the birth of this evil, how it matured, why it cannot be stopped. One by one we will drown.

# Elements Consequential to a Psychologically Healthy Society

"During times of universal deceit, telling the truth becomes a revolutionary act." —Orwell

### **Biological Altruism**



Ducks & chickens manifesting biological altruism

The fundamental biological truth of life is an eternal competition between DNA to survive, evolve, and proliferate.

A deeper investigation reveals there is more to this truth. In a common sociological experiment subjects view a variety of organisms then list them in the order they would save each from death.


Invariably they select an order like this: nuclear offspring, nuclear family member, distant family member, monkey, deer, squirrel, lizard, flower, and fungus. Thus, this experiment adds to our initial premise: because DNA is able to recognize foreign DNA similar to

itself, and because DNA's fundamental nature is a striving to endure, DNA behaves altruistically to foreign DNA in proportion to how similar is the foreign DNA to itself. In other words, all animals prefer to comingle with and champion DNA



closest to their own. This fact is so evident that to deny it is insanity. Behold the same-species clustering of mammals, reptiles, fish, even insects. Behold the mutual championing of siblings. Behold the common occurrence of a parent of most any species sacrificing its life for its offspring—the future of its DNA. Because biological altruism is





both natural and normal, it must be deemed moral and healthy.

Foundational to this treatise is the logical implication confirmed by maximal historical evidence that the dominance of biological altruism extends to the subspecies (race) level; and thus, the natural and healthy society must be composed dominantly if not completely from a single race, or else there will exist some degree of unacceptable societal disharmony. With the goal of understanding what hinders a healthy society, it is only now that we have affirmed what has hitherto been taboo—acknowledgment of biological racial altruism,

that we can finally begin our desperately needed correct investigation into racial social issues.

### Culture



"Running with the bulls" is an example of Spanish cultural expression.

Culture is the ever-enriching expression of a race's DNA.

Essential to man's sanity is his ability to understand his place in the cosmos by investigating the depths of his identity. The documentation of his identity is his racial DNA's multifaceted historic expression that

includes elements such as history, language, religion, custom, ritual, architecture, cuisine, music, literature, painting, heroes, and legends. In these he witnesses himself in countless manifestations thousands of years old. Now that he has learned his identity, his yearning for meaning is satisfied by joining his DNA legacy. He now immerses himself in his racial culture, and thus he no longer feels isolated and purposeless because he instinctively or explicitly understands that he has joined his place along with his community members as the living tip of his race's epic DNA strand. He experiences the unique harmony of his DNA expression both with the fellow living community members, and the surrounding saturation of his DNA's historical expression called culture. It is this exclusive exposure to his fellow living racial DNA, and also his submersion in his race's surrounding DNA expression called culture that gives a human his sanity, and replenishes his will to live and also express.

As the decades pass, this racially conscious citizen increasingly realizes that the responsibility to preserve his race's critical DNA marinade, this sacred amniotic fluid of his people, is passing to him. He increasingly understands that the destruction of his culture

would necessarily cause his race to suffer nihilism, insanity, and loss of will to live; thus, there is literally nothing more important than to defend and preserve his culture at all costs because its destruction results in literally the worst result possible—the psychologically excruciating extinction of his racial DNA.

Below is a list of various major elements of culture. Reflect upon not only the distinct uniqueness of each race's cultural manifestations within each element, but also the unique and consistent intellectual flavor and texture of each race's culture throughout the elements.


### History



determination, and resilience.

Nothing reveals the characteristics of a man's DNA more to him than his race's history because it provides direct and lengthy qualitative evidence of his DNA's personal attributes such as intelligence, creativity, passion, values, morals, courage,


### **Religion**



In order of cultural importance, second is religion because religion reveals the flavor and texture of the race's perception of the universe. Very relevantly it is the source of the race's morals and values. The violent DNA of the Aztecs necessarily manifested a religion replete with suffering and sacrifice. The aggressive

Scandinavian DNA necessarily manifested the warrior-rewarding Norse religion. The pensive DNA of East Asians necessarily manifested the esoteric godless religions of Buddhism and Taoism. The intense Arab DNA necessary manifested the severe religion of Islam.

### Language



The distinct sounds of languages manifest the flavor and texture of their representative races. Because a person not only hears his language daily, but thinks in it most every moment of his waking life, language is massive contributor to one's cultural marinade. Behold the simple and melodious Italian language originating from people gifted with the

paradisiacal atmosphere of the Italian peninsula. The hard-sounding German language pairs with people challenged with mountains and snow. The rapid inflections of Filipino language indicate a population evolved from capricious tropical weather and fantastic flora and fauna. The symbols themselves of Far East languages manifest Asian DNA's penchant for synthesis rather than analysis; while Western languages fragment words into sounds, Asian languages synthesize ideas to form words. The symbol for "human"—two sticks mutually supporting each other, represents the interdependence of male and female.

### **Sexuality**



Though there are obvious written and unwritten rules regarding rape and adultery, healthy society has no desire to interfere with biology; thus, sexual behavior and courtship remain natural and unimpeded. Each sex remains centered and comfortable in his or her biologically guided sexual

instincts. Males behave directly, dominantly, aggressively, decisively, with leadership and certainty. Oppositely, females behave innuendously, submissively, nurturingly, supportively, indecisively, and mysteriously. It is the polarity of these traits that creates healthy sexual attraction and enduring sexual satisfaction.

### Family Unit




The Western family cultural pillar is a family unit comprised essentially of the husband, wife, and children. Their typical professional social roles and have organically evolved from male and female biological ability and temperament.

The husband's instincts of confidence, dominance, and certainty naturally provide the family's leadership. The wife's instincts follow and support the husband's lead, and also uniquely nurture the children. Of course cases exist in which the female's personality drives her to pursue professional aspirations. Such cases deserve

support and celebration, yet they are evidently biologically exceptional. The children instinctively respect the parents, so they physically and emotionally support the household. This natural dynamic results in an efficient, harmonious, and happy family.

### **Architecture**



A race's DNA clearly manifests in its building design. Because architecture is visually ever-present, it tremendously reinforces racial identity. Japanese DNA manifests in the multi-tiered widely extending sills of pagodas. European DNA manifests in A-framed roofs, ornate exterior molding, and Greek columns. Arab DNA manifests in bulbous entries, windows, and towers.

### <u>Music</u>




Each race's musical expression is undeniably unique.

Behold the rhythm and percussion dominance of African music. Behold the languid wail of Indian sitar music. Behold the detail. quality, and quantity of different European instruments. and the complexity of European

classical music. (As an aside, the extreme differences in racial music alone prove to any sensible person the veracity of race realism.) Similar to language, music is a massive cultural reinforcement because we hear music constantly each day-- in our car, at the office,

in commercial interiors, during movies, at home on the television and stereo.

### <u>Literature</u>



Obviously a cultural pillar, the creative and analytical written cannon of a culture saturates its people with the epic flavor and texture of its DNA. Note the carefree enlightenment of Indian DNA manifested in the seemingly endless rambling of the Hindu Mahabharata. Note the intellectual complexity characteristic to European DNA manifested in Shakespeare's exploration of the human condition within a framework of rhyming iambic pentameter.

### **Public Awards**



Public awards are a race's acknowledgement of its finest cultural works. Public awards are culturally important because they shape and accentuate the race's historical narrative. They also boost national pride by further incentivizing the cultivation of passion, skill,

and talent. Such award events are also organic occasions for annual communal cultural celebration.

### **Commercial Media**







Because commercial media is ubiquitous both in sight and sound, its characteristics tremendously affect the psyche of a citizenry. These characteristics include the traits of the pictured humans and their environment, the color and font of words, the sound of voices. and the production quality of the media itself. We can amusingly confirm this by comparing commercial media of beer. Behold unapologetic straightforward Irish advertisement "Guinness is good for you." Behold the garb and cleavage of the German woman adorning bottles of St. Pauli Girl. Behold the traditionally drawn dragon on cans of Japanese "Kirin Ichiban".

### **Sense of Community**



Compassion organically abounds in a monoethnic society.

The amount of citizen compassion within а indicates community its nation's cultural heath. A healthy citizenry senses that it forms a biological family that shares а common destiny: thus, there exists abundant compassion in its community interactions that dissuades litigation. A simple

example of such compassion manifests when a friendly dog bites a neighbor's child. In a healthy society this incident is easily and peacefully handled without litigation. All involved feel remorse. The pet owner apologizes, pays any medical bills, and ensures the incident doesn't recur. The bitten child's parents graciously accept the apology, and thank them for their amends.

### **National Pride**



National pride abounds in monoethnic Japan.


National pride is the conviction that one's culture merits National endurance. pride is vital to the survival of the race itself because, as discussed,

racial survival requires its cultural marinade, and it is national pride that motivates the maintenance and defense of culture from foreign and domestic threats. Typical manifestations of national pride include ritualistic respects for national symbols and holidays, hostility against those that harm the culture, and the willingness to sacrifice in times of war.

### Morality

Morality is the intrinsic ability to determine ethical right from wrong. Seemingly, healthy morality cannot be adequately defined because it derives from instinct, though it self-evidently derives from a motivation to champion egalitarian compassion. One who possesses no morality is called a sociopath, and these people are innocuously rare. Extreme wrongness and those who knowingly engage in extreme wrongness are called evil. Because social decisions are made within specific cultural conditions, and also typically produce many unforeseen consequences that differ in magnitude, manifest in different time periods, and produce new results themselves, it is often challenging to determine if the original decision is the source of evil. Tragically, when resolving social issues the average human in some combination does not possess the necessary motivation to explore the issues, the intelligence to understand his advocated policies, or the humility to appreciate that his conclusions may be flawed. This reality results in large sections of well-meaning people passionately contributing to deeply evil social policies including cultural destruction and genocide. Because their hubris is so impenetrable, it is typical that they are unable to acknowledge the abominable results of their policies no matter what quality and quantity of evidence is presented to them. The most relevant example of such people today are the large amount of de facto communists. In the USA these are current Democrats— despite well over one hundred million deaths and a perfect record of incomprehensible physical and psychological suffering in the form of starvation, mass murder, mass suicide, repression of liberty, justice, art, speech, and economic and scientific advancement, these people still champion communist politics (It is usually the case that Democrats don't even understand that they champion communism). As discussed, the term "evil" is best qualified as knowingly acting with an immoral goal; however, for the sake of helpful logical simplicity we will admittedly omit this nuance throughout this

**treatise.** In this treatise we will abbreviate a definition of "evil people" to be people who champion or commit acts that clearly lead to proven evil.




### Without Hate, The Loved Is Destroyed.

It is logically impossible for an action to be more wrong than the condemnation of justified hate, yet our supposed modern society energetically promotes the condemnation of justified hate, and this promotion may be the singular most destructive behavior in Western society because it removes the resistance to evil. To a clear

mind the critical value of retaining hate is common sense, but as will be discussed in the succeeding section, the leftist mind does not contain common sense, so an explanation of this and other commonsense topics is necessary.

The correct moral foundation for a healthy person is the championing of love. What is love? The Oxford dictionary defines love as "an intense feeling of deep affection". The Oxford dictionary defines hate as "intense or passionate dislike". OK then. something threatens the object of one's love, it is common sense that it is normal, moral, and healthy hate that which threatens what one loves.



and it follows that it is normal, moral, and healthy to act on that hate with defense against the hated. The above logic is as simple as it is unassailable, yet behold the insane slogans and policies of the left-- "Stop the hate!", "Hate has no home here!", "hate speech", and "hate crime". If the left were to consistently follow through with its policies, there would be no reason to defend anything at all, and everything loved sooner or later would be destroyed.

Very clearly leftists don't believe in these anti-hate slogans at all. With a bit of contemplation it becomes evident that the reason leftists seemingly champion love is actually because they confuse love with mere positive feelings, so although they think they are intelligent enough to make smart decisions, investigation proves that what they actually champion is the maintenance of a serotonin high. As will be discussed later, a perfect example of this confused leftist decision dynamic is how they typically enable those that suffer, with the means to persist with their suffering. If a heroin addict asks a

leftist to enable him to ingest heroin, typically the leftist will grant this then feel not appropriately ashamed for the further injury he causes, but instead feel incorrectly arrogantly gleeful about his morality. We can see this exact scenario in the drug policy and its results within United States Democrat bastions such as San Francisco and Seattle where entire city sections are veritable no-go zones where zombie-like heroin and fentanyl addicts roam the streets.

It is evident through leftist decision-making that the leftist psychological goal is not to defeat evil, but instead to maintain the tranquility of an atmosphere where hate does not exist, so their serotonin high may not be disturbed. No attitude could be more irresponsibly dangerous because, as previously stated, this attitude removes the resistance to evil. Yes, on occasion hate can lead not to a peaceful response, but to verbal and/or physical hostility. This hostility may or may not be justified, but for the mindless leftist, there is no such thing as justified hostility, there is only the dangerously cowardly fear of a disturbance of the all-important tranquil atmosphere. And if you disturb this tranquil atmosphere, they manifest utter hypocrisy by hating you.

We can daily witness this utter hypocrisy of the anti-hate Democrats. Behold the still unceasing torrent of hate Democrats direct at President Donald Trump. When one understands that hate is defense of the loved, the motivation of the Democrats' behavior is clear—they deeply love progressing towards their communist idea of utopia. Donald Trump directly and effectively antagonizes their communist progress in both his policies and also his exhibition of what he believes is acceptable social speech; thus, it makes perfect sense that Democrats feel hatred for him.


Because virtually the entire world is so intellectually primitive that it believes hate to be something eliminated, the deepest critical social suffering continues to result from the instillation of well-meaning yet truly evil anti-hate social policies, because these policies specifically aggressively forbid the defense of what a society rightfully loves-- that which keeps the society healthy and unified. The fruition of the political left's philosophy of condemning

justified hate is not utopia, but a hell of violence, anarchy, and mental torture because without hate, evil runs unchecked.

Now that the treatise has elucidated the critical merit of hate, which is that hate is the motivation to defend what is loved, we will later illustrate how the suppression of hate is fundamental to the destruction of the white race and its amniotic fluid of culture.

### Leftism

Essential to sociology is an understanding of the mentality of the political right and left. History has proven that within every society exist two groups, one primarily motivated by emotion— the left, and the other by logic and wisdom— the right. The validity of these defining characteristics is validated by studying history, or applying critical thought to correlating political ideologies. Testament to the validity of the dichotomy is that modern science has dedicated significant resources to understanding its biological causes. Because political stakes are no less than the fate of society, there will always exist ardent conflict between the political right and left.



### The Leftist Brain

It seems obvious that societal problems are best remedied through a dominant application of logic and wisdom, yet through their politics leftists evidence that they disagree; thus, an

investigation of the mental disorder of leftism is as fascinating as it is relevant.

Because the leftist's reality has been constructed by his emotionally dominant brain, he is unaware of the fundamental incongruity of his emotional universe with the objective universe in the same way that a fish is unaware of his water universe. However misaligned with his goals his results have proven, and continue to prove, it remains self-

evident to a leftist that moral actions must be chosen by what *feels* good. To the leftist it is perverse insanity to suggest that morality is best defined not by what feels good but by what *does* good. For a leftist to affirm a problem-solving dynamic that values logic and wisdom over emotion would be much more than morally reprehensible; it would be a psychological experience on par with a suicidal departure to insanity. He would be annihilating his universe (his ego) which includes literally all he loves—his morality, his children, his life, everything. Thus it makes perfect sense that such minds maximally resist the embrace of this Armageddon necessary for their awakening to reality.

This is why leftist politics prove irrational to the point of surreal. As a matter of insightful fact, the artistic surrealism movement of the early twentieth century is politically associated with communism. Behold surrealism's definition as stated within André Breton's 1924

"Surrealist Manifesto"...
"Surrealism is based on the belief in the superior reality of certain forms of previously neglected associations, in the omnipotence of dream, in the disinterested play of thought. It tends to ruin once and for all other psychic mechanisms and




Surrealism exposes the leftist mind.

to substitute itself for them in solving all the principal problems of life." Leftist problem-solving methodology first intuits a solution that feels best, builds around it the most plausible paradigm, then defends the paradigm with all imaginable sophistry. This methodology would be laughable if it weren't so widely championed. Specifically, leftist solutions prioritize the most speedily achieved superficial peace with the least hurt feelings. The primary leftist paradigm states that man is essentially good, so it is not his biology that separates him from a communal utopia, but his ignorant cultural

institutions; thus, leftists conclude that for man to return to utopia he only needs to replace his institutions with scientific knowledge. Not only is this leftist premise false because it ignores instinct and wisdom, but leftists don't even implement their premise with any consistency. The foundation of science is logic and the study of empirical evidence. Obviously this evidence includes social world history. Leftists very clearly disdain logic and the evidence of social world history.

The left's correspondingly insane ultimate goal is articulated best in the lyrics of John Lennon's song "Imagine": "Imagine there's no heaven... no countries... nothing to kill or die for... Imagine all the people living life in peace... no possessions... no need for greed or hunger... imagine all the people sharing all the world... the world will live as one." A moderate amount of logic or wisdom reveals how unrealistic is this emotional utopia, yet leftists directly have not the ability to appreciate such logic or wisdom. An absence of religious





Leftists refuse critique of their literally insane ideologies.


foundation leads to nihilistic depression. An absence of borders defines anarchy. An absence of possessions smothers critical motivation. Because man is innately lazy, greedy, ambitious, and violent, and also because survival resources will always

be in short supply, every attempt to erect the impossible leftist utopia has resulted in the most horrific societies in history— rampant poverty, starvation, disease, lawlessness, censorship, and extreme psychological and physical oppression. Far more than one hundred million deaths and incomprehensible suffering have already resulted in the USSR, China, Korea, Laos, Cambodia, Vietnam, Afghanistan, Yemen, Ethiopia, Somalia, Eritrea, Mozambique, Congo, Angola, Benin, Nicaragua, Cuba, and others. This is the truth that is literally unable to exist in the left's insane reality. Their mental illness does not label this maximal logical and historical evidence as failure of insane political methodology; instead, they obfuscate with any explanation possible such as "it wasn't real communism.", "It was successful for a while.", "it was subverted by fascism." Thus, it is not a question of if there will be a next country on the list, it is a question of which country it will be, then which country will follow after that. The most recent victim is Venezuela. As of this 2019 writing, presidential candidate Joe Biden with his millions of passionate American voters are doing their best for the next victim to be the United States.

# **Leftist Flawed Thinking**

The most consequential flaw in the leftist paradigm is that it implies that its definitions of ignorance, scientific knowledge, morality, and utopia are self-evident and beyond question. This shortcoming is simply proven by asking a leftist, "What is the theoretical evidence

that would disprove your belief?" A conservative not only can easily answer this, but he continually challenges himself with such validating questions. Behold a simple conservative answer, "I would change my politics if you could disprove ideas such as man's essential nature is flawed, small government is best, and society must preserve inalienable individual rights." Leftist has no such answers because their politics prove unsound because they originate from a non-real



universe grounded in emotional physics.

Leftist ideological failure is further exposed by comparing the basic political strategies of both the right and left. The right cultivates morality through rational compassion. The right cultivates societal wellbeing through teaching authentic history, economics, and the wisdom of tradition. The left is unable to adopt this strategy because authentic history, economics, and tradition directly antagonize their utopian aspirations. Because they have no choice they cultivate not societal wellbeing, but power through all methods possible. As Orwell stated, "Power is in tearing human minds to pieces and putting them together again in new shapes of your own choosing." Thus, over so many decades leftists have mastered many strategies and tactics for the direct acquisition of power. Their most celebrated instruction manual is Saul Alinsky's "Rules for Radicals". Of course, all the while leftists believe they are doing good despite all logic and direct evidence proving they are doing evil. To again quote Orwell,

"Doublethink means the power of holding two contradictory beliefs in one's mind simultaneously, and accepting both of them." Thus, there is virtually nothing that will change leftist minds, and that is the crux of the problem— it is virtually impossible to reveal to



#### leftists actual reality.

Additionally, leftists are stunted by their ill certainty that their virtue is superior to that of the right. The right has no such binding as it freely submits that anyone may possess healthy virtue. Because the right champions what does good which often does not feel good, the left cannot comprehend let alone admit rightist methodology could be moral because it would imply the suicidal negation of the emotional physics of their universe. A perfect example of this dynamic manifests during a debate on morality when a leftist says, "You're from another planet." He is metaphorically correct. Rightists and leftists truly live in psychologically separate universes with different fundamental physics.

To defend 2+2=5 leftists mainly employ epistemological relativism grounded in their delusional emotional universe which remains perfectly shielded by their impenetrable egos. They attest that all knowledge is relative, specifically the morality of right and wrong; thus, as they see fit they label evil the victim of good. Such thinking



is illustrated in venerated Marxist Max Horkheimer's proud clinically insane statement "Logic is not independent of content." Any sane high school student can appreciate that a=a regardless of the contents of "a". obvious refutations such this are uniformly suppressed by the left.

Today at most every Western university you can witness this mental illness within tenured Marxist professors by challenging them with such refutations. You can then appeal to their department heads to again witness the illness. These people are no less than clinically insane; and thus, it is impossible for them to retain a healthy conception of morality— they may truly believe anything, such as moral innocence in wanton mass rape and murder.

The left's emotional universe inexorably subscribes them to the dogma of ends justifying means because, unlike the right, the left has no moral paradigm to deter them. Their morality is the result of childish goals of preserved feelings, short term peace, and long term utopia. Because logic and reality antagonize leftist ends, the leftist need to construct successful political paradigms is not a passion but

a contemptible chore. This is why leftist arguments are so flimsy. Leftists not only suffer a deficit in logical aptitude, but their arguments are irrelevant to the leftists themselves. For a leftist, truth is typically that which must be overcome in any way possible. This can be







witnessed daily in leftist newspapers such as The New York Times and The Washington Post, and websites such as HuffingtonPost.com.

# **Leftist Word Manipulation**

"Political language is designed to make lies sound truthful, and murder respectable, and to give an appearance of solidity to pure wind." -Orwell

The most relevant example of the left's contempt for truth and morality is their technique of bamboozling the public by branding their evil concepts with words that are irrelevant without context yet inherently associated with positive concepts. Behold their cornerstones of "equality", "tolerance", "diversity", and "social justice". "Equality" arbitrarily means two quantities are equal, yet the



word implicitly associates with the moral goal of equal administration of justice. Thus, the left brands its evil feel-good concept of equality of outcome with the word "equality" so that it may conflate moral perfection with moral reprehensibility.

"Tolerance" arbitrarily means enduring an undesired stimulus, yet



the word implicitly associates with the wisdom of allowing contrary ideas to endure because each idea may yet prove unsound. Thus, the left brands its evil feel-good acceptance of sickening Islam-justified oppression with the word "tolerance" so that it may conflate wisdom with horror and cultural suicide.

"Diversity" arbitrarily means different, yet the word implicitly associates with the positivity of choices, opportunities, and rainbow



colors. Thus, the left brands its evil feel-good policies of multiculturalism and mass-immigration with the word "diversity" so that it may conflate positivity with white cultural and racial genocide.

"Social Justice" seems to imply the pinnacle of virtue—the ideal of a



perfectly morally administrated society. In reality the concept "social justice" is a terrifying weapon to arbitrarily enforce what everyone should champion, and no one dare question.

It is impossible to reveal to the leftist these or any other of their myriad fallacies and cascading inconsistencies because it would require from them an earnest patience to listen and then also comprehend. This necessary patience can never exist in ones so fundamentally certain of their superior morality. One would think directly showing leftists the fruit of right wing thought such as massive wealth, technical and medical advancement, happiness, freedom, food, law and order, and relative peace, and then showing them the fruit of left wing thought such as massive waste, technical deficiency, unhappiness, totalitarianism, starvation, murder, war, and suicide would compel in them enough humility for their egos to shrink enough to enable them to recover their capacity for critical thought. It achieves zero. Thus, for the sake of societal advancement, it cannot be publicly repeated enough that leftists are verifiably insane.

# Why Leftism Thrives



Because the left can't help but exhibit sheer insanity, it seems to not make sense that they represent about half the population; one would think the large demographic of political moderates would side with the non-insane. Even if their brains tended

towards an emotional universe, one would think that instinct would lead them to trust the logic and historical success of the right. The reason for the left's enduring effectiveness is their wealth of organic advantages.

Firstly, the appeal of leftist superficial feel-good policies attracts most young adults. These voters have not yet endured the inevitable life experience that both settles unbridled emotions and reveals the sobering realities of society, nor have they had the proper education or years of intellectual reflection to realize the correctness of nuanced conservative thought.

Secondly, because leftists do not pursue the discovery and thus influence of moral truth, they enjoy an intellectually, emotionally, and socially easier political path. While the rightist's morality demands intellectual effort to investigate the complexities of social issues, the leftist is allowed to champion whatever feels best from whatever unverified information passes his way. The rightist must endure the emotional and social difficulty of not only making tough decisions, but also voicing them in social settings. Conversely, the left supports the decisions emotionally and socially easiest—those currently in vogue.

The third reason leftism enjoys popularity is that the dynamic of brain chemistry within the leftist mind self sustains political activity because the more the leftist promotes what feels good, the more he satisfies his egomania. In other words, regardless of the consequences that his emotional policies inflict on the world, his acts of asserting them maintains his serotonin high. For example, while the rightist endures painful empathy of refusing charity to a drug addict, the leftist enables the addict with charity. For his own selfish and unwarranted high, the leftist not only hurts the addict but also promotes dirtiness, homelessness, crime, and additional drug activity. Though immoral, it is this easiest path the leftist adopts.

The fourth and most consequential force encouraging leftism originates from the leftist dominated cultural institutions. Schools and universities, film and television, journalism, and commercial media create a virtually irresistible illusion that one must champion "correct" leftist morality or suffer justified ostracization. After pondering how ubiquitous and severe is this indoctrination, one wonders how the right endures at all.

The final advantage enjoyed by the left is the inextricable dynamic that forever creeps all societies left. Because the surrounding status quo appalls them, leftist motivation for political activity is more easily cultivated than for the right. As long as the rightist feels the establishment is safe he will remain largely inactive. Only when his institutions become so rancid with leftist progress does the carefree

conservative shock into action. He must experience the sudden anxiety accompanying a confrontation with leftist progress, such as the Netflix title "Dear White People", or a lawsuit for civil misuse of gender



pronouns, or his daughter's homework instructing the transcribing of the Islamic statement of faith.

Thus, in truth the great human bane is not hunger, or homelessness, or oppression, or disease. As a matter of fact, we've greatly ameliorated if not solved most societal ills. What devastates us is the mental condition of leftism that perpetuates a massive global epidemic of profound stupidity— an inability to perceive accurately



#### Avery Edison @aedison

Remember babes, there's nothing moral about work, and you are have basic human rights to food, water, shelter, and health care (among other things) whether or not you work.

# **Dunning Kruger effect on a global scale**


and think soundly to effectively remedy societal issues. Again, the typical leftist does not intend to be a vehicle for evil; he is just exasperatingly stupid because of his mental condition. The leftist's defining insane brain that erects a universe grounded in emotional



Humanity's greatest obstacle is that leftists have no ability to appreciate their extreme stupidity. physics yields the pitiful, very stupid, and clearly apocalyptic dynamic of believing ridiculous premises, implementing catastrophic solutions, and maximally refusing all criticism because of perfect hubris resulting from the psychological impossibility of conceiving that the objective universe is grounded in logic and common sense. It is the billions of these mental cripples that are causing the great majority of the world's suffering; and therein lies the problem— their stupidity unknown to them makes them fierce antagonists to solving societal problems everyone yearns to solve.

Thus, finding a solution to the apocalyptic epidemic of leftist stupidity deriving from their mental illness demands highest priority, for it is the overwhelming cause of humanity's suffering. Until we can find this cure, the right understands that they can never stop fighting because the alternative is the psychological and physical hell of unending versions of communism. Thus endures the epic political war.


# Intellectual Viruses



An intellectual virus is an idea that is able to penetrate the area of the brain that is very difficult if not impossible to access in a healthy person—the foundation of the subconscious ego of which he can never be fully aware, which supports his entire

reality. The intellectual virus not only corrupts this most sensitive platform of the ego with a new perverted reality, but also explicitly or implicitly instructs the ego to refuse any new data that may extricate the virus, thus permanently rendering insane the intellect of the host.

In the early 1980s the USSR KGB defector Yuri Besmenov discussed in great detail his former specific job of sowing communist "demoralization"— what I have labeled an intellectual virus... "Exposure to true information does not matter anymore. A person who is demoralized is unable to assess true information. The facts tell nothing to him. Even if I shower him with information, with



authentic proof, with documents, with pictures, even if I take him by force to the Soviet Union and show him concentration camp, he will refuse to believe it. They are contaminated. They are programmed to think and react to certain stimuli in a certain pattern. You cannot change their mind even if you expose them to authentic information, even if you prove that white is white and black is black you still cannot change the basic perception and logic of behavior. In other words, in these people the process of demoralization is complete and irreversible."

Humans have suffered intellectual viruses since the dawn of society. Literal belief in religious scripture is the best example, but any dogma that implicitly or explicitly obstructs the input of sound data to the intellect qualifies as an intellectual virus. As with biological viruses to the body, intellectual viruses vary in the harm they inflict upon a population. Though some viruses can even be helpful to society, the quality and magnitude of suffering caused by an intellectual virus is terrifyingly limitless.

# The Dynamics and Consequences of an Intellectual Virus

## The Dynamics of a Healthy Intellect

- **1.** The intellect receives a constant flow of sound and unsound sensory and intellectual data.
- **2.** The healthy ego accurately determines data's soundness with its filters of intuition, experience, knowledge, and logic.
- **3.** The intellect discards unsound data, and incorporates sound data.
- **4.** The necessary consequence of a healthy ego is the continuing evolution of a healthy intellect.

## The Dynamics of an Intellectual Virus

- 1. An intellectual virus enters the intellect's flow of data.
- **2.** The virus deceives the healthy ego with any combination of potent duped intuition, deluded experience, false knowledge, and faulty logic. Behold examples...

**Duped Intuition:** Inside a hallowed cathedral amidst incense and singing a woman concludes Christianity is literally true.

**Deluded Experience:** During a near death experience a man believes he sees his smiling late grandmother, so he concludes there exists an afterlife.

**False Knowledge:** A girl is taught the falsehood that the Atlantic slave trade was motivated not by economics but cruel racism, thus she concludes whites are essentially evil.

**Faulty Logic:** A boy learns that millions of adults follow Islam so he concludes Islam must be true.

- 3. The virus passes to the intellect where it...
  - **A.** injects unsound data.
  - **B.** poisons the critical foundation of the ego so that new data is never allowed to alter the injected data. A simple example of this poisoning is that all evidence contrary to a holy narrative may be dismissed by the sophist yet effective assertion, "God works in mysterious ways" implicitly declaring that at the end of time all past events will support the current preposterous narrative.
- **4.** The necessary consequence of an intellectual virus is the mutant evolution of a corrupt intellect.

An example of a simple intellectual virus is literal Judaism.


If the virus also injects the intellect with the instruction to propagate the virus to other intellects, then the intellectual virus is contagious, and infection will progress at a geometric rate. An example of a contagious intellectual virus is literal Christianity.

If the virus also injects the intellect with the instruction to oppress or destroy any intellects that reject the virus, then the intellectual virus is hostile, and its hosts may murder. An example of a contagious hostile intellectual virus is literal Islam.

Note how any belief that disallows its own evaluation is at best spiritually soothing but intellectually crippling, and at worst an intellectually fatal, contagious, and hostile virus.

## **Cultural Marxism:**

### The Diabolical Intellectual Virus



Cultural Marxism is incomprehensible evil.


At the beginning of the twentieth century the and hostile contagious intellectual virus of Marxism-- the insane and inextricable belief that society is not a function of multifaceted human nature but instead struggle between "haves" and "have-nots" fatefully infected the Russian citizenry. The resulting mutant society communism propagated

across Asia and beyond, bringing to its hopeful citizens horror and suffering on a scale never before seen on Earth. Despite the potency of the Marxist virus, supported with a major European propaganda campaign, it was not able to tempt the wellbeing of Europe's capitalistic populations. In symptomatic denial the Marxist hosts were unable to appreciate the failure of Marxism's appeal as evidence of inadequacy. Instead, the infected maintained their delusion with the particularly sick conclusion that the capitalists were not truly happy but "blinded" by their material prosperity. Thus, the inability to further the Marxist infection compelled the hosts to evolve the virus. In 1923 they established the Institute for Marxism before surreptitiously changing its name to the unassuming Frankfurt School. Famous member Gyorgy Lukacs noted that the next Marxist mutation must be "demonic"— it must "possess the religious power which is capable of filling the entire soul." Thus, the Frankfurt School

birthed the most potent and horrific intellectual virus in human history-- cultural Marxism, also known as political correctness. Cultural Marxism is the diabolical mutation of Marxism so that instead of



injecting the intellect with an insane and inextricable economic worldview of "haves versus have-nots", it injects an insane and inextricable cultural worldview of "oppressors versus oppressed." Cultural Marxism has already destroyed most of Western culture. It is <u>probable</u> that cultural Marxism will annihilate all of Western culture and the white race itself.



Ponder how putrid results cultural Marxism infected mind. Because labeling cultural Marxism oppression is much more subjective than labeling Marxism affluence. well as opportunistically boundless, the infected mind condemns unlimited groups of innocent people and institutions for false While injustices. the healthy citizen sees society as a curious jazz of humanity, the infected

citizen sees a righteous war between insanely labeled oppressors and oppressed. Before infection the employee sees his employer as a fellow citizen with whom he voluntarily enjoys a win-win transaction. Now he sees the employer as an evil oppressor. Before infection a woman sees her mate as the mysterious other half of her sexuality

with whom she has formed a union of loving and beautiful naturally-asymmetric cooperation. Now she sees him as an evil oppressor. Before infection the social worker sees the unrepentant criminal as a guilty felon. Now he sees him as innocent oppressed. Before infection the citizen sees the illegal alien as a selfish violator of immigration law crucial to the economic and cultural wellbeing of his beloved society. After infection the citizen sees him as innocent oppressed. Note the profoundly perverse nature of the cultural Marxist viruszero merit is given to logic, wisdom, law, or reasonable morality. The only factor that drives societal decisions is the utterly insane identification of oppressors and oppressed. If anyone challenges the cultural Marxism infected's insane dynamic, the infected's righteous contempt compels him to scream firstly racism, then any other oppression-related word he can in any creative way associate with his accuser.



Cultural Marxism will probably consume Western culture, and the white race itself.

Additionally, its Marxist creators such as previously mentioned Max Horkheimer invented a genius delivery strategy. They penetrated society's trusted learning centers where gather the soft, eager minds of its youth, then delivered the virus through a new vehicle called "critical theory". Critical theory first isolates each pillar of Western culture, then morally obliterates it through bad-faith cultural Marxism guided infinite criticism within its "oppressor/oppressed" dynamic. The characteristic identification of "critical theory" spawn is

academic course with its name ending in "studies" such as "women's studies", "gender studies", or "African-American studies", as opposed to geometry, chemistry, or history. For example, "women's studies" is not an investigation of women throughout history. It is a

bad-faith conviction of males exclusively from Western culture because of their participation in the travesty of "patriarchy oppression". The student will not learn of oppressive male behavior in China or ancient Mexico. He will not learn any way that Western males benefited females such as providing them leadership, hunting their food, building their shelters, fighting and dying for their defense, inventing female products, and granting female suffrage. The student will only be taught that Western men are not worthy of moral defense, and Western culture is evil and deserves destruction. "Women's studies" overlaps with "gender studies" which aims to destroy the Western pillar of the family unit by questioning the



unquestionably self-evident sexual differences of males and females in the aim of obfuscating naturally gender dominant societal roles. In this case the female struggles are blamed on "patriarchy oppression" of Western family structure. Of course, cultural Marxist professors do their utmost to suppress any mention of the harmony, happiness, and satisfaction evidenced in respecting the wisdom of organic family

structure. Thus, the female is encouraged to rebel from her natural traditional roles within the family, and the family is torn apart resulting in long-term suffering for the husband, the children, and the wife herself. These courses would be comical if they weren't so very real and effective. You need not ponder the consequences of sixty years' worth of unaware American youths subject to four years of such viral insemination; you need only witness America today.

It is important to note that the cultural Marxism virus should not exclusively be attributed to European Marxist scholars. The USSR Marxists independently originated the same virus. While the European Marxists invented "critical theory" to inseminate cultural Marxism through academics, Soviet cold war spies such as previously mentioned Yuri Bezmenov used "active measures" consisting mainly of journalism propaganda to inseminate "demoralization" through popular society.

Finally, it is necessary to spotlight a difficulty when manifesting cultural Marxism destruction. In many cases it is difficult to differentiate cultural Marxism infected thought from uninfected leftist insanity. In the same way that it is impossible to know whether an adulterous wife shoots her husband out of self-defense or convenience, so it is often the case that leftist and cultural Marxist infected motives would result in same actions. Critics will be correct in pointing out the inconclusiveness of certain actions labeled cultural Marxist. This nuance does detract from the conclusiveness of this treatise, yet it proves little more than innocuous. The point is that cultural Marxism certainly exists independent of leftism, and it has very clearly been the dominant force in the widespread destruction of Western culture. The proof of this point fills much of this remaining text.

For further investigation of cultural Marxism you can study the media of William Lind, Bill Whittle, and former Marxist turned patriot David Horowitz.

## **Refutations to Denials of Cultural Marxism**


Of course an evolved destroyer will do everything possible to prevent its identification from its victims; thus, there exists a bevy of highly circulated yet unsound refutations to the existence and nature of cultural Marxism.

It is true that anti-Semites affirm cultural Marxism, yet attribute its malevolence not to leftist mental-illness but to supposed Jewish evil of cultural Marxism's mostly Jewish creators. Typical of leftist immorality, leftists abundantly claim this above fact proves the invalidity of cultural Marxism itself. Their claim implements an obviously false corollary, and thus it is invalid.

Regarding public awards, it is sometimes claimed that it's not cultural Marxism but social pressure and vogue that compels judges to bring attention to the plight of groups the left categorizes as oppressed. This argument simply mislabels an effect as the source. This current peer pressure and vogue dominantly stems from cultural Marxism.

A technical objection is sometimes made that is grounded in a claim that the defining essence of authentic Marxism is dialectical materialism. Because cultural Marxism roots in Hegelian idealistic dialectics of culture, not Marxist materialistic dialectics of class, labor, and machinery, it is argued that cultural Marxism is not Marxist in nature and thus an invalid concept. Firstly, it is no less than ridiculous to deny the obviously signature Marxist dynamic of duality and dialectic just because famous Marxists at the Marxist institute for Social Research swapped-out the central Marxist materialist cog of "have vs. have-nots" with the idealist cog of "oppressors vs. oppressed". Secondly and most relevantly, this objection proves fatally pedantic because a rose by any other name would smell as sweet-- the technical relation of cultural Marxism to Marxism is irrelevant. Shall we dismiss the philosophical consequences of Zen Buddhism if we conclude it is not a true strain of Buddhism? Of course

not. We may just as easily call cultural Marxism "intellectual virus X" because even if its history was different, its dynamic and consequences remain the same.



An Agenda for Critical Theory

Lorraine Y. Landry

An academic argument asserts it that is critical oversimplification to declare cultural Marxism more than a contributing cause of cultural decay because many leftist movements such postmodernism must be mentioned in any such critique. The response to this criticism is that the cultural Marxism

argument does not oversimplify; it validly simplifies. Because political intellectual movements do not have sharp borders, it is correct and actually necessary to reasonably simplify political movements to formulate any macro sociological truth at all. The cultural Marxism simplification correctly asserts that cultural Marxism is responsible for the destruction of the West. Regarding postmodernism, it is true that it does not directly splice the world into oppressors and oppressed; instead, it once again builds upon the completely useless and insane foundation of most leftist concepts-- epistemological relativism. In short, its politics state that our society is constructed with cultural roles that have no essential legitimacy, yet exert oppressive power over us. For example, postmodernism asserts that the Western black female is expected to behave subserviently to the male, place her highest priority on raising children, and accept that black females deserve little representation in media, but actually these conditions were unjustly erected from the Western cultural framework that inherently advantages whites and males; thus, it is society's moral responsibility to correct this bias by artificially promoting minority cultural dominance. The rebuttal to this is that the rightful cultural Marxism simplification implies that, because postmodernism obviously concerns itself with perceived oppression

of the perceived weak especially in the West, it is simply some less than relevant virus strain within the cultural Marxism family of viruses. In summation, the cultural Marxism premise implicitly asserts that it has legitimately simplified the very complex sociological landscape—this implicit assertion is sound and maximally relevant.

The most common tactic cultural Marxists use to delegitimize proof of cultural Marxism is to highlight its already discussed typically non-exclusive symptoms to condemn it as a conspiracy theory. Firstly, cultural Marxism is not a conspiracy theory but reality because, as will be consummately proven by the end of this treatise, its distinct creation, evolution, propagation, and destructive dominance as an ideology (an intellectual virus) is overwhelmingly evidenced and documented. Secondly, it has already been stated that the cultural Marxism virus wasn't an exclusive spawn of the 1923 Marxist think tank Frankfurt School. The KGB agents of the USSR significantly contributed to cultural Marxism propagation, only the vehicles of delivery differed. The Frankfurt School created "critical theory" to inject the virus through academics. The KGB used widespread journalistic propaganda to demoralize the public within Europe and especially The United States.

Leftists commonly argue that cultural Marxism is no more than natural societal decay; thus, the concept of cultural Marxism is irrelevant at best and propaganda at worst. This is refuted by dynamic highlighting the consistent unique "oppressor/oppressed" critical theory that has fractured every pillar of Western culture. It is true that in any society there exists a perpetual revolution between rigid and relaxed norms such as use of profanity, style of dress, respect for tradition, size of government, etcetera. Cultural Marxism may overlap or accelerate this natural revolution, but cultural Marxism certainly and clearly exists independent of it, and has been its dominant force. This is overwhelmingly proven in the upcoming articulation of cultural

Marxism's destruction of specifically targeted Western cultural pillars.

## <u>Cultural Marxism Is Not Kindness from Empathy.</u>



major leftist argument asserts that cultural Marxism simply proponents are mischaracterizing healthy kindness from empathy. Cultural Marxism is not kindness from empathy. Firstly, an authentic kindness from empathy would reflect empathy for all lives involved including not only

perceived weak, but also the non-weak and the self. For example, regarding mass immigration, healthy empathy would not only consider the over 1,000,000 per year illegal aliens crossing the US southern border, it would also include the 330,000,000 US citizens as well as the self.

Secondly, healthy empathy evidences in correlating empathetic behavior, yet cultural Marxists typically never behave accordingly. Regarding the ethnically and culturally dissimilar Latin immigrants the United States leftists purport to champion, leftists typically never give them money, nor welcome them into their neighborhoods, nor invite them to live on their properties or within their homes, nor invite them into their professional, social, and romantic circles. With rarest exception, American leftists actually want nothing to do with Latin aliens. As always, the most accessible leftist invalidation continues to be the ever freshly manifesting consistency of their hypocrisy.

Thirdly, a true healthy empathy reflects an appreciation for <u>all</u> the relevant elements of a situation, so that there exists bonafide

ascribe reason to soundness the to prescribed course of action. Leftists do not manifest this appreciation. Regarding immigration, mass the cultural Marxists never ponder the myriad consequences of the yearly million mostly poor, uneducated. and unassimilating Latin Americans



Cultural Marxists are unable to appreciate factors outside their oppression delusion.

saturating our communities. Won't they exacerbate our already overcrowded cities, especially the vehicle traffic? What will be the massive cost of their free healthcare? Will they import disease? How will their youth affect the white and black youths in our schools? Will we need to build more schools and infrastructure? Do we have the room to build such infrastructure? Will violent crime rise? Who will give them jobs? What will be the psychological effect of constantly hearing Spanish? Is there a consequence to the consistent blatant disregard of national immigration policy and border security? Do enough various resources exist? What will be the effect on the environment? How will regional and national culture change in the long run? What will happen to the quality of life? There is no evidence of leftist appreciation for any of these and similar questions.

Fourthly, a healthy empathy implies it exists in healthy proportion to the various other cognitive abilities such as discernment, apprehension, reason, and the ability to enjoy. A first of two examples investigates the cultural Marxist's attitude towards mass immigration. He exhibits no discernment at all of what elements matter most. He exhibits no apprehension that there may be helpful additional ways to view the problem such as through an inspection of how other cultures historically handled immigration issues. He exhibits virtually no rationality. He exhibits little to no wisdom to periodically set aside the problem in favor of engaging in recreational

activities, which is exactly the biological technology that cleanses his mind so that he might discover that he actually prefers the condition of his culture as it is, not a condition that destroys it for him and his fellow citizens.

A second example reveals the inadequacy of the cultural Marxist thinking towards gender policy. The abundant evidence reveals the cultural Marxists' inability to consider others besides the perceived "weak" group, their inability to think through the consequences of

their prescribed actions, and their inability to utilize cognition other than blind empathy. Cultural Marxists demand public accommodation for more than two genders. Clearly they have not empathized with those who might suffer as a result of their actions such as females exposed to predators in bathrooms and



changing areas, business owners required to build new bathrooms, athletes exposed to unfair and dangerous competitions, or religious followers whose rituals entail traditional gender respect. They have not appreciated historical or logical evidence as to whether their multi-gender demand may harm the psychological wellbeing of the youth or society as a whole. They have not used logic or common sense to appreciate the absurdity of the multiple gender concept. Lastly, they have not allowed themselves the ability cleanse their minds through temporarily letting go of their gender obsession. This would allow them the possibility to experience their natural gender expression which might also restore their sanity. None of this cognition occurs in the cultural Marxism zombie.

Thus, what cultural Marxists refer to as kindness from empathy is conclusively something else—it is cultural Marxism.

One may finally argue that this "something else" is some combination of the described faults, yet that still does not mean it is cultural Marxism. The response to this is twofold. Firstly, there must exist some theoretical line in which the characteristics of cultural Marxism are dominant enough that one must admit the dynamic at work is cultural Marxism. This theoretical line has been far crossed. Secondly, as previously stated, at the highest practical level it does not even matter what we call this intellectual virus or what exactly is its history. It only matters that we identify its odious dynamic and destroy it.

Lastly, notice how frequently is used the hallmark cultural Marxist term "oppressed" in today's culture, especially by the newly educated youth and left-wing media. It seems everything today is explained with this word. Anyone middle-aged can testify that "oppressed" was not the dominant political adjective a generation ago. It is clear that it is exactly cultural Marxism that has destroyed our once joyous society. To deny the obvious reality that Western culture is being aggressively destroyed by a preposterous and deeply pernicious "oppressor versus oppressed" dynamic is to be unequivocally deluded.

# Race Realism

"The further a society drifts from truth, the more it will hate those who speak it." –Orwell



Race realism is the appreciation of the differing physical and intellectual attributes of the various races. The relative dearth of intelligence and impulse control of the black race results in the black race consistently achieving the lowest level of societal

success. In a leftist-free society, this inequality of outcome would breed no more than understandable frustration in blacks, and compassion from everyone else. Because leftism does thrive, leftists have totally subverted the truth of race realism, as well as all the compassion whites have shown to blacks, so that leftists are able to promote the evil narrative that the cause of black failure is actually white oppression (This treatise will later explain that race-realism is maximally taboo because it necessarily permanently destroys the possibility of communist utopia). This unfounded white oppression narrative is based on nothing reasonable yet has generated a massive vogue of multifaceted aggressive anti-white racism. Moral citizens have a responsibility not only to whites but indeed to blacks as well as all people to assert the race-realist truths that debunk the lies supporting leftist anti-white racism that result not only in direct pejoratives and slander but most consequentially in vicious social policies. As Michael Levin states in his critically acclaimed book on intellectual race-realism titled "Why Race Matters", "The right of the accused to present his case includes the right to raise issues that

distress his accuser. A plaintiff demanding damages for a broken leg cannot ask at the same time that his leg not be talked about, nor take offense when the defendant presents evidence that the injury was congenital. By claiming harm he opens question of why his leg is game. Claiming racial harm has opened the topic of race differences."


Mountains of irrefutable science coupled with overwhelming history so demand the acceptance of race realism that its denial implies insincerity or insanity. Indeed it is both. The leftists' psychotic necessity to maintain their all-important false oppression narrative has spawned race-realism denial so ridiculous that asserting utterly obvious racial intelligence reality necessitates paragraphs of proof where none should suffice. If the human species didn't evolve differently during the 70,000 years after separating for Africa to very different climates, then Darwinian evolution itself would prove invalid. For starters, irrefutable science has corroborated that many dozens of characteristics such as blood-pressure, blood chemistry, and bone density prove different between races. At least 60,000 diseases correlate to specific races. Differences in physical attributes such as muscle fiber and hip axis length between whites and blacks

obviously contribute to the superiority of black athleticism which can be confirmed in the typical 100% black ethnicity of Olympic 100 meter dash finalists and modern National Basketball Association all-star teams.



Among countless other scientists, the work of Douglas Whitman documents a widest variety of race specific distinct evolutionary adaptations. He notes the exact nucleotide differences within the exact genes within the exact chromosomes that cause many of these differences, and the racial and geographic distribution of the humans








Mammals in hot climates structurally evolve for maximal cooling.

that contain these genes. These evolutionary adaptations occur in all creatures, and they are as varied as they are intriguing. Arctic rabbits evolved dense fur to retain heat, and tiny ears and feet to minimize exposed surface Desert area. rabbits evolved the opposite traits to dissipate Swamp rabbits evolved thick fur to rebuff the bites of abundant insects. Both camels and the African Khoekhoe humans evolved fat storage dominantly in their lower backs or rears

so that the rest of the body remains free of insulation thus allowing maximal cooling. Humans in cold climates evolved long straight hair for insulation while humans in tropical climates evolved kinky hair that allowed the neck and shoulders to remain cool and also free of disease-promoting dampness. The Terra Del Fuegians who live at the southern tip of South America evolved tolerance to cold temperatures. Centuries of livestock breeding evolved in Europeans a tolerance to the lactose in dairy products. The genetically isolated Australian Aborigines possess the keenest sense of smell, obviously evolved from hunter-gatherer survival. Filipino sea gypsies evolved a culture sustained through underwater gathering, and thus evolved eyes conducive to sea submersion and spleens that produce hemoglobin rich blood granting their incredible ability to descend as low as 200 feet while holding their breath for up to 13 minutes!

Though it is obviously stupid to expect that the brain organ reacts singularly evolutionally differently than the rest of the organs, it is only because intellectual traits are not as directly visible as physical traits that leftists can still spin and repress resistance against the mountains upon mountains of racial intellectual data that proves blacks are deeply intellectually inferior, thereby proving that the left's horrid all-important utopia is impossible.

Leftist suppression of racial truth evidences in the instant and unmitigated character assassination of anyone, regardless of his qualifications, that notes or contributes to the epic pile of evidence proving the truth of black intellectual ability. No less than Nobel laureate James Watson, discoverer of the DNA helix, was appallingly driven from scientific society because of his objective race-realist statements. Immediately after he spoke this scientific truth he was



forced to cancel his publicity tour. Though his affiliated Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory gained world-wide prestige and finances from his forty years of work on the human genome, in 2019 the Lab administrators unceremoniously fired him and stripped him of all his honorary titles. This great pioneer who contributed so much to humanity must now live his remaining days as a pariah. The left's assassination squads forced The University of Chicago to suddenly

cease pursuit of a 2005 patent for professor Bruce Lahn's biological test confirming superior

www.wired.com > 2006/06 > scientist-backs ▼

Scientist Backs off Racial Work | WIRED


Jun 21, 2006 - ... wrote about Bruce Lahn, a young scientist university now says it is abandoning a patent application it.

levels of intelligence through a presence of genes abundant in Europeans and rare in Africans. When questioned on his university's motive for withdrawal, Lahn replied, "It's getting too controversial... society will have to grapple with some very difficult facts." In 2018, University of Pennsylvania law professor Amy Wax was removed from her freshman classes because when specifically questioned on the downsides of affirmative action, she stated, "I don't think I've ever seen a black student graduate in the top quarter of the class, and rarely, rarely in the top half."


It could not be more obvious either from academic testing or historical accomplishment that whites are more intelligent than blacks. There is nothing, literally nothing in modern intellectual discussion more ridiculous than the denial of this fact. Let's put it this way: if it were indeed true that one race was more intelligent than another, what would be the evidence a rational mind would accept to prove it true? A reasonable analysis first compares the aggregate racially separated results of tests in various academic subjects, as well as the results of tests designed specifically to manifest possible differences in intellectual ability. Secondly, this analysis compares the quantity and quality of cultural achievements of each race. Thirdly, this analysis investigates any mitigating circumstances, such as environmental or financial, that may have substantially altered the results.

Consistently from World War I to the present, IQ tests show an average black IQ of 85 and an average white IQ of 100. As one of very many examples, Shuey's 1966 "The Testing of Negro Intelligence" performed 382 studies derived from 80 separate tests conducted over 40 years with hundreds of thousands of blacks and whites widely varying in all demographics such as age, income, background, and profession. Virtually <u>all</u> the results of these tests manifested this same 85 and 100 average IQ result.

Exactly 0 out of 16,000 United States school districts show black student grades on par with grades of whites, and 0 out of 16,000 show white student grades on par with grades of East Asians.



Tests specifically designed to eliminate cultural bias also confirmed consistent intelligence disparities between various races, most relevantly between blacks and whites. As can be seen in the graphic below, these tests contained no language; they scored on pattern




recognition. Α second test challenged subjects to repeat back series of numbers. Because this was a memory test, all subjects scored basically equally. Yet when they were challenged to repeat back the numbers in reverse order, Asians scored highest blacks scored lowest.

There exists overwhelming documented consistency between racial intelligence and income. Global IQ's average 112 for Ashkenazi Jews,

106 for East Asians, 100 for whites, 89 for Hispanics, and 85 for blacks. In 2018 United States, the median household income was \$129,000 for Jews, \$87,194 for Asians, \$70,642 for whites, \$51,450 for Hispanics, and \$41,361 for blacks.


Linda Gottfredson at the University Delaware utilized the racial bell curves of intelligence with IQ data of different professionals to reveal professional consequences of IQ differences. Professor Gottfredson's



conclusions revealed that professions requiring high IQ's such as those of lawyers, chemists, or executives prove 30 times more likely to be occupied by whites than blacks.

Many studies have confirmed the virtual irrelevance of IQ to family affluence as well as racial environment. Sandra Scarr and Richard Weinberg tested the IQ's of many black children adopted by upper and middle class white families. The results revealed that white and black small children had basically the same IQ's, but at 18 years the black children had IQ's merely 2-3 points above the historical black mean of 85. A 2009 study (different than below diagram) of SAT scores revealed the resounding fact that black students from families with yearly incomes above \$160,000 scored lower than whites from families with incomes less than \$20,000 year.

A second powerful testament to the consistency of black intellectual inferiority independent of environment is that in 1972 Ugandan's Indians represented 1% of the population yet accounted for 90% of Uganda's tax revenue. New president Idi Amin expelled this Indian




population in the expectation that the native black population would take over these lucrative economic positions within the already erected economy. Instead, Uganda's economy shrunk by more than half. In 1986, Yoweri Museveni became president, and encouraged Indians to return. Presently the Ugandan Indians represent 1% of the population yet pay 65% of Uganda's taxes.

As can be seen in the following graph, University of Ontario's Professor John Philippe Rushton used CAT and MRI scans to measure the skull sizes of various races. These tests indisputably revealed a consistent direct correlation between skull size and racial intelligence. Chinese typically manifest large skull sizes while blacks manifest the smallest.


Such racial disparities can be witnessed in the historical results of chess players. While 5 of the 12 twentieth century world chess champions are Jewish, not only are zero black, but out of the 1680

historical grandmasters, only 3 of them are black, and each barely achieved the qualification.





There exists a critical correlation between crime and racial intelligence and temperament. Because the main deterrent to crime




is the intelligent appreciation of future legal consequences, the less intelligent commit more crime. Additionally, because black males have been proven to produce more than average testosterone, it makes sense that they possess less restraint ability, and thus prove more prone to violence. The consequences of these obviously true conclusions evidence in profound racial disparities in violent crime statistics. Though blacks make up 13% of the population, the US Department of Justice confirms that blacks committed 52.5% of all homicides from 1980 to 2008. E. Rubenstein's book "Color of Crime" reveals that in 2013, United States blacks committed an astounding 27 times more violent crime against whites than whites did against blacks. Furthermore, if the total New York City population was white, the murder rate would fall 91%, the shootings rate by 97%, and the robbery rate by 81%; If Chicago had an all-white population, murder would fall 90%, rape by 81%, and robbery by 90%. Ponder those staggering numbers. Thus, it is not racism but healthy intelligence that compels a woman to clench her purse when walking past a group

of blacks. Of course everyone would prefer a different reality, yet all proof confirms that blacks are naturally predisposed to commit much more crime.

To eliminate the possibility that low black intelligence and social progress are a consequence of historic United States oppression, note that even with unprecedented financial and social aid the same black consistencies display in the white majority societies of Canada and the United Kingdom where never existed historic oppression. Within the United States, neither the end of segregation, nor black school busing, nor black youth academic and financial programs have broken these racial IQ consistencies.

Behold black fortune: besides the 75 year span of European colonization, Africa has remained globally virtually unmolested since the dawn of man. Africa contains 98% of the world's chromium, 90% of its cobalt, 90% of its platinum, 80% of its coltan, 70% of its tantalite, 64% of its manganese, 50% of its gold, 50% of its diamonds, 33% of its uranium, and abundant oil. Additionally, since 1950 the world's countries have given Africa a free \$1,400,000,000,000—that's 1,400,000 units of \$1,000,000.

What have blacks achieved from this? In world history Africans have produced virtually nothing technologically noteworthy, as can be




immediately proven by the difficulty to prove otherwise without

using the internet (Science and anthropology attests that the ancient Egyptian civilization almost certainly originated from the Middle East and Europe. Carthaginians descended from Phoenicians.) Before blacks encountered an outsider, they had not built a two-story building or a mechanical device, nor had they domesticated a beast of burden, nor had they invented a calendar, a written language, or the wheel. Today the least prosperous African countries are those that were least touched by European colonization, while the most advanced are those most habituated by whites. Even though two centuries have passed since colonialists revealed to Africans the value of their resources and demonstrated how to cultivate them,



Haiti, independent for over 200 years, has barely developed.

excluding Antarctica, the African continent currently ranks dead last in GDP and life longevity. The 2018 global slavery index ranks Africa first in current slaves per capita with 9,240,000 active African slaves. Over 200,000 children were sold in West Africa in 2018 alone.





Despite tremendous adversity, 73 year old Israel has created a thriving modern society.

GNP per capita totaling a mere one-third of the next poorest country of Nicaragua.

For comparison, behold Jewish fortune: In all of world history no race has suffered violent persecution anywhere near that of Jews. The ancient Mesopotamians persecuted Jews. The ancient Greeks persecuted Jews. The Romans persecuted Jews. Since the year 624 Muslims have not stopped persecuting Jews. Throughout the Middle Ages Europeans persecuted Jews; some later incidents include the 1096 Rhineland Massacres, the 1290 English Edict of Expulsion, the 1391 Spanish Massacre, and the 1492 Spanish Expulsion. Seventeenth century Cossacks slaughtered Jews. Nineteenth and twentieth century Russians slaughtered Jews. Hitler built campuses

Undisputed world champions (1886–1993)			
#	Name	Year	Country
1 [	Wilhelm Steinitz	1886–1894	Austria-Hungary (Bohemia) United States
2	Emanuel Lasker	1894–1921	Germany
3	José Raúl Capablanca	1921–1927	Cuba
4	Alexander Alekhine	1927–1935	France Soviet émigré
5	Max Euwe	1935–1937	Netherlands
6	Mikhail Botvinnik	1948–1957	Soviet Union (RSFSR)
7	Vasily Smyslov	1957–1958	Soviet Union (RSFSR)
8	Mikhail Tal	1960–1961	Soviet Union (Latvian SSR)
9	Tigran Petrosian	1963-1969	Soviet Union (Armenian SSR)
10	Boris Spassky	1969–1972	Soviet Union (RSFSR)
11	Bobby Fischer	1972–1975	United States
12	Anatoly Karpov	1975–1985	Soviet Union (RSFSR)
13	Garry Kasparov	1985–1993	Soviet Union (Armenian SSR) (Azerbaijan SSR) Russia
Jewish world chess champions circled above			

of gas chambers to murder Jews. Today's Jews suffer persecution in every country they reside. Only 73 years ago did Jews finally gain a homeland— an arid swath of territory about the size of New Jersey surrounded by people overtly committed to killing them.

What have Jews achieved from this? Jews consistently hold such a vastly disproportionate amount of powerful positions in banking, entertainment, education, journalism, medicine, and law that there's a widespread idea that they secretly aim to dominate the Earth. In its 73 year history Israel has cultivated one of the most thriving global economies. Jews are virtually absent from violent crime. Jews are virtually absent from professional sports.

Now, what are the chances that after reading this section a leftist will admit the black race is less intelligent than others?... 0%. What are the chances he believes he is intellectually sound?... 100%. Don't believe these percentages?... Ask him. Better yet, behold this quote from professional "racial justice educator" Debby Irving: "The idea of race is just that, an idea — a social construct developed in the 1600's during the Enlightenment fervor to classify nature. Since these early efforts to categorize humanity's immense variation, the idea that the "white" race is superior has been promoted and perpetuated by none other than the white race. Simultaneously, white people have discriminated against and loaded with stereotypes those they've labeled as "other races". The combined effect has created the perception that race does exist and is attached to inherent human differences such as intelligence, emotional make up, and athletic ability. Worst of all, this illusion has created massively different life experiences and outcomes for people according to assigned "race". The differences we observe along racial lines today are the result of hundreds of years of racism, the system of allocating power and resources by racial categorization. Though race is not real; racism is very real." It is truly sad, isn't it? Is it even possible to be any more brainwashed than Ms. Irving?

For a conclusive wealth of facts and analysis on race statistics you can peruse Michael Levin's previously quoted book "Why Race Matters",

as well as the social media accounts of Jared Taylor affiliated with "American Renaissance" on Rumble.com and Bitchute.com.

# The Catastrophe of Multiracial Society

If nature ingrains humans with biological altruism, and if humans deeply value their racial cultures, why do humans often strive to live in foreign or multiracial societies? Obviously their motivation is selfish ease of personal and family survival. For him and his family the immigrant seeks refuge from poverty, oppression, war. He seeks better or free healthcare, financial opportunity, infrastructure, education, law enforcement, and entertainment. He is either ignorant or immorally carefree about the harm he and the other many millions of immigrants are causing the native society. Despite his immorality, he cannot be blamed for the pain he is causing the native society because it is not his responsibility but the government's to declare and enforce whether he will be allowed to enter.

Why does the healthy citizen encourage multiracial immigration? While the long answer will be discussed later, the short answer is that he doesn't. We will now evidence the hellish society that has resulted from both the liberals' stupidity of not recognizing the fundamental natural law of biological altruism, and the liberals' hubris of not recognizing the need to inspect the soundness of their thinking.

# **Racism in Multiracial Society**

The accusation "racist" is the infamous bogeyman of modern times. Isn't it fascinating that despite our modern cultural progress, a simple word can be so socially condemning yet so poorly defined? We all agree that it is immorally racist to antagonize another only because

of his ethnicity, but what is the moral verdict of the countless other social situations between different ethnicities? Is it racist to prefer sitting at a lunch table with peers of one's same ethnicity? Is it racist to prefer a spouse of same ethnicity? Is it racist to want to live among people mostly or completely of one's own ethnicity? Is it racist to affirm race realism? China and Japan guard against immigration from other races, yet no one condemns this maximum racism; and thus, what could be greater proof of the inconsistency of the moral condemnation of racism than that evidence? Behold that it is only in the West that the taboo against embracing racism exists. Why is it that in the East the racism taboo exists nowhere, yet they have complete racial harmony? The answers to these questions are commonly unclear, yet in the West any unsupported accusation of racism rightfully terrifies not only the target but all who affiliate with him. Behold- no one can satisfactorily define the accusation "racist", anyone can arbitrarily declare another as "racist", and the accusation "racist" proves socially annihilating. How has our modern society allowed the persistence of this terrible dynamic?

Only because this treatise has broached the truth of biological altruism do we finally possess the necessary atmosphere deflated of false righteousness to foster a clear investigation of racism. Only now can we finally defang our bogeyman, and thus deliver our desperately yearned social progress.

We begin by listing then analyzing five relevant definitions of racism...

- The Anti-Defamation League: "racism"; "The marginalization and/or oppression of people of color based on a socially constructed racial hierarchy that privileges white people."
- 2. The Oxford Dictionary: "racism"; "prejudice, discrimination, or antagonism by an individual, community, or institution against a person or people on the basis of their membership in a particular racial or ethnic group, typically one that is a minority or marginalized."

- 3. The Oxford Dictionary: "racism"; "the belief that different races possess distinct characteristics, abilities, or qualities, especially so as to distinguish them as inferior or superior to one another."
- 4. (Myself): "racism"; "racially motivated hostile feelings or actions."
- 5. (Myself): "racism"; "the instinctive or conscious perception of other human races as fundamentally different, and to some extent repugnant."

Now, note some facts. Firstly, definition 1 is an example of appalling modern cultural Marxism white-genocide propaganda, and thus illustrative of just how deeply evil are the Anti-Defamation League and the plethora of similar leftist activist organizations. Self-evident is that any reasonable definition of racism includes the possibility of any person to be racist; thus, definition 1 cannot be seriously considered, even though the cultural Marxists will use this flimsy weapon early and often to oppress innocent whites.

Definition 2 selects for its three essential terms "prejudice", "discrimination", and "antagonism". All of these terms define as immoral qualities. Thus, either definition 2 must be declared separate from healthy racial concepts such as biological altruism, racial-consciousness, ethno-centrism, and race-realism, or definition 2 must be void as incomplete. The fatal practical problem with both these constructive outcomes is that because the left is so immutably evil, they will purposely and aggressively not allow clarity and thus progress with the admission of this these nuances because they need anything that antagonizes their sick idea of utopia to be rejected as immoral. Thus, leftist evil renders practically ineffective definition number 2.

Definition 3 could theoretically succeed because it actually is a definition of race-realism, but it will never practically succeed because of the same reason discussed in 2— the left will never allow

meritorious concepts such as race-realism to exist without an immoral connotation because that would invalidate their communist utopia.

Similar to 2 and 3, definition 4 could theoretically succeed because it creates a separation from an immoral concept of racism from the different concepts of biological altruism and similar, but again, the left will always disallow this all-important separation.

Thus, we are left with only number 5, and it does succeed because there is nothing to separate or conflate, and the definition itself is honest, substantive, and useful. Also note that this definition is appolitical— it does not shy away from, or deem immoral the uncomfortable reality that in racism inextricably exists a phenomenon of the members of one race experiencing instinctive or reasoned repugnance for other races. It articulates the phenomenon of racism neutrally.

So then, is it moral to feel and/or conclude that other races are repugnant? As it is moral to feel love, fear, lust, curiosity, or any other instinct, so it is moral to feel racism. As it is moral to conclude stupidity is boring and burdensome, as it is moral to conclude hostility is a menace, as it is moral to conclude some scents smell foul, as it is moral to include home interlopers are unwanted, so it is moral to conclude other races are repugnant. And we should go farther here—not is it only moral to feel these things, it is obviously maximally normal and also healthy to both feel any natural instinct, and also think rationally.

In summation, "racism" is best defined as "the instinctive or conscious perception of other human races as fundamentally different, and to some extent repugnant.", and racism is normal, moral, and healthy.

While it quickly fails but will always be championed by leftists, the only argument that can be attempted to refute the fact that racism is moral normal and healthy is grounded in nothing natural or logical. It is only this timeless and truly moronic moral argument: All humans

are spiritually divine, and thus deserve unconditional compassion, so despite the intellectual, temperamental, and physical repugnance of darker races, it is morally best to lie to them by telling them they are completely capable, attractive, and societally desirable; furthermore, to maintain this lie it is the lighter races' moral duty to endure not merely a galactic amount, but an infinite amount of psychological, financial, and physical suffering to maintain this lie. Unless one subscribes to religious dogma or uninvestigated sentimentality, the concept that all humans are spiritually divine is an insane non-starter. What is obvious to everyone but leftists is that a policy of lying to others about life's most consequential truths is not the path to societal progress; It is the path to myriad psychological, financial, and physical catastrophe. Again we witness that to leftists facts are defined as obstacles that must be overcome.

It is clear that the deeply evil leftists will never agree to such a clear, neutral, helpful definition of racism because that would force them to jettison their racism taboo which, as will be later discussed, is their most potent weapon to actualize the white-genocide necessary to achieve communist utopia. Though the left will, with maximum passion, refuse to admit the following truth, it has now been proven:

- 1. Racism is normal, moral, and healthy. Those that affirm their racist feelings stand firmly on the rock of moral highground.
- 2. The left's most hideous weapon, the word "racism", is now theoretically powerless.

These two above facts cannot be stated enough. Our biological altruism, and thus our racism is normal moral and healthy. As will be stressed later, it is the chanting of the statement "Racism is normal, moral, and healthy." that should be the foundation on which the white race mounts its defense to its current cultural destruction and genocide. This is the hill we should die on. No longer must our racially influenced social and sexual preferences, or our racial solidarity and pride cause us confusion or guilt or social condemnation or expulsion.

Our successful definition of racism does not mean that natural normal healthy people, in other words racists, cannot get along with, enjoy, and cultivate strongest personal relationships with humans of different races. Biological altruism is the best foundation for satisfying human relations, but it is not a necessary ingredient. Substantive social ingredients that can alone support a satisfying social relationship include shared values, enjoyments, and experiences.

A tension-free racist dynamic is best compared to the interaction of a stranger within a family house— the family prefers and prioritizes the other family members over the stranger, and the family feels hostile if the stranger negatively affects the family members or family culture in the house, yet the family has no negative feelings for the stranger. The family probably feels positive feelings to the stranger, but these positive feelings are definitely subordinate to the feelings for the family and the preservation of the culture inside this family house. This is exactly biological altruism in action, and to deny its dynamic is unquestionably insane.

Ponder what should be obvious—100% of all healthy humans on Earth have always have been racist, are now racist, and always will be racist because racism is as normal, moral, and healthy. It was only during the 1960's American civil rights movement that, seemingly overnight, racism suddenly became something immoral, and the taboo only began oppressive dominance with the 2008 election of Barak Obama that ushered in a grotesque new era of cultural communism. In other words, it seems that the power of this bogeyman of being labeled racist is so strong, that of course it must have existed since the beginning of time, yet the simplest examination reveals that this labeling of racism as immoral is a very new vogue, and only exists in a small parts of the Earth's geography, namely the United States, Canada, Europe, and Australia—in other words, the homelands of the white race. As we will see, this is no coincidence.

Testament to the enjoyment of different races and their cultures, despite healthy racism, is all races' enjoyment of international tourism. Because everyone understands the joy of exploring foreign peoples and cultures, healthy (racist) people welcome tourists with a smile. Take myself as an example-- because I am healthy, I am maximally racist, and I enjoy tourism and also interacting with tourists. I get along finely with other races. I have friends of different races. They understand that my healthy racist repugnance of them exists subordinately to my enjoyment of their character. And by the way, because I am so clear and comfortable and honest with my few friends of different races, they appreciate me as a rarest valuable white friend. These friends attest that while all other whites either lie or are otherwise uncomfortable with them, I am uniquely sincere. They state that they wish all whites were as comfortable and honest as I.

Is racism inconvenient, and thus disturbs the serotonin high of maximal tranquility? Yes, racism is definitely inconvenient and thus disturbs the serotonin high of maximal tranquility.

Is racism antagonistic to equal compassion for other races? Racism is definitely antagonistic to the equal compassion for other races, but this does not mean the healthy person, meaning the racist, cannot have compassion for those of other races. It simply means the compassion is less than that of his race—his extended family. No psychological condition could be so normal, moral, or healthy.

Can racism lead to violence? Healthy and unhealthy racism can definitely lead to violence. When racial tolerance is depleted for just or unjust reason, or when racial tolerance is not even warranted, racism leads to violence. What is the source of this racist violence? Racist emotional and/or physical hostility generates from real or perceived physical or cultural aggression from another racial group.

Behold a maximumly relevant point: It is when another sizeable racial group interlopes inside the society of the established racial group that inexorably generates justified racism from the native group

because the interloping race has inextricably instigated a hostile cultural competition—the gain of one culture must necessarily deteriorate the other culture; and as discussed, culture is maximally necessary for a race's sanity and thus survival. It cannot be stressed enough that there is no escaping this fateful biologically determined hostile dynamic because it is the essence of the inextricable failure of multiracial society.

Regarding immoral racist violence, unhealthy and thus immoral racism exists in one who does not contain a normal level of compassion— this person is psychologically confused or sick. As discussed, a healthy person normally socializes finely with a person of a different race. If for an unjustified reason someone has not a reservoir of normal compassion and tolerance, he may commit violent racist acts that cannot be justified with reasonable morality. An example of unjustified racial violence is when the member of one race harms an innocent member of another race because the first member disagrees with his government's social racial policies.

Moral racist violence occurs as a healthy racial defense mechanism in response to authentic physical or cultural aggression from another racial group. As will be explained in detail in the section "Are White Vigilantes Justified?", an example of morally justified racial violence is when a native race has no political or social means to stop a foreign race's armed or unarmed invasion of the native homeland. In this case, in order to satisfy the race's most fundamental healthy biological altruism, which in turn prevents the race from extinction, the homeland native race instinctively and rightfully uses violence to repel the invasion. Of course this is a moral response- nothing could be more obvious to a clear-minded person than that physical violence is maximally justified when necessary to protect ones homeland. Yet the leftist who has not responsibly explored this situation but instead makes all decisions based on the positive reinforcement of a serotonin high attained from an abused compassion reflex, will dismiss this violence as immoral. In what other situation could group violence be more warranted than to repel

an invasion of one's homeland— the destruction of one's DNA and amniotic fluid of culture? Never forget that nothing is more self-evident than the fact that a race of people, an altruistic grouping of same DNA, has a maximal right to live amongst themselves, to defend their native DNA and its amniotic fluid of culture. If one denies this self-evident maximal right of racial survival, then the onus is on him to explain his case.

Regarding racial cultural conflict, if the minority population total proves insignificant such as below 10%, the majority's social dominance is effortlessly maintained, and thus endures multiracial peace. Because both the minority and the majority social positions are apparent, both groups enjoy a justified inclination to feel at ease. The majority is psychologically secure enough to treat the minority with love, and the minority is able to trust this love. The dynamic is similar to that of a family with an adopted child—all are aware of the lack of biological relation, yet all experience only love.

When a minority population increases, it is natural and actually healthy that racism breeds in members of the majority. It may be that it is not the growing minority itself but politics that is to blame for the minority's demographic growth; however, this truth is practically irrelevant to the reality that only a handful of majority members will be psychologically adept enough to direct their hostility to the politics alone. As the minority population grows to threatening levels, so does the majority's racism because the generationally cultivated and preserved delicate and critical amniotic fluid of its environment is clearly undergoing destruction. Many times each day the majority is confronted with minorities and their culture. A healthy racial majority understands that it is moral and actually vital for them to express their anger at the attack on their culture. When the majority publicly expresses this anger, the West's current foolish leaders commit terrible injustices not simply in their lack of support for the assailed majority, but in their unjustified righteous condemnation of the majority's justified anger, and most seriously in their denial of a

platform for expression of the ethnic majority's maximally serious grievance.

Because the minority's status is changing, they feel a complex set of emotions. They feel determination to achieve the high-quality Western life for themselves and their offspring. If they are intelligent they feel both guilt at their obvious physical and cultural invasion, and fear of the consequences of the justified increasing anger of the clear-minded majority.

This inextricable racial dynamic is the reason why leftist multicultural societies are such psychological tortures—in order to maintain a peaceful multiracial cultural-Marxist society in which all races have artificially forced equal outcomes, the normal, moral, and healthy racism everyone feels, especially the majority, is maximally repressed which justifiably generates anxiety, depression, and resentment. In the 2024 West, whites are so severely oppressed that only by deluding oneself from the anti-white reality can a white person maintain a feeling of well-being. Any white that acknowledges the true current state of his social condition is rightfully riddled with anxiety, depression, resentment, and intractable justified anger.

Additional to biological altruism, the differing genetic attributes of different races must generate racism in a multiracial society. When applying for an intellectually competitive job, how can the typical black abstain from resenting that his emotional determination values less than the white candidate's gift of much superior intelligence? How can this black candidate consistently be expected to believe it was not white racism that denied him? How can the white man not resent that, in his indigenous white-majority culture, he is forced to watch his favorite sport of basketball played so dominantly by black players? How can whites not resent the superior intellect and unreasonable social protection of the 2% Jewish United States population that enables Jews to so disproportionally occupy attractive societal positions, especially political positions that control political outcomes that irreversibly destroy the demographics and culture of white homelands? It is difficult to admonish such resulting

racial hostility because only a saint could maintain the clarity to feel otherwise.



The Chinese wisely preserve their societal harmony through monoethnic policy.

Not all the world is asleep to this appreciation of the catastrophe of multiracial society. As mentioned, the Chinese and Japanese tightly guard their monoethnic societies, and they live in racial and cultural harmony. By the leftists' definitions of racism, the Chinese and Japanese

racial policies should be the embodiment of evil, yet the Chinese and Japanese refrain from social apology. This correct refrain nonplusses the leftists' maligned intellect and also exposes their intellectual failure for all to see. It is a glaring failure of conservative strategy that they have neglected to bring attention to this situation described above.

#### **Jews**



Jews have been expelled from approximately 109 national communities—a stunningly large amount. Because many of these expulsions occurred before communication existed between the expelling countries, these expulsions were certainly not a coincidence. Very clearly there is something in Jewish DNA expression that maligns the native cultures within which Jews reside that

causes their consistent persecution. In short, the true root cause of

anti-Semitism is the high intelligence and intellectual energy of the average Jew. (Isn't it interesting that blacks destroy native societies because of their low IQ, and Jews destroy native societies because of their high IQ?)

It is as very easy to prove the high intellect of Jews as it is to prove the low intellect of blacks because its proof is evident everywhere (This treatise already listed ample evidence of superior Jewish intellect in the previous section on race-realism.).

The first way Jewish DNA expression maligns a native culture is that the consistent vastly disproportionate Jewish professional success causes racism from the native population's justified recognition that this success is theft of success entitled to the native population. It is no mystery how Jews achieve this, and if the native society's explicit law is the moral arbiter, there is nothing immoral in it: Jewish DNA very intelligently produces an ingroup culture that stresses discipline, scholarship. and professional planning. Jewish intelligence appreciates the attractiveness of professions both lucrative and without physical labor, so Jews typically execute life plans that entail initial years of sacrifice and study to attain abundant long-term success as doctors, scientists, lawyers, educators, and similar. Jews that don't choose this route cleverly find other ways of avoiding physical labor. Validation of this point arrives with ample life experience revealing that it is almost impossible to find a Jewish laborer. Instead, one witnesses uneducated Jews vastly overrepresented in intellectual niches that in some way act as intermediaries between transactions. Such jobs include lending, insurance selling, advertising, manager and agent representing, gambling hosting, etc. The dominant saturation of Jews in these attractive non-physical, no-education-required professions naturally generates additional justified racist anger in the natives. A common symptom of this justified anger is to incorrectly label the over-represented Jews in these professions as parasites producing nothing, and thus deserving reproach. An intelligent person knows this is untrue because these professions do not

produce nothing—the free market proves they are productive services. Nevertheless, the point must remain clear—though this Jewish behavior is moral, it definitely maligns the native culture's entitled wellbeing.

Secondly, consistent to all races is a lack of motivation by the minority race to preserve the native race and culture, and this results in the native population's racial and cultural erosion. The uniquely high intelligence and intellectual energy of Jews results in particularly extreme race and cultural erosion of the native population. For example, if a Jew seeks to profit by erecting pornography venues in inappropriate locations thereby injuring the native environment, because Jews are so capable he will typically succeed much more than members of other races— while a black may or may not succeed with one or two venues, a Jew will succeed with a chain of venues. As another example, if a black real estate investor strategizes to buy all the low-income housing with the intent of cornering the market and raising the rates at the expense of the poor, he may or may not be successful. If a Jew does this, odds are he will be outstandingly successful thereby causing much more native community destruction than his non-Jewish colleague. As a third example, if a black lawyer strategizes to defend suspects guilty of heinous crimes, he will have a fraction of the success as will a typically high IQ and intellectually energetic Jewish lawyer; and thus, this Jewish lawyer damages the native culture.

A third critical consequence of natural Jewish DNA expression is that when the high Jewish intelligence and intellectual energy attempts to create good, because it is typically not restrained with the forbearance of wisdom, it sometimes inadvertently creates extreme evil that causes the native culture epic indefinite suffering. The main example of this is the discussed greatest social evil ever conceived—the Jewish sociological invention of communism. As discussed, the diabolical communist mutation of cultural Marxism (cultural communism) is right now genociding the white race and its culture from the Earth. Not only did Jews invent this genocidal intellectual

virus, but most current western Jews vote for leftist politicians and policies that support this white genocide.

Another example of Jewish brilliance attempting to do good but causing extreme evil is the high quality and quantity of Jewish leftist propaganda. All one needs to prove this is to read today's New York Times, Washington Post, Huffington Post, Atlantic, or others. Note the Jewish last names of the writers, and behold their unparalleled talent in their dark art of intellectual laceration—the shading of pictures, the choice omission of salient facts, the deceitful word choice, the repeated key lies that will be digested by the ignorant masses.

Strong validation of these three consequential truths is that a Jewish rabbi attests to them-- Rabbi Yaron Reuven states that in the decades before WWII it was innocent and fair Jewish business ventures within the German sex and financial industries, as well as the Jewish fruit of communism, that inadvertently maligned traditional German culture and economic health which especially provoked Hitler's ire. Behold the rabbi's quote, "Berlin in the 30's, in the 20's, was the Sodom and Gomorrah of the day... homosexuality, pornography, bestiality, all the garbage of the world, that was the capital of the world... all the bars, all the gay clubs, all the production which were all run by Jewish people, unfortunately." "Because of the high interest that the Jews charged people was so high, it ruined the economy in Germany. They destroyed it just like they destroyed Russia a few years before that, through their communism over there. Jews destroyed Russia, not greed, Jews destroyed, communism is by Jews. Don't let anybody confuse you. You look at history, Karl Marx, all the other eshiem [sic], they're all Jews... [Hitler] wrote in chapter 2 of Mein Kamph, they destroyed Russia, and now they're destroying Germany, that's why I have to destroy them. Now, this doesn't make him right. My point is that's actually real true history." Though Germany's financial collapse was largely caused by the consequences of World War I as well as the global impact of the United States Great Depression, the Rabbi's points are sound, very significant, and undeniable.

In final analysis, while it is unfortunate, and on a highest level unjust that the high intellect and mental energy of ethnic Jews results in them suffering oppression everywhere they settle, within the same verdict must be declared that clearly Jewish DNA expression inexorably maligns native cultures. It is helpful to restate: The veracity of this fateful Jewish sociological dynamic is firmly founded in the fact that a numerically astounding 109 national communities have expelled Jews. How can sceptics overcome that fact?

Because Jewish high intellect and intellectual energy has produced so many contributions to humanity in all cultural areas, Jewish DNA is worthy of respect; however, it is deeply clear that Jewish DNA expression cannot help but malign foreign cultures. Thus, while all races should preserve their race and its culture by denying foreigners permanent residence, it is especially important that the overly-capable Jewish race be denied immigration.

Finally, ponder a most relevant point regarding the future of the white race: because of the suicidally insane leftist policies, the painfully low IQ browns and blacks will demographically drown whites. In a matter of decades when whites become minorities in all their homelands, the naturally high IQ of whites will result in exactly the same oppressive societal dynamic with the majority browns and blacks that whites have manifested with Jews. It is not this resulting oppression that is incorrect, the oppression is a natural inextricable consequence of biological altruism. It is the championing of the terrible lie that racism is immoral that causes all of this suffering. Unless society evolves to understand that racism is normal, moral, and healthy, the thriving of the smartest races will always cause the less smart races to scream racism, and society will continue to destroy itself trying to correct racism when racism cannot be nor should be corrected. If the races lived separately, we'd solve almost all our social problems because multiethnicity and cultural Marxism would no longer be spoiling our attempts from the outset at social improvement.

### The Nazi Catastrophe



In the initial years of the implementation of Nazi ideology, Germany experienced unprecedented industrial and social success irrefutable evidence that there is significant value in contemplating Hitler's

taboo "Mein Kamph" treatise and his speeches. What is also true is that Hitler was responsible for an estimated 75 million deaths, the elimination of an entire generation of Germans, the genocide of at least hundreds of thousands of Jews\*, incomprehensible suffering, incalculable physical destruction, indefinite endurance of national German stigma, and a social quarantine of essential truths critical to human social growth that might exist in Nazism.

How can we reconcile such extreme positive and negative results? The reconciliation is that Hitler's Darwinian ideas on race, his understanding of the all-important inextricable link between race and culture, and the destructive influence on culture by foreign races were spot on. What was off was his lack of empathy relative to the average human. Hitler wasn't a psychopath— his reason for being was love for his country, but his Nazi ideology did not incorporate any instinctive human compassion which resulted in Nazis invading sovereign countries, murdering perhaps millions of innocent civilians, and performing medical experiments on children. It is difficult to imagine what could be more appalling than these acts, yet if the Nazis had prevailed, despite the uncertain fate of the rest of the world, it is reasonable to imagine that the German people would have achieved a paradise for themselves impossible to accomplish in a multiracial society. If such paradisical social achievement is humanity's ultimate goal, then humanity must mature into admitting that the Nazis, despite their atrocities, were somehow on the right track.

Yet, the primitive state of modern social progress blocks the exploration of this right track.

Were ends-justifying-means Nazi atrocities actually moral? The deeply taboo logical truth is that maybe they were, maybe they weren't. Is it actually immoral to holocaust Jews if the end result is a monoethnic homeland for seventy million Germans and their progeny? Is it wrong to kill twin Jewish children in horrific medical experiments if these experiments saved the lives of many Germans? Such moral guestions currently have no definite answer because, at this time in sociological historical progress ultimate morality remains unclear. It is this essential point, combined with the tremendous positives of Nazism that demands Nazism be investigated. So why wont virtually anyone honestly investigate Nazism? Firstly, virtually all people are unable to understand the above essential point because they have never learned to think independently, but only shudder in socially-programmed revulsion at its moral questions. Secondly, anyone that might investigate Nazism will not admit the results supporting Nazism because the taboo of these results will socially destroy him thereby preventing him from employment that feeds himself and his family. Despite academia's promise to defend intellectual researchers, none will defend him because it seems 100% of academia are either Marxists or cowards (If there is a single professor on Earth that will do his duty to defend honest research of Nazism, let him declare himself.). Clearly this social expulsion is an irresistible deterrent. Thus, because of this critically debilitating social situation, current humanity is simply not able to separate crucial beneficial Nazism ideology from its second world war consequences; and thus, Nazism will almost certainly never be socially acceptable.

The way forward is to appreciate and defiantly teach that Nazism did not need to express itself in this imperialistic, appallingly violent way, and then rebrand its humane sociology with another word, such as "ethno-nationalism" so the positives of Nazism can be retained and the repulsive consequences of the Third Reich can be

jettisoned. Indeed, Nazism could have expressed itself with mainstream acceptable compassion; Nazis could have achieved a monoethnic society peacefully— they could have refrained from invading sovereign countries, and they could have given a reasonable timetable for Jews and other foreigners to leave, and wished them well after humanely transporting them to the German border. This is how every modern society should behave today. It is this taboo against the exploration of the benefits of Nazism that is far and away the biggest obstacle against humanity's maximally needed social progress. Additionally, as will be later explained, it is probable this taboo will lead to the genocide of the white race—Because whites are no longer allowed to defend themselves and their homelands because of Nazi ideology stigma, they are suffering genocide by the saturation of tens of millions of unchecked unarmed invaders of the low IQ dark races.

Because the phrase "six million Jews" has been seen in newspaper print at least 234 times before the year 1945, and also because it seems physically mathematically impossible to send six million people into available gas chambers during the WW2 Holocaust, logic concludes six million Jews did not die in the Holocaust; however, thorough evidence confirms very many Jews were gassed at concentration camps. One bit of convincing Holocaust evidence is the actual diaries of SS guards at Auschwitz described in the book "KL Auschwitz Seen By The SS".

### The Ruinous Concept of Multiculturalism

Multiculturalism is the cultural Marxist championing of unlimited cultures within a society. As a typical fruit of leftist intelligence, it is stupid and deeply destructive. Multiculturalism's infantile logic argues that culture is a groundless commodity no more than moderately relevant because it corresponds to the values and sentimentality of one's birth nation. It asserts that everyone has a moral right to erect his indigenous culture wherever he goes, and it is "racist supremacy" to insist that the culture of a native racial majority should have a right to maintain saturation.

Not only does this leftist argument manifest a moronic comprehension of sociology, but also a total lack of awareness of the counter argument. As always, leftists are not motivated to investigate the counter arguments because their mental illness breeds hubris that assumes their ethics and logic prove unassailable. So then, what new suffering does this new leftist stupidity produce? Firstly, as discussed, it breeds racism in the native culture. Secondly, it invites irreconcilably differing cultural aspects into confrontation, such as Islam with any other religion. Thirdly, instead of either a minority or majority culture dominating an area which would result in either the benefit of the minority or majority, multiculturalism allows no one to benefit from a putrid cultural mush. As one example-- all races enjoy the white TV show "Cheers" and the black TV show "The Cosby



Show". Now everyone rejects NBC's "The Village", cancelled after its premier season. Notice how consistent is the pattern of leftist stupidity— economic Marxism causes egalitarian physical misery. Cultural Marxism causes egalitarian psychological misery. And they

will never ever grow from viewing these appalling outcomes because, once again, the virus has arrested their ability for rational thought.

# The Tragic Plight of The African Race



All the human races acutely suffer in different ways from multiracial society, yet the black plight is especially pathetic. Because the total of intellectual and physical traits of the average black, such as their already

discussed very deeply proven average 85 IQ (including their typical inability to speak reasonably correct English), their unusually high male testosterone levels that precipitate violence, and also their physical characteristics—skin tone, flat noses, exaggerated lips, dark brown eyes, kinky hair, and body smell) elicit unparalleled repugnance from non-blacks, they suffer the most direct racist consequences, and thus their plight is the easiest to point to as undeniable evidence that multiracial society is truly a form of hell. It is not only racist repugnance from which blacks suffer. Blacks have steadily composed merely 12%-13% of the citizenry, and a smallest fraction of the most influential jobs; thus, they have not had the power to significantly alter politics. Secondly yet worse is, exactly because they are so politically challenged, combined with their tragic slave-trade Africa uprooting, blacks suffer eternal social and political molestation by the Democrats because of the Democrats' sickening insistence to both cluelessly remedy their plight, and also exploit them to unjustly shame whites into ceding their culture for a communist alternative. Democrats bully the blacks to vote one day, and riot the next. All the while the helplessly low-IQ black race never understands the dynamic or cause of their bane which is Democrat cultural Marxist activism. It is a tragic and sickening plight.

Now, this moment in our investigation illuminates the so desperately needed articulation of the essential ill at the center of the plight of United States blacks...

This treatise ignores the standard cultural plight of an immigrant because of the implied logic that he voluntarily chose debilitating separation from his culture when he decided to emigrate. In other words, if his culture was so important to him he wouldn't have left it, and if he too strongly misses his culture he is free to return to his homeland. Now behold that the native United States blacks never made such a choice; thus, their plight is especially terrible— for hundreds of years the United States blacks have endured the psychological results of forced absence of their cultural amniotic fluid (To my knowledge, no one has yet articulated or appropriately stressed this.). Leftists stress ad nauseam that white cultural oppression is the culprit. As always, they are wrong. Not only will no amount of blame, coddling, or empowerment heal black Americans; but most illuminatingly, no amount of right-wing self-responsibility will heal them either. It is not the false and pernicious white oppression narrative that is the source of epic black-American pain; the source is the absence of black culture—a subtle but maximally crucial difference. In the same way that water does not harm a drowning man, indigenous white majority cultural dominance is not

harming blacks. As it is actually the deprivation of oxygen that causes the drowning man's suffering, it is the deprivation of black racial culture that causes the black man's suffering. No matter how much a foolish doctor insists that the drowning man's antidote is empowerment against water, his suffering will not



tribal culture surrounds them.

alleviate. The drowning man's only antidote is the reinstatement of oxygen. In this way the black race's antidote is not empowerment against white culture. The black race's only antidote is the reinstatement of its racial culture. This term "racial culture" necessarily implies every aspect of culture previously discussed, not withstanding national pride. Notice how fateful is this requirement of national pride—this means that in order for blacks to attain their centuries deprived psychological peace they must activate their right to self-determination. United States blacks must either return to Africa or establish a new North American black country through amicable negotiation with the United States government. Immutable natural law refuses all other solutions.

# **Cultural Marxism in Multiracial Society**



While simple multiracial society is fundamentally disastrous yet perhaps tolerable, the inevitable inextricable infection of cultural Marxism within multiracial society results in true psychological hell. This is not an overstatement. If our current racial disharmony was only cultural deterioration, it would be nowhere near as extreme as it is today. Cultural Marxism is gasoline to the fire of racial and cultural tension. Because Western multiracial culture is completely saturated with this gasoline, we have literal psychological hell on Earth. This cultural Marxist pedigree of our racial disharmony is evidenced in the extreme extent to which cultural Marxism manipulates the citizenry into cultivating and fomenting undeserved anti-white racism.

Now it is time to introduce the essence of this treatise— the elucidation and irrefutable proof of the real genocide against the white race. The term genocide was coined by Raphael Lemkin in 1944 as "a coordinated strategy to destroy a group of people, a process that could be accomplished through total annihilation as well as strategies that eliminate key elements of the group's basic existence. language, culture. including and economic infrastructure." We have already defined the aim of cultural Marxism— to eliminate "oppression" and its "oppressors". The perverse nature of the cultural Marxism virus classifies all interaction in its binary terms, and then prioritizes its annihilation efforts relative to the perceived magnitude of oppression. The cultural Marxism justice machinery of critical theory has resoundingly evidenced through its consistent course conclusions that the most deleterious oppression originates from white culture and its originating white race; thus, the fateful byproduct of cultural Marxism logic necessarily compels the highest priority to white genocide. Harvard University's lauded overt genocide advocate Noel Ignatiev stated, "There can be no white race without the phenomenon of white supremacy... the task is to bring this minority together in such a way that it makes it impossible for the legacy of whiteness to continue to reproduce itself... Treason to whiteness is loyalty to humanity." As we can see, the current white genocide isn't led by a person such as Hitler, or peoples such as the Turks. The perpetrator of the current white genocide is the cultural Marxism intellectual virus itself.



The left's ill-founded excuse for their anti-white racism is that they motivate not from a desire to demoralize whites and erase their culture, but to advance non-white culture. While there is no mathematical difference, indeed there is a moral

difference. In their hallmark hubristic utopian stupidity leftists deem it self-evident that healthy morality works toward a society in which all races are equally represented culturally and politically. Intelligent investigation proves this morality false. It cannot be repeated enough that culture is the amniotic fluid of enduring racial DNA. Stripping the culture from a race's homeland is the same as stripping the roots from a flower—it is direct murder; and thus, maximal defense against a majority's cultural removal is maximally morally warranted. Healthy morality does not work toward a society in which all races are equally represented culturally and politically. Healthy morality acknowledges the natural and moral law of the numerical majority's right to cultural dominance. While the white majorities in the United States and Europe have a moral responsibility to respect the rights of the minority, the minority has a moral responsibility to culturally submit to the native majority. It is this most critical truth that is only instinctively appreciated by the right, and completely unknown to the left; and thus, morality demands it be constantly publicly affirmed. If the minority finds this morally correct situation unacceptable, they can either war against the majority or they can leave. If the minority chooses war, they may now morally persist in their cultural Marxist subversion. The point is that a clear-minded majority must wake up to the fact that the left's cultural Marxist actions are exactly real war against them; and thus, the native racial majority has the maximal right to both publicly acknowledge the warring minority, and fight against them with all morally suggested means. When the majority finally behaves in this clear way, the minority will awaken to reality, and correct harmony

will restore. Though
this public
acknowledgement
and behavior is the
correct and
necessary path to
true justice and
harmony, the
current cultural



Marxist zombie army would react to this with unjust righteous physical violence. Thus, if the rightists want to heal their societies, they must cultivate a required deep resolve to remain steadfast against leftist unwarranted moral antagonism.

Despite the overwhelming efforts and sacrifices whites have made to accommodate blacks such as 620,000 white men dead in the American Civil War, post-bellum black codes, segregation, desegregation, rampant violent crime and riot toleration. preferential treatment in academic and professional placement, unrelenting disproportionate media representation, undeserved anti-white racism has only increased exactly because the cultural Marxism intellectual virus demands nothing less than white cultural and racial annihilation. Jewish intellectual David Horowitz recently affirmed it plainly, "The biggest social problem in America is rampant anti-white racism." Once again we witness the staggering hypocrisy of the left— while boasting the moral high-ground, daily instances of their monstrous morality litter our institutions. The New York Times newspaper gleefully defended astoundingly anti-white racist editor Sarah Jeong's tweets of "#CancelWhitePeople", "Dumbass fucking white people marking up the internet with their opinions like dogs pissing on fire hydrants", "Oh man, it's kind of sick how much joy I get out of being cruel to old white men." The profound racism of Charlottesville Vice Mayor Wes Bellamy initiated the legislation to remove the Robert E. Lee statue that sparked the now infamous 2017 Charlottesville violence (though not a single leftist newspaper includes this salient fact in the narrative). Even now he remains on

the Charlottesville council despite well over a dozen heinous tweets such as "I hate seeing white people in Orangeburg", "So sad seeing



these beanpole body white women in these sundresses", and "I don't like white people so I hate white snow." Netflix promotes a film series titled "Dear White People". The cover of Ubisoft's videogame "Far Cry" portrays two smiling black teenagers torturing a white man.



Such enraging examples accost whites daily.

In these last few years the progress of unjust anti-white racism has advanced to such a previously unimaginable extent that even the most basic sacrosanct liberties of whites are now either repressed or completely removed. Democrat Senator Chuck Schumer voted against a South Carolina judge's federal appointment only because

his skin white. **DCCC** was Executive Director and war veteran Allison Jaslow was forced to resign in tears on her birthday only because her skin was white. Ithaca High School was forced to cancel its play "Beauty and The Beast" only because the lead actress' skin was white. University of California at Berkeley students were prevented from crossing an essential bridge only because



Allison Jaslow was fired only because her skin was white.

their skin was white. Evergreen State College students demanded a day of campus absence of certain students only because their skin was white. When a single white teacher declared this demand immoral, students demanded his removal, and rioted for days thus shutting down the entire campus. Western Connecticut State University President John Clark declared that students may be punished with expulsion and civil and criminal prosecution if they post "It's OK to be white." Ponder how this deepest, overt, socially-accepted mainstream violent anti-white racist oppression is not fiction, but present reality. Ponder how unimaginably low our once beautiful society has fallen. Ponder how far Democrat seething evil has progressed, then ponder how sick are Democrats to believe they bear superior morality.

What virtually none discuss is the damage this astounding unfair antiwhite racism inflicts on the blacks. In the rare instance that a black is able to see through the cultural Marxist dynamic, he feels a deep uneasiness from society's demand that he wrongfully condemn the native culture. Excluding a lucky handful, cultural Marxism decisively destroys black psyches. Every corner of society screams at blacks, "You are special! You are smart! You are oppressed everywhere, but good people are fighting for you!" Thus, every black asks himself,

"Why don't colleges hold blacks to the same academic standards?" "Most people are white, but why are blacks in 50% of commercial media?" "Why don't people want to admit that we commit so much crime?" Such widespread dishonesty yields two results. In the first case the black concludes that society deceives him because his abilities are shamefully inadequate. This irreparably destroys his self-



esteem thus condemning him to a life of depression. In the second case he is duped into thinking he is intellectually as capable as society invariably says he is, so it must be whites who are keeping his race out of intellectually competitive schools and professions, and in prison. This invariably results in lifelong unjust anti-white racism, as well as indiscriminate rage.

The full elucidation of the current white genocide will occur later after we have finished investigating the consequences of cultural Marxism in multiracial society.

#### "White Supremacy":

### **Cultural Marxism's White Genocide Weapon**


"If somebody is kept confessing, you can achieve control over his guilt and shame mechanisms. **There is no greater control that one can achieve over a human being.**" -Dr. Jay Lifton The cultural Marxism intellectual virus has birthed the most diabolical psychological weapon in history. This genocidal weapon is the concept "white supremacy".

Wikipedia defines white supremacy as "the racist belief that white people are superior to people of other races <u>and therefore should be dominant over them</u>". **Behold the debunk of this false concept** 

"white supremacy": whites are intellectually superior to the darker races, but this in no way means whites aim to dominate over the darker races. Despite how desperately leftist attempts to conflate objective superior racial intelligence and a desire to dominate over others, they clearly remain separate. The fiction of the term "white supremacy" could not be more obvious, because the truth is that



there seemingly exists no cases of it-- I've never met or heard of a single white man wanting to dominate another race, have you? Even Adolph Hitler didn't want to dominate others—he wanted to genocide Jews, and demarcate a large country for his people so his people could live only among themselves— he did not want to dominate anyone. Every healthy white can attest that the true common white social aspiration is the opposite of "white supremacy"— the actual dream of whites is not to dominate others but for others to leave them alone. How joyous it would be for whites if the rest of the world didn't so admire them, invade their homelands through illegal immigration, condemn and rape their culture at every opportunity. Without introducing the term "white supremacy", the dynamic of this societal situation is obvious—The intellectually inferior and thus incapable darker races are unable to create the modern attractive societies that whites can, so they immorally interlope in white society. The leftists, because they live in an insane universe of not logical but emotional physics, then demand that whites accommodate the immoral interlopers by surrendering their culture—a maximally immoral demand. Behold a simple analogy: imagine if one morning a white family discovers that



a Nigerian man broke into their house and was sleeping in their living room. The insane laws of the land prevent the white family from ejecting him, so he remains. Now instead of respecting the family's home culture, the Nigerian man places Rastafarian posters on the walls, fixes the television channel to black media, plays rap music throughout the night, and on Christmas he refuses the placing of a Christmas tree. When the family appeals to society that this Nigerian man's behavior is unacceptable because it has disturbed the home culture, the response is not to reestablish the family culture in the house, but instead to excommunicate the family from society (which includes preventing the family from earning money for survival) because the family's request was "racist"; in other words it was socially deemed appallingly unacceptably immoral. This family's demand to maintain its home culture was appallingly unacceptably immoral?? This dynamic is exactly what results from the so deeply evil term "white supremacy" — at the least, it forces whites in their homelands to be heinously abused by the other races.

Behold a further debunk this diabolical false concept "white supremacy"-- Asians and Jews are typically smarter than whites, and Jews particularly dominate society per capita; thus, a more correct pejorative is either Asian or Jewish supremacy. Race realism reveals

blacks to be better athletes, and blacks dominate sports per capita, so shouldn't we also be battling black-supremacy by demanding racial quotas in the NBA?

The motivation of this "white supremacy" dupe is that it is not the white race that obsesses with its racial intellectual superiority, it is the leftists that do because their mental sickness makes them intractably anxious with proven white intellectual superiority, because it directly refutes their sick psychological foundation that requires all humans to be intellectually equal so communist utopia can be achieved. The white race and its amniotic fluid of culture must be destroyed exactly because the white race is the wellspring of manifest unparalleled ingenuity, propriety, and productivity— the evidence of astounding white success is too damning to the communist utopia narrative. Furthermore, the fact that the white race has proven so historically benevolent to the other races (which will be discussed later) is not a positive to the leftists, but an obstacle that must constantly be overcome through lies and omission so that no just compassion may generate for whites that could slow rabid white cultural destruction and white genocide.

Now that the concept "white has supremacy" been debunked, behold how "white supremacy" is actually diabolical weapon created to eliminate the white culture and race: Similar to how the AIDS virus strips the body's immune system from being able to defend itself from deadly the "whitepathogens, supremacy" growth within the cultural Marxist intellectual virus strips the ability for the



white race to articulate or even appreciate its most basic right to

racial survival. "White supremacy" has rendered the white race helpless; and thus, it is being rapidly torn apart. If a white person shows even a hint of wanting to preserve his homeland's demographic dominance or the amniotic fluid of his culture, he is socially and financially destroyed by false affiliation with this diabolical term "white supremacist". Ponder how ridiculous it would be to demand Mexicans, or Chinese, or Africans to not create their natural racial media in their own homelands—to socially forbid them from expressing, promoting, and enjoying their people and culture within their movies, television shows, commercials, books, music, public awards, and everywhere else. Yet this is the current reality for whites—the aggressive annihilation of their sanity sustaining culture.

# **Immigration Policy in**

# **Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society**



The cultural Marxism virus correctly appreciates immigration invasion in Europe and the United States as the most effective opportunity to accelerate white genocide through a three-pronged attack of cultural destruction, financial destruction, and physical replacement.


But firstly, if biological altruism is such an immutable natural law, then why does the citizenry approve mass alien racial invasion? The healthy citizenry never approves mass alien racial invasion. Who would seriously believe a healthy racial majority would desire droves of racially and culturally different people, with startlingly low IQs and high violent tendencies, to join their communities, let alone invading en masse to outnumber them? The concept elicits laughter. Asylum seekers are irrelevant to this discussion, and the amount of authentic asylum seekers proves innocuous anyway.

There are many reasons why our government maintains its suicidal immigration behavior. Greedy corporations lobby lawmakers to maintain a cheap immigrant labor pool. Many if not most white Americans have never appreciated their racial consciousness, so they ignore the topic. The cultural Marxism infected cherish immigration because it is the most effective and rapid weapon to destroy American culture, actualize leftist multiracial utopia, and very consequentially add to their voting base. The average citizen is horrified by this fate, but their representatives are cowed by the

threat of being labeled racists, so even a small amount of proimmigration pressure is enough to maintain unarmed alien invasion.

Note how the destruction of the West is always rooted in the fear of being labeled racist. There is nothing more crucial than overcoming this fear of being labeled racist. As discussed, the solution is not to explain a nuance of how one is not racist because the left ignores it. The solution is to wrest back the highest highground from the monstrous left by declaring that racism is normal, moral, and healthy. Only this declaration removes their most potent weapon—the radioactive labeling of "racist".

It cannot be stated enough: the cultural Marxism virus has correctly appreciated immigration invasion in Europe and the United States as miraculous opportunities to accelerate white genocide through a three-pronged attack of cultural destruction, financial destruction, and physical replacement. This agenda evidences in the defiant



maintenance of invasions by Muslim Middle-Easterners and Africans into Europe, and Latin Americans into the United States. In the United States the cultural Marxism virus has legally forced upon the indigenous citizenry 1,000,000 Latin American immigrants per year for the last 30 years. Add to this frightening total the number of illegal



aliens— in each of the 2019 months of March, April, and May alone, over 100,000 illegals violated the southern United States border. Now that the virus has successfully injected staggering quantities of non-whites, the physical and cultural replacement (genocide) executes through immigrant usurpation of white living space, and destruction of white cultural pillars.

Economically speaking, the white genocide forces the white native majority to pay never-ending provisions for typically unskilled non-whites. These non-whites pay little to no taxes. They send much if not most of their earned money back to their homelands. They take advantage of free law enforcement, free national defense, free schooling, free amenities, free infrastructure, free housing, free food, and also free healthcare at emergency-room premiums. This must inevitably result in national financial collapse. This outcome is in no way fantasy— in June 2019 ten out of ten United States Democrat

US NEWS

# Migrant crisis has US taxpayers on the hook for up to \$451B, House GOP report says

By Josh Christenson

Published Nov. 13, 2023, 9:00 a.m. ET


presidential candidates decried building a southern border wall, and also explicitly supported federal healthcare for illegal aliens.

The moral correctness of halting illegal



immigration proves overwhelming. It upholds our democratically agreed upon federal immigration law. It prevents the moral hazard of ignoring this law, and thus maintains the critical effectiveness of our government. It preserves the psychologically critical racial majority and its cultural institutions. It preserves the citizenry's economic health. It preserves citizen access to law enforcement, medicine, employment, amenities, k-12 and college education, and infrastructure expansion and maintenance. It prevents additional racial tension, crime, terrorism, disease, homelessness, traffic, overpopulation, and pollution.

There exists no remotely sound leftist case to compel our acceptance of millions of illegals. Genuine asylum seekers are irrelevant to this discussion except to note that the "asylum seekers" from countries south of Mexico are directly violating official (and moral) international law because they ignore the requirement to seek asylum in the first safe country available which is Mexico. The singular non cultural Marxist reason promoting illegal immigration is that it may be morally correct to allow others the opportunity to improve their quality of life. This reason instantly fails on the basis that no one possesses the right to impose his morality on the citizenry, because the citizenry's societal structure is governed by laws mandated by the citizenry's elected legislature. As we can see, there is no reasonable case for allowing into our society these millions upon millions of illegals.



Thus, clearly there exists no other reason why the Democrats so passionately defy immigration law enforcement, block new legislation, and refuse to fund existing efficient illegal immigration solutions such as a border wall if they weren't preserving such a potent and permanent acceleration of indigenous cultural destruction, and what indeed amounts to provable white genocide. Of course, this domestic invasion enrages the average citizen, but he feels powerless against it because the cultural Marxism virus has deprived him of his outlets for societal change— as mentioned, it is unlikely his government officials or fellow citizens would support him because everyone fears the zombie army's racism accusation. Make no mistake, before the ascension of the cultural Marxism army of darkness, the United States citizenry publicly recognized its moral preference to preserve its racial majority within immigration policy. Even during the fateful passage of the Immigration Reform Act of 1965 which is the origin of our present immigration disaster, Democrat Senator Ted Kennedy implicitly validated the moral legitimacy of the citizenry's racial preference when he said, "The ethnic mix of this country will not be upset." The best example of our former healthy affirmation of biological altruism is the United States

Immigration Act of 1924 which explicitly aimed to preserve the indigenous north-western European racial stock by setting severe quotas on southern and eastern European immigration, and a total Asian immigration. Behold how freely Colorado representative William Vaile expressed his biological altruism, race realism, and commitment to maintain the United States' founding racial identity... "Let me emphasize here that the restrictionists of Congress do not claim that the Nordic race, or even the Anglo-Saxon race, is the best race in the world. Let us concede, in all fairness that the Czech is a more sturdy laborer, with a very low percentage of crime and insanity... that the Jew is the best businessman in the world, and that the Italian has... a spiritual exaltation and an artistic creative sense which the Nordic rarely attains... What we do claim is that the northern European and particularly Anglo-Saxons made this country. Oh, yes; the others helped. But... they came to this country because it was already made as an Anglo-Saxon commonwealth. They added to it, they often enriched it, but they did not make it, and they have not yet greatly changed it. We are determined that they shall not... It is a good country. It suits us. And what we assert is that we are not going to surrender it to somebody else or allow other people, no matter what their merits, to make it something **different.** If there is any changing to be done, we will do it ourselves."

Now compare William Vaile's honest and healthy statement to the sick words of today's Democrat presidential candidate Joe Biden, "...not only our Muslim communities, but African communities, Asian communities, Hispanic communities, and the [immigration] wave still continues. It's not gonna stop, nor should we want it to stop... Folks like me who are Caucasian of European descent, for the first time... we'll be in an absolute minority in the United States of America, absolute minority. Fewer than 50% of the people in America from then and on will be white European stock. That's not a bad thing, that's the source of our strength." The immigration wave is the source of our strength? Nothing could be further from the truth. The immigration wave is our greatest destroyer, yet the fear of being called racist allows the persistence of indoctrinating that 2+2=7.

Thus is created an abhorrent situation—The cultural Marxism zombie army intimidates the remaining healthy citizenry of white homelands into denying its deepest nature of defending its economy, culture, and race. To deny nature is to deny the universe itself, which is clearly insane, and must result in suffering of which the white race is now experiencing. As white culture and population numbers dwindle, their deserved rage increases. As the minority's numbers increase, so will their sense of cultural entitlement, and the strength of society's

cultural Marxist intimidation. The organically happy and thriving mono-ethnic society has now been replaced with a synthetic society resentful, confused, and hostile, abhorrent to the psyche of a healthy human. Natural harmony has been replaced with wretched complex competition for cultural dominance. Such a catastrophe can yield no other dynamic. The white race has already repeatedly lashed out with vigilantes in defensive mass



murder. Of course it will again. What logic suggests otherwise? Real possibilities will swell of civil war. To deny the universe in such a profound way must result in profound suffering.

To witness the horrific consequences of the invasion of Europe, you can follow the social media "X" accounts @RadioGenoa, @EuropeInvasionn (two "n"s), and others.

# **Cultural Marxism's Subversion Technique**



As discussed, the cultural Marxism virus appreciates that to subvert the culture it must destroy the culture's pillars. To destroy these pillars it must strip them of their defending citizens. Cultural Marxism's technique to remove citizens defending their culture is to manufacture and propagate enough propaganda against each cultural pillar so that either the defending citizens remove themselves as a result of demoralization (stripped motivation), or they are removed by the attacks of demoralized others. When the defense is removed the cultural pillars are destroyed. When all the cultural pillars are destroyed, the culture is destroyed. In each of the following sections behold the consistent implementation of exactly this technique.

#### The Horrific Results of

#### Western Cultural Marxism Infection

"Every record has been destroyed or falsified, every book rewritten, every picture has been repainted, every statue and street building has been renamed, every date has been altered. And the process is continuing day by day and minute by minute. History has stopped. Nothing exists except an endless present in which the Party is always right." -Orwell

#### Journalism In Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society



Cultural Marxist journalistic propaganda is Western culture's greatest enemy. It may seem that the societal damage inflicted by duplicitous journalism is only moderate. It is no less than colossal. As Orwell stated over seventy years ago, "The people will believe what the media tells them they believe." KGB defector Yuri Besmenov's specific job was the propagation of propaganda. He stated, "Media—who elected them? How come they have so much power? Almost monopolistic power on your mind, they can rape your mind, but who elected them? They have a nerve to decide what is good and what is bad for the elected, by you, president of the United States and his administration. Who the hell are they?" These monsters have refined

the dark art of political spin to such a degree that even the wide awake reader struggles to refute modern articles asserting 2+2=7.



The problem originates with the unfortunate reality that the ease of brainwashing is in direct proportion to the size of the ego. Most everyone's ego is large, yet because leftist minds particularly eschew logic and wisdom, their egos are typically largest; thus, they are particularly easily brainwashed. Because leftists are certain of their



morality and discernment, when they intake news media they have no need to check facts or question narratives. In this way some of the political right and all of the left are duped to believe critical misrepresentations of truth, and often sheer lies.

Three facts cement journalistic propaganda as the worst societal scourge. Firstly, the social consequences for journalistic immorality prove insignificant because political stakes trump morality much of the time for rightists and all the time for leftists. Secondly, litigious deterrents prove irrelevant. It is easy for journalists to circumvent libel laws with skillful word choice and sentence assembly; additionally, any libel damages they incur pale in comparison to their direct and indirect financial profits. Thirdly, logic seems to dictate a legislative solution impossible because the only thing worse than a free press is an un-free press because a lack of free speech spawns tyranny.


The recent election of President Donald Trump poured a never before experienced anti-viral into the United States' cultural Marxism infection. The virus wailed in pain and panic in the form of propaganda of intensity and quantity never before seen in the West. Behold just a few examples of these deafening shrieks... "Trump Adds Jews To His Hit Parade Of Hatred" -CNN, "Trump Declares War On Black Athletes" -The Atlantic, "The Weaponization of National Belonging, from Nazi Germany to Trump" -The New Yorker, "To 'Contain' Trump, White House Tries Treating Him Like A Toddler" -MSNBC, "Trump's Border Wall Gets America Nowhere On Border Security" -The New York Times, "The Republican Win In Arizona Was Another Tough Night For The GOP" -The Washington Post. Behold these anti-white racist shrieks... "Can My Children Be Friends With White People?" –New York Times, "Atheism Has A Big Race Problem That No One's Talking About" -The Washington Post, "Is Your Spin Class Too Young, Too Thin, And Too White?"- The Washington Post, "Classical Opera Has A Racism Problem" -The New York Times, "Birding Is Booming. So Where Are The Black Birders?" - Yes! Magazine, "Robotics Has A Race Problem" - Sage Journals,



"International Development Has A Race Problem" –Devex, "Are The Winter Olympics Racist?" –The Sydney Morning Herald, "Is Occupy Wall Street Too White?" –Time, "For These Women, A Fire That Burns Too Male And Too White." —The New York Times, "Dutch City: Street Names Too White, Will Be Made More Diverse" –Breitbart, "Yale Students Tell English Professors To Stop Teaching English: Too Many White Male Poets" –Reason, "'Little Women' Is Just 'Too White' Critic Says" –The Daily Wire, "Library Journal Suggests Libraries Are Too

White." –The Post Millennial, "'Too White' Towns Are Labeled Unhealthy" – The Sunday Times, "London Cyclists Too White" –Independent.

These leftist journalists that dedicate their lives to lacerating their trusting citizenry are literally the most spiritually grotesque humans on earth, worse than child-molesters, rapists, or murderers. In a perfect world they'd receive the severest punishment.




#### **Education in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society**



Second only to journalism, is the transformation of K-12 schools and especially colleges from essential education to evil indoctrination that smashed everything beautiful. As previously discussed, there is no other situation


effective for deepest brainwashing than when our most callow and eager young adults congregate to open wide their tender minds to seemingly venerable professors. The rooms of Harvard, Yale, Berkeley, UCLA—these are not classrooms but ovens for the ongoing intellectual holocaust of our sons and daughters. These once level-headed children now scream for societal policies based on nothing rational that will only bring every form of suffering and destruction. Our astoundingly stupid ongoing inaction to halt any of this proves that we deserve our eminent societal collapse.

No longer do we have the ability to educate our youth in the wise tradition of respect for the teachings of the time-tested greatest minds that made the West so free and happy and prosperous. Cultural Marxism has deemed this respect for truth and wisdom (insert cut-paste cultural Marxist adjectives) homophobic, xenophobic, racist, bigoted, elitist, Eurocentric, exclusionist, upholding the values and institutions of white-supremacy. In its place the virus has embedded concepts illogical, arbitrary, and false such as "diversity", "inclusion", and "multiculturalism" that hold no merit but the evil ability to intimidate reason, justice, and true compassion so as to maintain the propagation of the virus itself. Of course we will experience societal collapse, and with it terrible physical and psychological suffering, of course! This is neither a profound nor prophetic statement. Turn on the TV. Take a walk. It's already here.



Critical theory now spawns courses such as "African-American studies" and "Whiteness studies" aimed to destroy our societal pillar of racial cohesion. "African-American studies" is not a reasonable exploration of black history; it is an overtly

bad-faith conviction of whites and their culture. Before infection the black student sees his white classmate as a peer who shares experiences and interests. Now he sees him as an evil oppressor. "Whiteness studies" does not investigate how whites have produced what is widely regarded as the most advanced, fruitful, compassionate, and generous culture in human history. It instead evilly and unjustifiably aims to destroy national pride by distorting history to blame as many negative aspects of society as possible on white immorality and oppression thus indoctrinating whites with guilt, and minorities with anti-white rage.



The justification and technique of incorporating aggressive cultural Marxism into all academic settings is written in what may be the most evil book ever produced by man- Paulo "Pedagogy of The Freire's Oppressed". Among many deeply destructive premises, Freire asserts that the essence of Western culture is the promotion and cultivation of oppression. He asserts that the

oppressed are actually frightened of freedom, so they must be conditioned to achieve freedom through unceasing indoctrination of resentment and rebellion. To achieve this sick aim Freire instructs teachers to subordinate teaching course material in favor of his prescribed indoctrination. If students sign up for chemistry, the teacher should first indoctrinate cultural Marxist worldview, relate it to current events, and then in the remaining time teach chemistry through analogies and encouragement of political revolution. As any modern university student can confirm, and as is directly displayed in the repressed documentary "Indoctrinate U", this is exactly what occurs in today's classrooms.

An example of the breathtaking consequences of unimpeded cultural Marxism saturation within our universities is witnessed in the current enrollment requirements for a UCLA English literature degree:

- 1. A course in gender, race, ethnicity, disability, or sexuality studies
- 2. A course in "imperial", "trans-national", or "post-colonial" studies
- 3. A course in cultural Marxism's "critical theory"

What is not required: reading Shakespeare.

By now the cultural Marxism virus has ensured its permanent dominance with the erection of an unassailable academia framework. Behold the publicly funded bureaucracy of University of California at San Diego— "UCSD Diversity Bureau": The Associate Vice Chancellor for Faculty Equity, The Assistant Vice Chancellor for Diversity, The Staff Diversity Liaison, The Undergraduate Diversity Liaison, The Graduate Student Diversity Liaison, The Chief Diversity Officer, The Director of Development for Diversity Initiatives, The Director of the Cross-Cultural Center, The Director of the Lesbian Gay Bisexual Transgender Resource Center, The Director of The Woman's Center. Ponder the redundancy and ridiculousness of these titles. Ponder the profound drain on taxpayer money. Ponder the damage done by these people to the harmony of the classical education environment. In every corner of the United States and Europe this is

the state of academia. How can we possibly expect our Western culture to survive?

This section would be incomplete without an articulation of the left's most institutionalized racist mechanism— "affirmative action". "Affirmative action" unabashedly subordinates meritocracy from academic admission processes to give class spots to less qualified students of less intelligent races. At best there might have been merit for "affirmative action" during the first twenty years since the desegregation of Unites States schools. Well over 50 years of "affirmative action" along with the race-realism data discussed earlier has irrefutably verified that blacks are less academically capable. Yet because this intellectual deficit of the black race contradicts leftist utopian reality, our leftist culture finds no other way to maintain its delusion than to continue to blame "white oppression". The obvious injustice of "affirmative action" not only breeds justified resentment in whites and Asians, but major psychological damage to the innocent hopeful black students.

Because the curriculum is too difficult for them, they typically spend four years socially isolated at the bottom of their classes, or they fail out. They might never overcome unfounded guilt that they have disappointed their family, race, and themselves because of a personal failure.



Once again note that blacks are the least to morally blame in all of this, yet they and whites unendingly suffer both as isolated groups, and together as they are pitted against each other by the arrogant and vociferous cultural Marxism infected Democrats. Again, it is only the conservatives that have the clarity to ameliorate the chaos, but they have not the conviction to command the dialogue.

#### **History in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society**

"The most effective way to destroy people is to deny and obliterate their own understanding of their history." -Orwell



Cultural Marxism's weakening of Western culture is terribly effective in its complex distortion of historical truth to render a wretched narrative. While it would take hundreds of pages to set straight the prevailing false narratives against the United States, this treatise will briefly set straight the most pernicious of these. Note how our cultural Marxist school textbooks never inform our future generations of these myriad critical facts...


#### The Atlantic Slave Trade And The Plight Of Black Americans

Because slavery is such a repugnant institution, the cultural Marxists have twisted the truth of slavery history to create a grotesque false narrative depicting the white race as heartless monsters. As is naturally the case with cultural Marxism narratives, the truth is just

the opposite. This is confirmed through historical documentation and common sense. Here is a brief summary of hidden slavery history. You can confirm the omission of these facts by examining any mainstream school history textbook...

- **1. 100% of major cultures in human history have practiced slavery.** The word "slave" comes from the word "Slav" because the white Slavic people so often suffered enslavement of white, Mongol, and Arab slave traders.
- **2.** When the first African slave was sold to a European, a robust African slave trade already thrived for many centuries. Africans had been trading slaves not only amongst themselves, but to the Muslim Middle East for over 700 years.
- **3.** While approximately **11,000,000** African slaves were shipped to the Americas, only **500,000** were shipped to North America. During this time **16,000,000** African slaves were shipped to Muslim Middle-Eastern territories. Muslims have owned the most slaves in world history by far—at least **19,000,000**.
- 4. The total of free Africans enslaved by whites is ZERO, while the total of free whites enslaved by Africans is about 1,200,000. Although leftist folklore insists there occurred European slave raids in Africa, there exists zero evidence of this. Logic would also conclude that slavers were better off simply buying cheap slaves than undertaking risky complications of slave raids. On the other hand, the North African Barbary Pirates raided all of coastal Europe including England and Iceland. This also reveals that over twice as many whites were enslaved by Africans than Africans were enslaved by North Americans.

5. It was European morality that ended slavery. Denmark was the




first to ban slavery in 1803. Britain banned its slave trade in 1807, and the practice of slavery in 1834. The United States banned the slave trade in 1808, and the practice of slavery in 1865. The British navy, motivated only by exemplary morality, spent tremendous resources arresting thousands of

slave ships to maintain a decades long blockade to end the Atlantic slave trade. Royal Navy Lieutenant Patrick Forbes estimates that during a period of 26 years, 103,000 slaves were set free because of British efforts.

- **6.** The African governments championed the slave trade and resisted its European abolition. In the early 19<sup>th</sup> century King Ghezo of what is present day Benin stated, "The slave trade has been the ruling principle for my people, it is the source of their glory and their wealth. Their songs celebrate their victories, and the mother lulls the child to sleep with notes of triumph of an enemy reduced to slavery." These African leaders were so against Europe's abolition of the slave trade that they actually sent delegates to London to protest. One delegate even insisted that his "oracle and priests" had told him that their god, as well as the Christian and Muslim gods, completely approved of slavery.
- 7. From 1600 to 1800, one-half to two-thirds of whites entering America arrived as "indentured servant" slaves. The term "kidnapping" originates from the English criminal practice of "kidnabbing"— stealing children to sell as slaves. Most were "indentured servants", but the typical reality was that their masters manipulated their documents so they were enslaved permanently. Laws relating to fugitive black slaves equally applied to these whites.

- 8. At the beginning of the American Civil War 95.2% of the Confederate States' population did not own slaves.
- 9. Many black Americans owned multiple slaves. In New Orleans in




1860, over 3,000 free blacks (28% of the black population) owned slaves. The black Louisianan with the most slaves was P.C. Richards with 152 slaves on his sugar-cane plantation. black Louisianan Dubuclet owned over 100 slaves on his sugar-cane plantation valued at (in 1860 dollars) \$264,000. The of average earning white Southerner at this time was \$3,978. After the Civil War. Dubuclet became Louisiana's State Treasurer.

10. The United States practiced slavery for merely 72 years until 620,000 Americans died in The American Civil War which ended slavery. Blacks practiced slavery for over 2000 years, and still practice slavery to this day—Africa is currently the world's leading active slave continent with at 76 slaves per 10,000 people.

The true details of the Atlantic slave trade can not only be affirmed by documented history such as listed above, but also by common sense. White slave traders were motivated not by racism and oppression but greed and ambition. European traders venturing south encountered new African cultures. The African's most abundant trade commodity was slaves, and their most sought commodity was guns. In order to assuage the already weak morality of the traders, the Africans reminded the traders that their slaves were already condemned to their brutal fate regardless of their location.

20/20 hindsight instills European regret for their late nineteenth century colonization of Africa because of the inevitable adverse

impact the European culture inflicted upon the primitive African cultures; nevertheless, documented history and common-sense reveal that the campaigns were conducted with overall morality characteristic of Christian culture. Despite the obvious fact that Europeans could have very easily conquered Africa with their futuristic weaponry, they sought their goals through colonization, treaty, and trade. Because Africa at this time contained virtually no fixed political boundaries, and Europeans didn't want conflict amongst themselves, Europeans undertook dubious and selfish political sectioning of the continent for Europeans. Only 75 years later they resolved that their activities were critically affecting African culture, so they abandoned their lucrative trading and harvesting of African riches despite a complete absence of relevant military resistance. Has any other culture in world history acted with such substantive morality?



Overall history reveals exemplary treatment of blacks by whites.

Thus, considering the relatively brutal state global humanity during the sixteenth through nineteenth centuries, as well as the relatively futuristic state of European culture, any reasonable intellect easily concludes that because it was exactly unprecedented European morality.

and treasure that ended slavery, as well as only unprecedented European morality that compelled their abandonment of Africa's riches, in the end Europeans very clearly deserve not condemnation but exceptional praise in their African dealings. Though this correct conclusion dispossesses the deeply brainwashed black leftists from their false oppression narrative, and the deeply sick white leftists from their self-flagellation, no leftist temper tantrum will alter its airtight truth. Can anyone deny that no comparable act of grace in world history compares to the European retreat from its colonies in

Africa, the Middle East, India, and Asia? Have you ever heard a single academic offer Europeans their deserved gratitude? The present zeitgeist of cultural Marxist rage towards this exemplarily moral European history is certainly the most outrageous academic travesty in world history.


Christian morality consistently manifests in North American history from the arrival of the first slave through the actions of our current president Donald Trump. Why wouldn't it? Of course, the full documentation and obviousness of this morality has no relevance to Democrat cognition because in the Democrat universe history is defined as an obstacle requiring ongoing poisoning until utopia is achieved. Despite the legality of slavery in 1860 America, 986 out of every 1000 white men did not own slaves. Ponder the astounding morality of that fact alone. Furthermore, it is well documented that the average slave owner treated his slaves with Christian humanity giving them food, lodging, medicine, private time. He typically ate alongside them. He typically used whipping as a rare punishment, and many refrained from whipping entirely. When granting slaves freedom, whites performed a detailed manumission protocol that ensured the freed black man was able to support himself so he wouldn't be a burden to himself or his community. A testament to the suppressed humane reality of slave conditions is that there existed multiple cases in which a freed slave found the struggles of independence so difficult that he asked to be allowed to return to his slave status that provided a secure survival! During the Civil War many blacks voluntarily protected their master's property against pilfering Union troops. Why would they have done that if they hated their masters? As previously listed, a popular livelihood for freed black men was to become slave masters themselves. While the Democrats aim to maintain their false oppression narrative with the lie that these cases were only blacks rescuing their family members, the fact is black slave masters often owned many slaves. Compare how 26% of the black New Orleans population owned slaves, while only 4.6% of whites owned slaves across all Southern states in 1860. Notice that at the commencement of the American Civil War, 19 of

every 20 Confederates did not own slaves, yet we are expected to believe that owning slaves was the reason hundreds of thousands of them allowed themselves to be slaughtered. Despite the litany of lies at leftist controlled Wikipedia, the fact is that free blacks enlisted in the Confederate army. In April 1861 at Louisiana's Catholic Institute, muster lists were offered on which 1500 free blacks signed up. Many of these soldiers formed the almost completely black 1st Louisiana Native Guard in which originated North America's first



black military officers. On March 27, 1863, the free black John Wilson Buckner enlisted in the 1st South Carolina Artillery, and was wounded in action on July 12, 1863.

It is true that during Reconstruction there existed the Ku Klux Klan that described its mission in moral racist terms, but lacked the minimal humanity to refrain from behaving in unacceptably violent ways. These KKK members committed chronic moral and legal crimes of intimidation, violence, and murder. This fact should never be unappreciated, yet our present social ill is its over-appreciation to the detriment not only of whites but also blacks and everyone else. Indeed, historical truth demands we walk a fine line here between unfairly lessening the incredible terror suffered by blacks from mainstream KKK activity, and neglecting to appreciate the morality of





Imagine how terrifying 1925 Michigan was to a black person.

the almost total white demographic that did not ally with the KKK-- In 1920 at the height of KKK popularity, the

most left leaning estimates conclude the KKK contained 4 million members out of the 107 million Americans; thus, at its worst historical moment 26 out of 27 Americans did not ally with the KKK—a truly exonerating stat for white America.

When there was a racially motivated crime, the white establishment did its best to seek justice. Yes, there were many instances of inhumane police and judges who disgraced the law; however, despite the indignant rage generated from leftists when stripped of their false historical narrative here, justice demands most focus on the dominating amount of fair trials of the time, as can always be confirmed in court judgment records.

Both abundantly documented and obvious to the healthy mind is that during Reconstruction the white population treated blacks with humanity amidst the burden their sudden freedom presented to society. Without literacy or experience, blacks had no way to support themselves. This resulted in a new epidemic of black homelessness, poverty, and crime. Furthermore, the white population experienced the natural instinctive uneasiness and resentment of sharing their mono-ethnic society with this radically ethnically different, average

85 IQ, illiterate race that had only related to them as masters. In order to solve these issues the regional governments instituted wide ranging "black codes". Examples of these laws include punishment for black vagrancy, prohibition of blacks carrying weapons, mandatory apprenticeship of children of homeless black mothers, prohibition of sexual relations and marriage between whites and blacks. While these codes stemmed from practical necessity, it is easy to expect that some cruel whites exploited these codes to psychologically and physically abuse blacks. That is a point for the leftists, yet there is no evidence that cruel abuse of black codes was the norm. Reasonable minds can see the practicality and morality in these black codes, yet the left has twisted the healthy morality of this history into an unrecognizable narrative of only monstrous oppression.

A decade into reconstruction occurred the first "Jim Crow" laws— a logical and seemingly moral solution to the existing cultural and racial tension. These laws created "separate but equal" public amenities such as water fountains, bathrooms, busses, movie theatres. While blacks were prevented from eating in the fronts of white restaurants, whites were equally prevented from eating in fronts of black-owned restaurants. Nashville Tennessee's Prince's Hot Chicken Shack is evidence of one such establishment. Testament to the evil Democrat suppression of the actual civility in the Jim Crow South is that there was never a need to enforce the reverse segregation seating in this restaurant—whites naturally assumed their place in the back of Prince's Hot Chicken Shack acknowledging the right of blacks at the front. Despite the social power whites possessed, whites didn't institute policies to abuse blacks, nor did they uproot them to Africa or anywhere else. As has always been the case, the whites exerted inspired effort to accommodate blacks with the most humane solution possible. As a matter of fact, whites freely allowed entire black-separatist towns to thrive such as Mississippi's Mount Bayou, founded in 1887. If segregation was as bad as the cultural Marxists insist, then why did almost all blacks remain in the segregated

# towns instead of joining existing separatist towns or establishing new ones?

The unforeseen problem with the Jim Crow solution was that, despite the amenities being functionally equal, the black amenities typically proved inferior in appearance and sometimes in quality (especially in schools), and this resulted in a psychologically debilitating atmosphere for blacks. Alongside a standard water fountain now

stood a fountain fully functional yet lacking visual appeal. Because more than 90% of the population was white, it made little practical or moral sense for 90% of the public bus riders to leave vacant the most appealing



seats at the front of the bus for possible black riders, so the seats in the back were allocated for blacks. Yet a perfect example of the unforeseen psychological consequences of Jim Crow is how debilitating it must have been for the blacks to sit behind whites—never allowed to enjoy a view of the road, always forced to stare at the backs of white heads.

Where Jim Crow fell shortest was in the substandard black schools. Obviously the quality of anything, including schools depends on the level of importance it is given by its community. White communities cared more about their own children than those of foreign repugnant races; thus, the reality is that white schools were better maintained than black schools. Once again, the obvious question must be asked—were these inferior school conditions an act of conscious oppression? More broadly, were the unappreciated practical psychology and local selfishness during "separate but equal" conditions evidence of a lack of standard morality? Of course not, yet the Democrats will do everything they can to twist this truth into a narrative of wanton oppression.

It is a deserved point of pride for the black race that they engaged in courageous political activism such as sit-ins and marches to end what unfortunately ("Unfortunately" is not apologia, it is exactly the accurate term here.) turned out to be oppressive segregation. It is also true that Martin Luther King junior should rightly hold a highly respected place in American history because of his courageous perseverant non-violent activism in the face of violent antagonism. Yet what should be noted but is never noted is the tremendous critical amount of white support the black activists received. It is very


reasonable to conclude that the civil rights movement would not have succeeded without the tens of thousands of whites marching with the blacks on Washington. And again, behold the testament to white culture that our political system withstood such severe tension, and that its officials made such efforts to parse the



The civil rights movement could not have succeeded without white support.

complicated social elements and law of the time to finally find substantive cause to overturn the seemingly fair "separate but equal" ordinances. (A relevant note is that the solution to the failures of American segregation need not have been the elimination of segregation. By fixing the shortcomings of segregation, segregation is almost certainly preferable to integration. The correct solution to the catastrophe of multiracial society is discussed at the end of the treatise.)


Instead of this true historical narrative of exemplary societal conduct, the cultural Marxist virus creates the most damning travesty of this history, and then rabidly indoctrinates it within our education system and peripheral media. Ponder how damaging this has been to American society. A quintessential example of this indoctrination is the mass scholastic adoption of the propaganda "The People's History of the United States" by Howard Zinn. Even leftist controlled Wikipedia admits this book "has been criticized by various historians"



from across the political spectrum. Detractors assert blatant omissions of important historical episodes, uncritical reliance on biased sources. systematic failures to examine opposing views", yet well over 2,000,000 copies have been sold. Ponder the destruction this book alone has caused 2,000,000 students have not only incorporated it into their inexperienced minds, but have had it reinforced by left wing professors. Intellectuals Horowitz and Mary Grabar expose the duplicity of Zinn's work, yet this exposure is insignificant compared to the ongoing

force-feeding of Zinn's poison to tens of thousands of students each year.


One would expect that after the 1960's civil rights movement, black quality of life would have improved. It has deteriorated. This deterioration is the most direct evidence of the destructive nature of cultural Marxist ideology. Instead of commending the new multiethnic society where blacks are treated better than anywhere else on Earth, even better than in Africa, the cultural Marxism evil still demands that blacks be portrayed as victims as much as inspired duplicity can render. The only possible result of this unrelenting wicked re-writing of current events and history is unending





undeserved white guilt, and unending undeserved black irresponsibility and rage. This cultural lacerating is exactly what is championed as superior morality by the Democrat party.

If the leftist mainstream historical narratives were actually true that claim blacks were so abused before the civil rights movement, then why has racial harmony deteriorated so greatly in the decades since, especially after Barack Obama took office? Before the civil rights movement there indeed existed a problem of unjustified violent racism, but that was not representative of the white majority. Once again, what is never appreciated from pre 1970 American history is the white population's dominant humanity and civility. It is this dominant humanity and civility that should rightfully define this white society. If a historian never utters a word of this dominant humanity and civility, then clearly he is altering the essence of the narrative by changing its society from good to evil; and thus, he is committing a greatest evil upon the United States society as well as humanity itself. A true investigation into America's past, not from corrupt third-person narratives but from a living source reveals that race relations and black integrity before the American civil rights movement were much healthier than today. Behold this 2018 testimony of Jesse Lee Petersen, a black who worked Alabama cotton fields under Jim Crow laws...



"I grew up under the Jim Crow Laws. I grew up in Alabama. I worked in the cotton fields and the plantations and things like that, and yet black men were noble men for the most part and they got married, they bought land, they educated their families, they took care of themselves and others, and they were not running around blaming white folks. They got along with white Americans. ... Blacks are

suffering not because of this phony idea of racism- [institutional] racism does not exist. This is a lie of made up racism for them to use as an excuse for failing. Most blacks are suffering due to the destruction of the family and the lack of moral character, and not this phony idea of racism... It's not there. Had it been there blacks would not have done as well under the Jim Crow laws. They did better under the Jim Crow laws than they do now. It's so amazing to me that all these lies are made up why people are suffering, and especially black people. It's a lack of moral character, the destruction of the family, a turning away from God. If you don't have those things, all you have is a bunch of excuses. It's time out for excuses. They've gotten away with blaming white folks that they're just rotten to the core with it now... [Social progress] is not going to happen until black people are corrected. Black people are out of control. White people are feeling helpless to it now at this point, and blacks are getting worse and worse and worse, and white people are feeling more and more helpless, and it's just gonna get worse. It just can't get better until white people say to black people, 'No, I'm not your problem. Slavery is not your problem. It's in the home—no good parents, it starts in the home."

Thus, all clear persons infuriate at the left's refusal to leave blacks alone. Of course it is unfortunate that the typical black person suffers a deficit in intelligence and self-control; however, their deficits do not give leftists the right to deny them an equal playing field. If truth, that commodity that Democrats suppress at all costs, were promoted, then the amount of possible interracial compassion and harmony would exist in organic maximum—much more than exists in the artificial hell of cultural Marxist society. Whenever a black achieved a position of societal success, they would be that much more respected, and to a degree celebrated. Instead, **Democrats have forced upon us this sickening culture that deprives blacks the opportunity for respect no matter what they do**. Nowadays no one respects awards won by blacks because everyone knows they receive the awards regardless of their merits. The only thing these awards generate is resentment of blacks, when it is the leftists that deserve

the resentment. What social policy since the Voting Rights Act of 1965 has actually helped blacks instead of hurt them? Unearned money, jobs, education, degrees, approval, distinction have destroyed the black's ability to earn or feel good about anything. Only when leftists leave blacks alone will they be able to heal and thrive. It is so tragic that American blacks already struggle from a birth into a foreign white culture, and typically a relative intelligence and temperament deficit. This, with difficulty, blacks can overcome. What blacks can never overcome is the non-stop Democrat psychological annihilation.

#### **Religion in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society**



if cultural Even Marxism could be put back in the bottle, multiple religions in the same society generate unacceptable tension if not violence because differing racially resonant sacrosanct attitudes, laws. and rituals

unceasingly dissonate if not directly compete. No matter how many totalitarian laws we implement, or "coexist" bumper-stickers we display, it is directly impossible to remedy the socially intolerable multi-religious dynamic, yet we continue to try and continue to suffer.


The religion most incompatible with coexistence is Islam because, as any Muslim scholar will attest, Islam commands that all of mankind convert to Islam or submit to its rule. "Muslims" that insist the extreme aspects of Islam can be disassociated are simply not Muslims but confused, for the definition of a Muslim is one who believes the

Koran is literally the word of God. In Islam ideology there exists only two global territories: "Dar Al-Islam" which means "territory of Islam", and "Dar Al-Harb" which means "territory of war". It cannot be clearer that the contagious and very hostile intellectual virus of Islam cannot peacefully coexist with anything antagonistic to Islamic culture. The YouTube videos of Pat Condell superbly articulate the modern scourge of Western Islamic infection.

Islam's legacy with Judaism generates religious ire second to none. At Islam's seventh century beginning, the Jewish tribes' refusal of prophet status for Muhammad hindered Islam's public validation thus motivating Muhammad and his followers to relentlessly attack Jews across the Arabian Peninsula, Because Islam needed to validate not only its legitimacy but its claimed superiority, Muhammad wrote directly into the Koran that Jews (and Christians) were forbidden to hold public office, and were required to pay a tax to practice their faith. Thus, the appallingly illiberal Islamic cultural necessity to exhibit superiority over Judaism has already hardened over 1400 years. Furthermore, the Islamic concept of Jihad—the sacred duty of Muslims to forcibly spread Islam to dominate the Earth, not only eliminates any reasonable coexistence with any other religion, but especially adds to Jew hatred. Because the Jewish homeland of Israel fatefully resides within the center of long-controlled Muslim territory, its persistence severely undermines the validity of Islam itself. As a result, especially because of the unstoppable progress of weapon effectiveness and availability, only a miracle will allow Israel to exist indefinitely.

Despite the seeming impossibility for anything to be more antagonistic to Islam than Judaism, Islam's most ideologically diametric antagonist is United States culture. Firstly, written in the United States Constitution is the right to freedom of speech, religion, and the press—all of which are to some extent an explicit violation of holy Islamic law. This alone creates societal conditions impossible for peace, yet points of cultural contention between Islam and the West prove endless. Pork offends Muslims as does any meat not

slaughtered in the particularly violent Halal tradition offensive most Westerners. Mosaues commonly accost the public with prayer sirens five times day. Even per the West's deeply cultural of chess game




considered gambling, and thus forbidden in Islam.

An especially inimical point of contention between Western and Islamic culture is the Islamic hijab head garment. Because a woman's features may provoke lust, Islamic law forbids a woman to show her full head and neck in public thus requiring her to wear a hijab. Clearly this assaults essential Western liberty, yet the contention runs deeper-- Muslims demand little girls wear hijabs. This reinforces Islam's cultural sexualization of children- Islam permits pre-teen girls to be forcibly married, and thus raped. At the age of 53 Muhammad himself married a child probably younger than ten years of age. Thus, sightings of hijab-clad girls in Western communities force parents to confront the deeply offensive and alarming reality that their infant daughters are almost certainly the objects of sexual imagery of local Muslim men.

The irreconcilable fact that Islamic scripture and culture prove explicitly hostile to fundamental Western law and culture, along with the fact of copious historical Jihad and terrorism, reveal that only a profoundly ignorant, deluded, or insane person could believe that Islam can peacefully exist






in the West. Yet hundreds of millions of leftists continue advocating mass Muslim immigration (This fact may be the most convincing proof of just how certifiably insane is the political left.). It was none other than President Barack Obama that proudly declared the outrageous lie, "There is no religious rationale that would justify, in any way, any of the things that [Islamic terrorists] do." As of this writing, just last week the "recently rehabilitated" Islamic terrorist Usman Khan murdered two young Brits. Yesterday the father of one of these victims demanded that his son's death not be used as "Islamophobic propaganda".

Because Islam explicitly oppresses liberty, women, gays, apostates, and other religions, as well as demands world domination, one would think it would receive resounding condemnation from cultural Marxists. Evidently the virus concludes that the persistence of Islam oppression is an evil subordinate to that of European DNA. Thus, because the infected realize Islam is impossible to morally defend, but a lack of defense would help Western culture, they relegate their Islam defense to farcical catchphrases such as "Islam is a religion of peace." or "Islam criticism is racist." which serve only as unabashed rebuttal filler so their next sentence may resume white cultural and racial genocide.

On the other hand, Christianity is a contagious intellectual virus, but it is not hostile. While it does add problems of guilt, and clergy pedophilia, Christianity remains a vital social positive in the West because it is a source of essential Western values, it is the main provider of spiritual guidance, and promotes abundant charity work. Yet exactly because it is a major cultural institution of the white majority, it suffers unceasing cultural Marxist persecution. The left's most visible anti-Christian weapon is Hollywood pedophile propaganda. It is true that the Church too often breeds pedophilia, yet this has zero to do with Christian scripture. Because the left evidently cares nothing of moral justice, it obfuscates the blamelessness of Christianity itself so that it may implement its



subversion technique of damaging its respectability within the community. To this end it manufactures movie after movie depicting gut-wrenching narratives of clergy pedophilia. The most recent and popular of these include "By the Grace of God", "Spotlight", and "Doubt".

This hallmark cultural Marxist subversion is also implemented with anti-Christian art. Behold the Christian defamations "Piss Christ" depicting crucified Christ in a glass of urine, and "The Misadventures of the Romantic Cannibals" depicting Christ enjoying oral sex from a man.

Note our cultural Marxism landscape— we are readily fed anti-Christian media, yet it is practically impossible to discover anti-Islam or anti-lewish art.

#### **Sexuality in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society**




Cultural Marxism has taught today's adults to sexually behave opposite to their instincts literally the policy stupidest possible. Women reject their instinctive sexual selectivity in favor of male promiscuity. They manifest empowerment, independence, and aggressiveness. Men manifest sensitivity, patience, and anticipation. Romantic partners are expected to share leadership in the belief that courtship is rooted not in

sexuality but friendship. All this results in the elimination of sexual polarity; and thus, what should be an exciting and joyous courtship is

now an awkward chore. This reality is unintentionally illustrated in the Netflix series "Love" in which a depressed masculine female stumbles through lukewarm dates with a depressed feminine male. One scene depicts the white man's assertive female black boss crudely and unapologetically propositioning him into her house for casual sex. Such sexuality results in frustration, anxiety, and depression because it is utterly mentally ill behavior.

Regarding white genocide, the most feasible direct strategy is to breed out white DNA: thus. miscegenation is now aggressively promoted in both Europe and the United States through movies, television shows, music videos. print advertisements. and K-12 health classes. The clear proof that this miscegenation campaign is aimed at white genocide is the void of inter-minority miscegenation media. The miscegenation campaign



proves so intense that the healthy citizen has little practical choice than to abstain from mainstream television lest he lose sanity. This breeds in him resentment that then foments from his inability to publicly express it in his cultural Marxist society.

#### Family in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society

As previously discussed, the Western family unit's professional and social roles that naturally evolved from male and female biological

temperament are disrespected; and thus, the husband's natural instincts of confidence, dominance, and certainty are repressed so the family is without its organic leadership. The female's natural instinct to submit, support, and nurture are repressed, so natural sexuality is disturbed. The husband does not receive proper support, and the children do not receive proper nurturing. The child's natural instinct to respect the family unit is repressed, so he is less than naturally able to emotionally or physically support his parents or siblings. All this combined with other critical theory fruits such as "religious studies" deeply shatters the efficiency, harmony, and happiness of the Western cultural pillar of the family unit, ultimately leading to its annihilation.

#### **Language in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society**



As discussed, the use of a race's native language within its society is essential to the health of its culture because each of its daily utterances reflects and thus reinforces the identity of its DNA. When sounds of a foreign language infect the native hum, it is no less than

psychological violence. While this subtle yet crucial fact has yet to be articulated in the mainstream, evidence of its truth has always been manifest in the traditional expectation that immigrants speak the native language. Evidence of this instinct is the crude phrase popular on pre-2008 tee-shirts, "Welcome to America, now speak English." Exactly because native language preservation is so vital to the survival of a race, cultural Marxism attacks it with characteristic savagery. In the United States, cultural Marxism's first victory awarded Spanish public signs. The next victory conquered government forms. Suddenly automated telephone call options uttered alternatives in

Spanish. Multiple radio stations soon transmitted only Spanish speech and Latin American music. In ethnically mixed suburbs, drivethrough restaurant operators often greet drivers in Spanish. At various Western municipal meetings, organizers instruct English speakers to listen to translation in headphones as they administer in Spanish.

The incredible extent of this English oppression reveals in an appalling 2018 incident in which New York lawyer Aaron Schlossberg insisted that a store employee speak English. A viral videotaping of the incident forced not only his law firm to immediately fire him, but



also his city-wide ostracism made possible through doxxing by television news programs and social media. The severity of such unjust condemnation and harassment reveals how dangerous has progressed the erosion of the humanity of these urban populations. Sane individuals now have very real reason to fear inhabitants of infected cities such as New York, San Francisco, and Seattle. Cultural Marxism has so deeply altered their morality that it is no longer predictable what will enrage them, nor if there is any violence they won't justify.

#### **Music in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society**




Music of a 12% minority now saturates the majority.

2019 Neilson research reported that the United States' most frequently played radio music is now the distinct African DNA expression of hip-hop / R&B music. Thus, the native majority no longer marinades in the rock and classical music that harmonizes with its DNA; on the contrary, now omnipresent radically foreign audio alters the white majority's brainwaves.

White Americans don't have to imagine what this does to them because they experience it daily in the form of anxiety and hostility.

#### **Literature in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society**

Successful literature in cultural Marxist multiracial society has exactly zero to do with quality of written expression. Behold the surreal agenda of the 2014 American Literature Association: "Embodiment, Poverty, Climate, Activism, Reparation, and Condition of Being Unequally Governed." Because the infected masses sole and unwavering goal is the elimination of the amniotic fluid of European DNA, classical Western works are discredited or dismissed, and all new such works are uniformly ignored. Conversely, the more destructive is a literary work to Western heritage, including how ostensibly oppressed is its writer, the better it is received. It is a farcical fact that if a handicapped black female transvestite wrote the most thinly-veiled money-making vehicle about Western and white immorality, there is no way it would not succeed. The literary community members would be aware of the scam, yet the virus would still propel their actions.



Of course there is no dearth of such cultural Marxist exalted authors. A quintessential example is Ta-Nehisi Coates, the son of a member of the police-murdering Black Panther gang. His short, mono-dimensional, and breathtakingly racist screed "Between the World and Me" achieved New York Times bestseller status, received the National Book Award, and won him a \$650,000 "Genius" award from The

MacArthur Foundation. Here is a taste of its bile... "the host wished to know why... the progress of those Americans who believed that they are white, was built on looting and violence. ... The answer is American history.", "the elevation of the belief in being white, was not achieved through wine tasting and ice-cream socials, but rather through the pillaging of life, liberty, labor, and land; through the flaving of blacks, the chaining of limbs; the strangling of dissidents; the destruction of families; the rape of mothers; the sale of children; and various other acts meant, first and foremost, to deny you and me the right to secure and govern our own bodies." At one point Coates actually states that a black police officer who drove a car over his friend was motivated by white racism. Marxist icon Toni Morrison deemed this work "required reading". It proves difficult to find a more qualitative disparity between award-winning literary figures such as Shakespeare or Hemmingway and dimwit Coates; nevertheless, the leftist goal has been achieved— a minority authored book lacerating white history takes root in United States literary culture.

Yet again, as sickening as these black luminaries are, they cannot be blamed. Neither they nor their race is aware of the evil that possesses them. It is the white majority, most of all the conservatives that remain absent from the necessary scolding of these blacks for their unwarranted culturally damaging behavior.

#### **Visual Art in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society**



Paint white beheadings? Become Obama's artist!

Just as with Western literature, cultural Marxism has fully usurped Western visual art so that its sole relevance is the unrestrained promotion of white genocide. Kehinde Wiley, the artist who was




is now celebrated.

awarded the Smithsonian commission for President Barack Obama's official portrait, no doubt acquired his status in critical part from his multiple of paintings black women proudly holding freshly severed heads of women. white Three felon time Cleon cleverly Petersen the white leveraged genocide movement by painting multiple canvases οf blacks



savagely torturing and murdering whites. His particularly sickening white genocide promotion won him a commission for a giant mural beneath the Eiffel Tower in which he depicts the miscegenation of a black man and white woman surrounded by a circle of black's overshadowing a circle of whites.

#### **Public Awards in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society**



Western public awards have been totally devoured by cultural Marxist hate. One can confirm this by appreciating his struggle to imagine award results that could prove more cultural Marxist than those of today. Of course, the result of this cultural Marxism is the

exact negative of the positive result the awards were designed to achieve. The indigenous race's narrative and pride is permanently maligned. The citizenry is deterred from cultivating passion and talent to achieve outstanding expression. On the other hand, the cultural Marxism infected are motivated to achieve new heights of white racial and cultural laceration. Instead of celebrating, the citizenry now shelter themselves from these ceremonies' broadcast. This travesty's veracity validates elegantly through highlighting the last three annual award winners of the arts' most popular industries of cinema and music. The 2019 "Best Picture" Academy Award went to "Green Book". This unsubtle propaganda indoctrinates in its viewers the moral necessity to support the supreme black race's defiance against the violent hate of the evil lowbrow white race. Its plot depicts an oafish white bouncer subserviently employed by a distinguished black pianist. The oafish white grows increasingly appalled by the racist treatment of the distinguished black, soon saving him from white racist violence. Because the movie is not expression but pure cultural Marxist subversion, it achieves a monotone so mind-numbing that when the viewer exits the theater he has difficulty remembering it at all.

2018's "The Shape of Water" is a cultural Marxist allegorical condemnation of what is actually appallingly false white culture. Produced, written, and directed by Mexican cultural Marxist Guillermo del Toro, "The Shape of Water" depicts the struggle of a 1960's indigent mute white woman and her female black coworker to rescue a mutant amphibious humanoid from the torture chamber of a radically (insert cut-paste cultural Marxist adjectives) homophobic, xenophobic, racist, bigoted, elitist, Eurocentric, exclusionist, that upholds the values and institutions of a "white-supremacist" boss, and his equally condemnable United States military colleagues. Because deeply racist Del Toro was unable to incorporate homophobia (the condition of actually fearing gays that I've never witnessed once in my life) into his antagonists, he embeds a glaringly irrelevant scene in which a white waiter, after bullying a black couple, recoils from a gay man's endearing touch.

As if admitting there is no longer even a need to finesse the packaging of weapons for white cultural and racial genocide, it may be



theoretically impossible to top 2017's "Moonlight" as a vehicle of pure cultural Marxism. Instead of reading literature articulating cultural Marxism, it may be simplest to view this film. As if entering a victimology paradise, "Moonlight's" all black cast delivers wave after wave after wave after wave after wave after wave of racial, physical, sexual, political, and any other imaginable oppression in

kaleidoscoping opulence. One seriously concludes this movie was the product of a mathematical strategy to leverage Cultural Marxism to accrue awards.

2018's "Best Song" Grammy Award (given in 2019) went to Childish Gambino's "This Is America". This "afrobeat" track was the latest



cowardly exploitation of the safest lie in world history that there exists a modern epidemic of overt American racism against blacks.

2017's Grammy went to Bruno Mars for "That's What I like". As articulated by USA Today's cultural Marxist journalist Maeve McDermott, 2017 was a problematic year for cultural Marxism within

Grammys. Despite the correct cultural Marxist Puerto Rican ancestry of Bruno Mars, and the correct cultural Marxist black R&B genre of his song, its lyrics were problematically not weaponized for white genocide. Behold McDermott's review ...

"'Music's biggest night'? The 2018 Grammys Were An Out-Of-Touch Embarrassment

Another year. Another Grammys. Another telecast that extinguished fans' hopes of a forward-thinking awards show in favor of a night that celebrated men, pop music and not much else.

Several months ago, we celebrated the Grammy nominees' slate for its impressive diversity, with its major categories dominated by artists of color. In the lead-up to the awards, we hoped that song or record of the year could be claimed by a rap song, or a Spanish-language track via Despacito, for the first time in modern-day Grammys history. And with such a strong hip-hop field, led by Kendrick Lamar and Jay-Z, 2018 seemed like the Grammys where voters would finally take the genre seriously, hopefully with a long-overdue album of the year win.

That's not what happened Sunday night. Bruno Mars swept the main categories with his pop-friendly brand of R&B that shares much more of its DNA with [white man] Ed Sheeran than [black woman] Beyoncé... Women have mobilized across industries, declaring time's up on gender-based inequality in their professional fields. If the awards show that claims to be 'music's biggest night,' a slogan that becomes more of a joke with every passing year, isn't willing or able to make the changes that its community of artists deserves, it's time to declare the Grammys extinct."

The 2016 Grammy went to Adele's "Hello". Adele is a white British woman married to a white British man. Her "Hello" music video portrays a romance between her and a black man.

#### Commercial Media in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society



As blacks are the favorite exploit of the cultural Marxists, there is simply no escaping the constant inorganic sight of black people. Again, this is not the fault of the blacks—they are innocent within this disgusting exploitation, and thus one must be constantly wary of such Democrat hate-breading. Though blacks represent 13% of the United States, everyone knows there's about a 50% chance they'll see blacks on billboards, internet banners, Wal-Mart posters, TV commercials, movie previews, and medical pamphlets. Everyone healthy, including healthy blacks, at least subconsciously senses the spiritual toxicity of such an artificial culture. Ponder the psychological damage such an inescapable media assault continues to inflict on the native white majority. Their very real and very justified resentment must inevitably turn to rage, which must inevitably turn to violence, especially when their feelings receive only condemnation from their society.

Relevant and never discussed is the justified anxiety many blacks feel because of their presence within this leftist commercial media exploitation. They fear the inevitable, however unjust, white violence resulting from their racial exploitation used as a primary weapon for unprovoked white cultural murder. In-the-know Democrats realize that they must maintain their evil taboo against any discussion of their ongoing exploitation of the innocent black race by instead reinforcing their preposterous narrative that blacks both deserve their unceasing outrageous commercial attention, and also that blacks should never express regret about it to whites. Of course, it is neither the Democrat elites nor K-street journalists that suffer inevitable white violence. It is blacks on side streets that are brutalized by enraged whites who saw zero choice but to join the only white solidarity groups not crushed by aggressive Democrat evilviolent skinhead gangs. It is not movie stars at the Oscars, but blacks in alleys that are brutalized. And then these exact elites that caused the violence rabidly and relentlessly pump the tragedy into every hard-working citizen's brain to swell their bank accounts, which swells their hubris, which swells the cultural Marxism virus, which swells the white rage. This sickening cycle is exactly the fault of the impenetrable egos of the Democrats. In their never-questioned trust of sentiment over logic and wisdom, Democrats persist completely void of the humility to realize either how consequentially stupid they are, or the widespread psychological and physical violence they precipitate.





#### Law and Order in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society



the Ponder massive money, time, and effort wasted, as well as the tedium, anxiety, and anger generated from endless cultural Marxism instigated discrimination litigation, and its spawned bureaucracy bureaus. diversity training, and


tainted hiring decisions. This colossal societal drain cannot be overestimated.

Unneeded in mono-ethnic society, possibly helpful fifty years ago, and a clear scourge today is the bevy of United States "civil rights" groups. It has already been more than a half century since United States law guaranteed legal equality for all including full equality of economic opportunity. Nevertheless countless "civil rights" groups such as The National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, and Labor Council for Latin American Advancement do not remain dormant when the community is peaceful. As can be clearly evidenced in their actions and social media, their mission is not to protect their groups' rights that need no protection. Their goal is to incessantly agitate to gain social leverage to increase their racial influence which decreases the rightfully dominant influence of the indigenous white race that has already sacrificed hundreds of thousands of lives, trillions of dollars, and galactic mental and physical effort for the betterment and integration of minorities into its communities. In a moral world these "civil rights" groups would be petitioning for national holidays honoring whites. Instead they thank whites by accelerating white cultural and racial genocide.

While the cultural Marxists unceasingly clamor for their insane concept of justice, any clear minded individual knows that it is very obviously the white individual who must fight for criminal fairness. As an example of cultural Marxist crime statistic manipulation, Hispanics are considered a specific victim group for hate crimes, yet they are lumped in with whites as perpetrators of hate crimes. When Hispanic George Zimmerman was described by the media, they invented a new class of person, a "white Hispanic", and manipulated photos of him to render his skin bright white. It is only courageous public servants that maintain the possibility of justice within our current society of unjust extreme anti-white racism.

The left's denial of realities of black crime illustrates how debilitating is their sickness. Assertions attesting astoundingly apparent cultural and race-realist truths concerning crime and race, validated with droves upon droves of statistics and logic and examples, meet not with any contemplation but exclusively with unjustified indignant rage. Simply stated, blacks are incarcerated much more than whites exactly because blacks commit much more crime. The 1997 version of the previously mentioned critically acclaimed book "The Color of Crime" documented that despite blacks composing 13% and whites 73% of the United States population, 90% of interracial violent crimes were perpetrated by blacks against whites. This means that blacks were approximately an astounding 28 times more likely to commit individual crimes against whites than the other way around. In 1999, meticulous research from The New Century Foundation reported that individual blacks were approximately 50 times more likely to attack a white victim than the opposite scenario. Regarding violent crimes involving multiple attackers, blacks were approximately 200 times more likely to attack whites than the opposite scenario. It must be mentioned that these statistics overlook the relevant fact that blacks have much more statistical opportunity to assault whites, and whites have much less opportunity to assault blacks, yet even with a correctly mitigated appreciation these statistics remain staggering. As previously stated, despite the widespread awareness of such statistical realities, a white woman is deemed immorally

racist if she clenches her purse while passing a group of blacks. If she is videotaped doing so she will probably lose her job.



A healthy mind easily appreciates that incidents so obviously absent of racial malevolence such as those of Trayvon Martin, Michael Brown, and Eric Garner receive grotesque coddling by the justice system because the slightest unfounded appearance of racist malfeasance will bring hardship on the justice system as severe as it is unfair. Of course these additional placating efforts in no way deter cultural Marxism from maintaining its 2+2=7 narrative. An example of this potent brain-washing is how successful is the total lie asserting that Michael Brown, the thug that committed a strong-arm robbery

then pummeled an officer and reached for his gun, yelled "Hands up, don't shoot!" before the officer shot him. An internet search of this phrase reveals countless pictures of massive crowds holding hands up in symbolic protest. If you ask the average American about this event, it is all but certain he will tell you it was fact. Very clearly in each of these instances the Democrats had zero motivation to search for truth; the Democrats' uniform response was to exploit each event as a next opportunity to implement their textbook cultural Marxist subversion technique of fraudulently depicting white DNA as irredeemable in order to lessen popular resistance against ongoing white genocide.




Six blacks murdered 14 year old Michael Carter.

More proof that, despite their facade, Democrats actually care little about morality or justice is that you've heard nothing of the 28 to 50 times more common instances in which whites are murdered by blacks. Ponder those staggering multiples. Why haven't you heard of the six blacks in 1997 Michigan that raped and shot three white children? Why haven't you heard of black brothers Reginald and Jonathan Carr who within one December 2000 week robbed a man, severely wounded

a woman, murdered 5 others and a dog? Why haven't you heard of black Emanuel Samson? In 2017 Tennessee he entered a church and shot seven whites. Why haven't you heard of black Kori Ali Muhammad? In 2017 California he murdered four white men, later declaring that his goal was to kill as many whites as possible. You've heard none of this and much more because Democrats, though they don't intend to be, are nevertheless clearly pure cosmic evil. As a matter of fact, it is exactly this Democrat evil of suppressing the appalling descriptions and statistics of black-on-white crime that was





the root cause of white Dylan Roof's murder of nine blacks in a church. Behold Roof's words, "The event that truly awakened me was the Trayvon Martin case. I kept hearing and seeing his name, and eventually I decided to look him up. I read the Wikipedia article and right away I was unable to understand what the big deal was. It was obvious that Zimmerman was in the right. But more importantly this prompted me to type in the words "black on white crime" into Google, and I have never been the same since that day."

For further investigation on this section of Democrat evil, you can read "Progressive Racism" by David Horowitz, and various books by Larry Elder.

#### Sense of Community in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society



Feel uncomfortable? You're a racist!

Not only has the nuclear family been unglued with the solvents of feminism, gender-fluidity, pluralism, and secularism, but also the community has been stripped of its crucial ethnic identity. The cultural Marxist precipitated removal of our love and trust in each other will be the specific cause of death of our beautiful United States. Residents no longer introduce themselves to new neighbors. No longer do they feel secure when walking their dog, jogging, or allowing their children to ride bikes. Recollect the previously discussed results of a friendly dog biting a neighbor's child within a healthy society, then compare them to the results within a cultural Marxism multiracial society. In the latter the family of the bitten child experiences feelings of violation that trigger calculations of how best to take advantage of the situation. They immediately file a police report, then bring the child to the nearest hospital regardless if the bite warrants such attention. The dog owner and his family now teem with anxiety. Will the city force the beloved dog to be killed? Should the father secretly abandon the dog to a friend? How badly will the absence of the dog affect his child? How much will cost the boy's medical bills? Can the neighbor sue for additional damages? How will

the neighbor use this incident as leverage in other current and future domestic issues?

An especially sickening residential incident of cultural Marxist oppression occurred in 2018 St. Louis when a woman with white skin didn't let a man with black skin follow her into her building. Despite the explicitly written building safety protocol as well as widely accepted social protocol prohibiting such an action, viral video of the incident prompted the woman to be fired from her job.

Regarding the surge of litigiousness, note the severe anxiety generated from the incidents above. Ponder how this uniform litigious, self-serving spirit dominates the billions of daily interactions within the United States alone. Ponder the incalculable treasure and effort wasted on unnecessary lawsuits. As a single example, note the terrible dilemma and repercussions thrust on the innocent employer— if he hires a minority or woman, he has now exposed himself to discrimination lawsuits regarding all sorts of workplace regulations and harassment. If he needs to fire them he faces terrible legal exposure. Ponder what happens when a fired non-white employee, let alone a non-white female handicapped transvestite employee contacts civil rights groups and news outlets declaring

## Mo'Nique Is Suing Netflix For Racial And Gender Discrimination

The Oscar-winning actor claims Netflix's offer for a comedy special "perpetuated the pay gap suffered by black women."

## Don't like your market value in a cultural Marxist society? Sue for discrimination!

racist discrimination. The employer has no escape from a truly horrific nightmare. Despite the implementations of our founding fathers, everyone knows in cultural Marxist multiracial society a man is guilty until he is proven innocent. His business may collapse from boycotts. His social media accounts will saturate from a torrent of hate. His business and social contacts will flat-line. His neighbors will look away when he walks to and from his door. There is no way he

can avoid paying tremendous sums of money for legal representation. Even if he is somehow found not guilty in a court of legal justice, he will always be guilty in the court of social justice because his accuser had dark skin, or one arm, or a vagina, or a previous vagina. The employer's fate was sealed as soon as the "oppressed" said the magic words "racist harassment". If this employer foresees these myriad calamities, and thus hires only white males, he will suffer the worst scenario—he is now an undeniable Nazi. This is what the Democrats have done to our once beautiful land.

#### National Pride in Cultural Marxist Multiracial Society



National pride is the direct affirmation of the nation's good moral character that motivates its citizens to protect her. It is this protection that all the forms of cultural Marxism ultimately strive to remove. Thus, since national pride is always the highest target of cultural Marxism, all direct activities to suppress or destroy it receive particular support. When the United States is no longer

respected by most of her citizens, the Democrats will have succeeded in their ultimate goal of murdering her.

Of course, fresh examples of assailed national pride prove countless and constant. A recent cover at Salon.com portrayed a spinning car tire shredding an American flag. A recent HuffingtonPost.com article titled "Independence Day Is A Celebration of American Hypocrisy". The 2019 Neil Armstrong biographical movie "First Man" conspicuously omitted the famous American flag planting during the

moon landing. Filmmaker Oliver Stone continues to receive praise for his unabashed, preposterous anti-American propaganda titled "The Untold History of the United States". First generation Mexican-American California University of Irvine student Matthew Guevara



was recently surprised at the ease of his success in removing all American flags from campus classrooms. In 2021 the statue of Thomas Jefferson, the author of our Declaration of Independence, was removed from the New York City Hall.

Behold what has become of our society! We live nowhere near a land of the free or a home of the brave! We are race-chained in everything we do, everything we say, what we laugh at, what we don't laugh at, what we read, what we watch. Our companies have established "chief diversity officers". We live in a world in which we are all but legally required to place minorities on social media banners.






We lost our freedom because the clear horizon after World War II lulled us into forgetting that freedom isn't free. Freedom doesn't need attention only during war. Freedom needs

daily maintenance. Freedom needed daily maintenance. It's gone now. There really is no going back. We are in a communist freefall. Now the cost of the true freedom that existed a few decades ago is the sacrifice of your job, your wife, and even your life-long friends.

## Who Is to Blame for The Catastrophe of Multiracial Society?



So then, who is to blame? The superficial culprit is the cultural Marxist leftists, yet ultimately it is conservative intellectuals. It is only the conservative intellectuals that possess the cohesive understanding history and political theory to bring clarity to this Despite all their mess. intellectual armament they

had not the conviction of their beliefs that summons the courage to publicly stand against evil leftist insanity with necessary resolve to fiercely declare the complicated truth that could bring peace. All they could muster was politically safe literary columns in useless rightwing journals. They declined to speak of race realism as their predecessors did in one way of another for 5000 years before 1965.

They declined to call the leftists the incomprehensible evil that they had become. The leftists had no such problems because they had the courage of their convictions. Of course, they had a much easier social path because their simplistic unfounded beliefs are easier to communicate, yet that can never be an excuse for allowing the destruction of one's society. Evil rightfully won this battle of the hearts and minds because humans follow not words but conviction. Never forget that it was the conservatives who failed us. Now we can do little more than stare at approaching Armageddon.

#### Undeniable White Genocide

"There can be no white race without the phenomenon of white supremacy. ... The task is to bring this minority together in such a way that it makes it impossible for the legacy of whiteness to continue to reproduce itself... Treason to whiteness is loyalty to humanity."

Harvard Professor Noel Ignatiev

#### **Genocide Defined and Confirmed**



At this point in the treatise we are ready to understand that, though the average leftist is not aware of it, the ultimate fruition of leftists political actions is the execution of white genocide. We are also ready to irrefutably confirm the current explicit white genocide.

In order for the leftist communist utopia to be possible at all, it is implicitly required that, not only all races have no instinctive repugnant feelings for each other, but also that all races are equally intelligent, and have the same restraint from violence. If the fulfillment of this requirement was impossible to satisfy, the leftist

utopia would be conclusively impossible to achieve because less intelligent and more violent races would never be able to achieve organic equality no matter what social programs were implemented. Sadly and inconveniently yet maximally scientifically conclusively, allfaceted overwhelming evidence proves that different races have dramatically different IQs and tendencies toward violence. We need not even debate the fact of instinctive racial repugnance because the other utopian requirement of intellectual and temperament parity conclusively fails, thus rendering the possibility of communist utopia conclusively impossible-- brown and black races are utterly obviously conclusively unable to create the advanced, rich, and harmonious societies that can the white, Asian, and Jewish races. As can be seen everywhere on Earth, the discussed brown and especially black races, in their own habitats produce technologically and socially primitive societies in which the hallmark characteristics are violence and poverty. (To squelch the leftist argument that points to the rarest instances of seemingly first world African settings—discover for yourself the non-brown and non-black races of the architects of the noteworthy structures, and where came the money for these structures.)

So then, because the all-important survival of the modern leftist ego is fully dependent on the realistic validity of their communist utopia, leftists MUST, and I do mean 100% at all costs MUST hide, especially from themselves, the maximally obvious realities of biological altruism as well as race-realism, or they would suffer truly the maximal terror imaginable, a fate worse than death-- their all-defining psychological universe would be annihilated. Yet as we have deeply proven, browns and especially blacks are incapable of achieving anything close to financial or social success relative to the lighter-skinned races.

So then, because this clearest truth of the incapability and burden of the darker races must completely destroy the leftist psyche, it is exactly logical that leftists rabidly silence any mention of it. We see this in the previously noted plights of Watson, Lahn, and Wax. We see this in the left's refusal to allow a fixed definition of the word "racism" or investigation of the phenomenon. We see this in the left's maximal discouragement of hate.

Thus, the left is exactly maximally motivated to lie-- they are exactly maximally motivated to relentlessly assert that the success of the white race compared to the brown and black races is because whites oppress the brown and black races. Their sick emotional universe renders them no other behavioral choice. What is the result of this societal situation? Logic irrefutably concludes that the ultimate consequence of the deeply saturated leftist infection of cultural Marxism must necessarily result in an empirically confirmable aggressive white genocide. No other outcome could possibly be acceptable.

Behold this maximally explicit genocidal confirmation strategy from the words of leftist Harvard Professor Noel Ignatiev's teachings... quote "There can be no white race without the phenomenon of white supremacy. ...The task is to bring this minority together in such a way that it makes it impossible for the legacy of whiteness to continue to reproduce itself... Treason to whiteness is loyalty to humanity." <u>In</u> other words, explicit white genocide.

#### **Genocide Defined**

#### Genocide defined by Lemkin:



"Generally speaking, genocide does not necessarily the mean immediate destruction of a nation, except when accomplished by mass killings of all members of a nation. It is intended rather

to signify a coordinated plan of different actions aiming at the

destruction of essential foundations of the life of national groups, with the aim of annihilating the groups themselves. The objectives of such a plan would be the disintegration of the political and social institutions of culture, language, national feelings, religion, and the economic existence of national groups, and the destruction of the personal security, liberty, health, dignity, and even the lives of the individuals belonging to such groups."

### Whiteness Is a Pandemic

Damon Young Today 1:00PM

Whiteness is a public health crisis. It shortens life expectancies, it pollutes air, it constricts equilibrium, it devastates forests, it melts ice caps, it sparks (and funds) wars, it flattens dialects, it infests consciousnesses, and it kills people.

White supremacy is a virus that, like other viruses, will not die until there are no bodies left for it to infect. Which means the only way to stop it is to locate it, isolate it, extract it, and kill it.

There are many critically acclaimed laureates that define genocide essentially the same as Lemkin. I'll only list five more:

United Nations General Assembly— "Any of the following acts committed with intent to destroy, in whole or in part, a national, ethnical, racial or religious group, as such: killing members of the group; causing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group; deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life, calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part; imposing measures intended to prevent births within the group; [and] forcibly transferring children of the group to another group."

John Cox-- "Genocide differs from other mass crimes against humanity and atrocities by its ambition. Genocide aims to not only eliminate individual members of the targeted group but to destroy the group's ability to maintain its social and cultural cohesion and, thus, its existence as a group. Because perpetrators very rarely

provide explicit statements of genocidal intent, this intent can be uncovered by examining policies, actions, and outcomes, as well as the guiding ideology."

Henry Huttenbach—
"Genocide is any act that puts
the very existence of a group
in jeopardy."



Irving Louis Horowitz-- "...a systematic effort over time to liquidate a national population, usually a minority...[and] functions as a fundamental political policy to assure conformity and participation of the citizenry."

Tony Barta-- "...genocidal society— as distinct from a genocidal state— is one in which the bureaucratic apparatus might officially be directed to protect innocent people but in which a whole race is nevertheless subject to remorseless pressures of destruction inherent in the very nature of the society."

#### White Genocide Confirmed

By comparing the above definitions of genocide with the details of mainstream social actions against whites, it is obvious and irrefutable that currently there is occurring a white genocide. If one disagrees, then the onus is on him to prove his case. We will now confirm the current white genocide by confirming the fulfillment of the requirements of genocide as defined in Lemkin's definition of genocide. Lemkin states that genocide signifies "a coordinated plan of different actions aiming at the destruction of essential foundations of the life of national groups, with the aim of annihilating the groups themselves." The cultural Marxism infected are eliminating this white group by "the destruction of essential foundations" of the white



culture, as well as physical replacement of the white race through both the determined sustained allowance and reinforcement of illegal mass immigration, and through sustained pointed reinforcement οf miscegenation. The truth of of these elements satisfies the definition genocide, and both truths clearly exist. The evidence of the current white genocide is both obvious and SO overwhelming that very clearly

only one deeply deluded, deeply stupid, or deeply sinister would deny it.

Lemkin's statement describes these "essential foundations" as "the political and social institutions of culture, language, national feelings, religion, and the economic existence of national groups, and the destruction of the personal security, liberty, health, dignity, and even the lives of the individuals belonging to such groups." A more complete list includes history, music, literature, sense of community, public awards, commercial media, traditional government, the family unit, and traditional sexuality. It has been overwhelmingly proven that the cultural Marxism virus continues to persist in a savage attack against all of the white race's essential foundations. The white race's English language has lost not only its demand but also its expectation to be used in public and private society, and thus it has been partially destroyed (replaced) by Spanish and other languages. The white race's national pride has been mostly destroyed, leaving whites with little if any motivation for national defense, most relevantly from forces within its borders. The white race's Christian religion continues to suffer major attack, most visibly from film and

#### US NEWS

# Migrant crisis has US taxpayers on the hook for up to \$451B, House GOP report says

By Josh Christenson

Published Nov. 13, 2023, 9:00 a.m. ET

fine arts institutions. Because of the recent unbounded printing of money by the Democrats, the financial health of the white majority mighty American economy has finally manifested deterioration. In year 2023 alone the United States Democrat spending on illegal immigrants totaled а breathtaking \$451,000,000,000. The USA national credit rating has been lowered from "AAA" to "AA+". In September 2024 the annual interest alone US foreign debt payment on has surpassed \$1,000,000,000,000! Every American is now experiencing painful inflation at the supermarket, the gas station, the insurance office, everywhere. As previously discussed, each day cultural Marxism created hostility, including skyrocketing heinous violent crimes committed by the invading illegals, compromises the personal security of whites. Mainstream society both institutionally as well as socially readily deprives whites of various liberties all others enjoy such as freedom of expression, freedom of association, freedom of scholastic admission equality, freedom of business decisions, etcetera.

Of course, this savage anti-white oppression has eroded white dignity. How can any but the toughest whites keep their heads rightfully held high in a society condemning them from all sides? Pitiful testament to the erosion of white dignity can be found within Youtube videos depicting children professing their hatred for being white.

Additional to the fulfillment of Lemkin's genocide definition, justice demands the appreciation of the destruction of the remaining cultural pillars: The white race's most important cultural pillar, its historical narrative, has been majorly maligned and thus majorly

"It is... certainly undesirable to present the past as it really was." "History as such may not have much meaning," The Browning School






"'Progress' will finally usher us into the future we have long been waiting for." -Qureshi

# K-12 history teacher brags about teaching distorted history to students.

destroyed. The organic public saturation of white classical and rock music has been largely destroyed (replaced) by black hip-hop / R&B. New white literature is ignored while old white literature has been largely destroyed (replaced) with anti-white literature. The white race's sense of community has been largely destroyed. The white race's public awards have been totally usurped and thus destroyed. The white race's commercial media has been mostly replaced and thus mostly destroyed.

It cannot be stressed enough that the term "Western culture" is a clinical reference to the white man's living, intimate, critical, and irreplaceable amniotic fluid. This embodies everything his forefathers have nurtured, contributed, and carefully passed to him from generation to generation. This amniotic fluid reveals his place in the cosmos. It guides him. It is the wellspring of his courage, passion, and sanity. It is clear that Lemkin stressed culture within his definition of genocide because he instinctively understood the amniotic fluid metaphor— the survival of a race's culture is inextricable to the survival of the race itself. The toxic leadership of charlatan Barack Obama so furthered the drain of our amniotic fluid that the withering of our race is now directly identifiable. White birth rates have declined below minimum replacement levels during the last decade. The white suicide rate within the United States is both the highest, and the only domestic ethnic rate to increase each year since 2006. Because we have lost communication with our forefathers we have lost our will to live. This is what asphyxiating DNA looks and feels like. If you are white, what you are feeling right now is the visceral experience of the entire 5000 year legacy of your

forefathers, and the entire future of your children aggressively murdered. You are experiencing the feeling of your genocide.



It is presently 2019. The population of the United States' founding race has shrunk to 60.7%, and it is shrinking. In New York City the whites long ago lost their majority. Their population is now 42.8%, and it is shrinking. Because even the moronic whites now sense their racial and cultural genocide, white resentment is now palpable. Akin to the defining characteristics of genocide, white resentment of its own genocide is not allowed public expression, so it has funneled into social media. This maximally legitimate white resentment is what the left either ignorantly or duplicitously labels the rise in "white supremacy"; though as discussed, this white resentment has zero to do with supremacy desire. Though it is true that the fault for the white genocide minimally lies with the invading races but correctly with the politicians and polemicists, there is no way the deeply

assailed white majority can quell resulting racist urges for violence; thus, for the first time in many decades the left's fear of white racist violence is legit. In response the left only exacerbates the tension by ratcheting up their terrible white oppression. Fresh batches of pictures circulate representing maybe 2000 Nazi extremists amongst 200,000,000 United States whites. Social media sites even more aggressively deplatform white-advocates. More outed Republicans lose their jobs. More free speech defenders are beaten with sticks and pipes. The maximally justified teeming white resentment, frustration, and anger in the global hundreds of millions of whites finally morph into rage. When rage sees no solution, it incarnates into the horrific mass murders of 2011 Oslo and others.

#### Are White Vigilantes Justified?



2019 El Paso shooter Patrick Crusius

In the aftermaths of white vigilante mass murders, smartly dressed media pundits vomit in unison the same simplistic and egregiously irresponsible "whitetravesty that supremacist" monsters preyed harmonious upon happy society. Hour after hour they recycle their gush lamenting the tragedy of lost life, so that they

may successfully cower from their exact social responsibility of elucidating the cause of the tragedy so it may be rectified, and future such incidents averted. Of course, every sane person knows that each new mass shooting is actually an entire white race screaming from the stabbings of its own savage murder. As if it was needed, each shooter articulated his case in a detailed public manifesto, one totaling more than fifteen hundred pages. 2011 Oslo bomber and shooter Anders Breivik testified, "I acted in defense of my culture and of my people." Later when asked why he was crying, Breivik replied, "Because my country is in the process of dying – it was the sorrow over seeing my country... deconstructed." 2018 Pittsburgh shooter

Robert Bowers wrote "HIAS likes to bring invaders in that kill our people. I can't sit by and watch my people get slaughtered." 2019 El Paso shooter Patrick Crusius "I wrote. am simply defending my country from and cultural ethnic replacement brought on by



an invasion." Instead of discussing these manifestos, mainstream journalistic policy is to ignore and remove access to them. What kind of logic or wisdom or compassion is this?? 2019 Christchurch shooter Brenton Tarrant could not have communicated his desperation any more clearly—multiple times in all capital letters he

wrote, "WHY WON'T SOMEBODY DO SOMETHING?" Is this the communication of a sociopath? If this is not the communication of a sociopath, considering the maximally communicative



Names of victims of Islamic terrorism adorn the Christchurch shooter's weaponry.

and consequential actions succeeding it, doesn't this communication merit discussion? Not a single one of our thousands of mainstream intellectuals, journalists, and social leaders has the integrity to acknowledge the social responsibility of articulating the terrorists' case, let alone to publicly voice, not that these shooters are right, but simply that their case is morally compelling enough to merit critical investigation.

The compelling moral case against these shooters argues that the political results of their actions do not outweigh the appalling terror and tragedy because, firstly, terrorism itself might always be immoral. Secondly, the direct deprivation of life of so many innocent individuals can never be morally justified. Thirdly, it is decidedly unclear if not ridiculous that there exists a white genocide or other major anti-white racial attack. Fourthly, even if there does exist a white genocide or other major racial attack, the morally correct course of action is to maintain the implicit social contract by pursuing peaceful activism.

The compelling moral case for these shooters argues that while healthy morality demands the extreme horror and tragedy of massmurder never be under-appreciated, the same healthy morality appreciates that our society was first guilty of two societal crimes that precipitated these shootings: Firstly, society violated these shooters' implicit social contracts by wickedly denying them their rightful and desperately necessary peaceful public platform that would allow them to both understand and articulate the overwhelming oppression against their racial survival evidenced in: 1. the unrelenting, vicious, and ubiquitous cultural Marxism attack on all their cultural pillars, and 2. the simultaneous unrelenting illegal domestic invasion of over 100,000 foreigners a month into their homeland for many years. It is totally unreasonable to expect that citizens will remain nonviolent in such an outrageously intolerable psychological condition. It is possible that each of these tragedies could have been avoided if society permitted these shooters the opportunity to behave responsibly by seeking social discourse to achieve clarity on their extremely evident, complicated, and dire crisis. Robert Bowers bewilderment evidences in his twisted logic that in order to save his people he needed to kill Jews even though Jewish cultural Marxist activists represent an overall small minority of cultural Marxist activists. Because leftist society disallowed the white vigilantes their crucial responsible discourse, leftists indirectly drove them to desperation. Does one think the vigilantes enjoyed this fate? Exactly what other realistic fate did they have??

Secondly, because of cultural Marxist infection, the governments of Europe and the United States violated these shooters' implicit if not explicit social contracts by failing to assemble any reasonable national defense against the previously discussed massive invasions of white homelands. Though much of the European invasion is technically legal, common-sense demands that government officials plainly consult the citizenry before allowing hundreds of thousands if not millions of radically racially and culturally dissimilar aliens into their communities. Even if there wasn't a verifiable white genocide, such complete governmental failure to stop mass domestic invasion very reasonably demands the citizenry to enact necessary survival defensive measures including necessary physical force. Just because the invaders don't have guns doesn't make them ultimately less hostile because both strategies achieve the physical conquest of a

sovereign society. As a matter of fact, in the modern world the unarmed invader is clearly far more difficult to stop. How are the citizens supposed to repel these mass invasions if their government representatives are either mentally ill or too cowardly to stop them, while at the same time the citizens are socially and physically prevented form assembling, publishing, or even publicly declaring their confusion and dire concerns? Will someone please explain what was these shooters' realistic non-violent and effective alternative to stop the ongoing mass invasions into their homelands? I repeat: will someone please explain what was these shooters' realistic non-violent and effective alternative to stop the ongoing mass invasions into their homelands?

Thus, as a consequence of multiple instances of gross negligence by both their societies and federal governments resulting from the most wanton cultural Marxist oppression, these shooters seemingly and possibly actually had zero alternative to stop the annihilation of what their healthy biology deemed most vital in the universe—the perseverance of their epic racial DNA and its critical amniotic fluid called culture. They were extremely reasonably forced into last resort horrific lethal force either to directly eliminate the mass invaders, or create a last resort terrorist spectacle to draw attention to the ongoing invasion of their homelands, as well as the murder of their entire global family, all its future children, and all its sacred culture. Even if some of the shooters could only intuit their motivation, it is obvious that these shooters were directly defending against their evident textbook genocide.

There is no question that these men should reap legal consequences of their actions; however, the question continues to remain whether they are finally morally guilty. Evidently no one else in our pathetic society has the integrity to publicly honestly grapple with this massively relevant question, thus I will. While these crimes would seemingly always prove morally unforgivable, the final verdict is this: the morality of these mass killings remains at best unclear, and at worst, justified; the pivotal question is whether or not these

shooters had a peaceful alternative to stop the maximally evident invasion of their homelands and destruction of their cultures and biological race. This is a terrifying truth. Even if certain brilliant minds can imagine such alternatives, can one really expect these shooters to have all been so brilliant as to have imagined them? Even now, can anyone submit a realistic peaceful solution to the American and European foreign mass invasions, which must somehow include overcoming the government




Patrick Crusius awaits justice.

representatives who are either stupid, insane, or deeply cowardly? And what are the realistic peaceful alternatives to stop the terrible white oppression and cultural destruction articulated in these pages? Because all other peaceful channels for whites to stop their genocidal oppression have been wantonly denied, it is imperative for social peace that public leaders explain to the white race why last-resort physical defense is presently not warranted. Before the existence of the cultural Marxism intellectual virus, for the first 4940 of 5000 years of human civilization the indigenous population naturally resorted to physical violence to repel such invasions. Is the whites' healthy solution so terrifyingly obvious that to continue searching for an alternative is itself sentimental insanity? Can the killing of invading individuals or their enablers be reasonably condemned if it proves to be the only way to save one's homeland, culture, and race? The white race desperately needs the community to earnestly grapple with these questions and also provide best effort answers. Because overwhelming evidence supports the reality of current white genocide, the critical and time-sensitive onus is on society to tell the white race why it should not resort to physical violence— if one acknowledges racial identity, which only an idiot or a liar would deny, then the social contract absolutely allows a race to preserve itself by any means necessary.

much more violence will precipitate from society's irresponsibility to address this imminent vigilante danger? What will be the fates of the other hundreds of millions of awake whites when their morality forces them to answer how to stop the advanced oppression and destruction of their DNA and its amniotic cultural fluid? In the aftermath of Robert Bowers' Pittsburgh shooting, an online poll revealed that almost 25% of its respondents approved of Bowers' actions. That is all the evidence a rational person needs to understand that without a publicly supported effort for honest dialogue about the white genocide, logic and wisdom assert a certainty of future white vigilante violence. And when these future killings do occur, the legion of makeup-caked faces will again gush at us about a tragedy they helped actualize. Every one of these poltroons will hour after hour perform his same sickening charade implying the unquestionable immorality of these shootings. Let even one of them articulate the above case defending it! No one demands that a professional pundit agree with the perpetrator's defense. No one demands they refute the defense. As discussed, what morality demands is that media commentators make a best effort to correctly articulate both sides of the case. Not a single one of them will do their essential societal duty, let alone acknowledge the deafening silence of the moral hundreds of millions of whites, blacks, Hispanics, Arabs, and Asians that swell with not only sadness but disturbing relief that someone on some corner of the planet made at least some kind of attempt to make apparent the incomprehensibly tragic taboo white genocide.

#### The Impossibility of Normal Cultural Recovery



Can we stop the white genocide?

In order to answer this question we must first inspect, and then accept a fact: cultural Marxism will not only always exist in Western culture, but it

will always dominate it. An incorrect theory, and one every leftist believes, is that "social justice" will ultimately achieve social and economic equality for the blacks in particular; and thus, the plight of every race will achieve a fair and enduring status quo. This will never happen because of two facts previously discussed. Firstly, the typical less-than-competitive IQ of blacks will always result in less black representation in positions of status, and more black representation in prisons. No matter how much free money, free education, coddling, lying, and leniency we give the innocent black race, this fact will never ever change.

Secondly, the defining leftist emotional brain can never be inoculated from the cultural Marxism virus. Though it may seem impossible to comprehend to a rightist mind, as discussed, the physical laws of the leftist universe are emotional laws. To a leftist it is patently absurd that science and reason should have any more than little weight when making critical choices. This may sound ridiculous to the inexperienced mind, yet it is readily revealed by viewing any and all leftist policies. Literally all of them— size of government, health care, gun control, abortion, immigration, war, poverty, and schooling are based primarily on emotion. Thus, it will never be possible to vaccinate a leftist against the cultural Marxism virus because the virus leverages the ground of being of the left-brain

universe— emotion. Any type of intellectual vaccination for a leftist would be as ineffective as trying to use math to prevent a child from playing with a new toy. Only an extreme *emotional* shock from a consequence of leftist policy can possibly remove the virus, and such shocks cannot occur artificially. Thus, the tragic final verdict is this: it is impossible to maintain a just and truth-championing multiracial meritocracy.

#### **The George Floyd Riots**



The 2020 United States riots over the seeming police brutality death of George Floyd perfectly illustrate how advanced has progressed the cultural Marxism destruction of our

societal fabric. Just because violent career criminal Floyd was black, the entire nation suffered unprecedented rioting, looting, and murdered police and civilians in response to the utterly unjustifiable narrative that there exists a national epidemic of racist police brutality. This false narrative is easily disproven, not only by copious police and FBI statistical evidence, but by simply searching Youtube for "police brutality" to witness all the police brutality against whites, much of its violence more appalling than any such white-on-black example. Heather MacDonald of The Manhattan Institute found that a police officer is 18.5 times more likely to be killed by a black male than an unarmed black male is likely to be killed by a police officer. A video example of such police brutality against whites is the 2016 Mesa Arizona brutal police humiliation and shooting of Daniel Shaver. Ponder the magnitude of the unjustified social explosion that would have occurred if Shaver was black.

The cultural Marxist pressure from the nationwide rioting was so severe that virtually every major company and public figure was forced to bow to the police-murdering group "Black Lives Matter" despite the group's proud chants of "What do we want? Dead cops! When do we want it? Now!" Behold the unremarkable quality of


# Broncos' Vic Fangio 'sorry' for saying he doesn't see racism in NFL



Around the NFL Staff

Sacramento Kings play-by-play announcer Grant Napear resigns after 'All Lives Matter' tweet

ESPN - 2 days ago



Yahoo Sports · 2 days ago



## We are no longer free to say "All lives matter."

these famous "Black Lives Matter" cases: Black man Dontre Hamilton resisted police officer Christopher Manney's pat down. During the scuffle Hamilton grabbed Manney's baton and hit him with it in the neck. Manney then shot him. Black man John Crawford was shot by officers because he waived a BB gun inside a Wal-Mart. Michael Brown committed a strong-arm robbery then punched a police officer and grasped for his gun as DNA confirms. Trayvon Martin had been suspended from school for vandalism, and was found in possession of a burglary tool and 12 pieces of jewelry of which he said was given to him by a friend whom he couldn't name. In the altercation that led to Martin's death, photographs of Zimmerman show him badly beaten. Eric Garner, who gasped "I can't breathe." was choked for a maximum of 15 seconds. He didn't die from racist police brutality; he died from complications resulting from diabetes and asthma. These commonplace incidents in conjunction with the omission of all the white victim incidents are what the zombie army labels evidence of systemic police racism.

When there finally occurred a seemingly actual case of manslaughter with Floyd, the cultural Marxism virus, maximally exacerbated by the evil media, sent the zombie army into a full deluded rage. It didn't

matter that this incident represented roughly 1 in 6,000,000 arrests so far in 2020. It didn't matter that justice was evidenced in the arrest of officer Derek Chauvin. They burned police stations, commercial and residential buildings. They murdered citizens and police officers, all the while chanting "No justice no peace!" One video depicts armed blacks clubbing a white woman in front of her husband. Another depicts a white man fleeing from raging blacks yelling "Kill all the white people!" Then when it was later discovered that Floyd actually yelled "I can't breathe!" five times alone in the police car before he was brought to the ground, and officer Chauvin's knee on the back and neck was not dangerous but standard Minnesota police procedure when unhandcuffed (though not when handcuffed), and also that medical evidence proved Floyd actually died from ingesting over four times the lethal dose of fentanyl in his body, he was anyway

Toxicology Report		Pat	tient Name	FLOYD, GEORGE	
Report Issued 05/31/2020 18:44			tient ID ain	2020-3700 NMSCP59310	
		Ag	e 46 Y	DOB 10/14/1973	
To:	148889	Ge	nder	Male	
	Hennepin County Medical Examiner 530 Chicago Avenue	Wo	orkorder	20159963	
	Minneapolis, MN 55415	Pag	Page 1 of 7		
Posi	tive Findings:		-21.2		
Γ	-	Pacult	Unite	Matrix Source	
	Compound	Result	<u>Units</u>		
	Compound Caffeine	Positive	mcg/mL	. 001 - Hospital	
	Compound Caffeine Cotinine	Positive Positive	mcg/mL	. 001 - Hospital 001 - Hospital	
	Compound Caffeine Cotinine 4-ANPP	Positive Positive 0.65	mcg/mL ng/mL	. 001 - Hospital 001 - Hospital 003 - Hospital	
	Compound Caffeine Cotinine 4-ANPP 11-Hydroxy Delta-9 THC	Positive Positive 0.65 1.2	mcg/mL ng/mL ng/mL ng/mL	001 - Hospital 001 - Hospital 003 - Hospital 001 - Hospital	
	Compound Caffeine Cotinine 4-ANPP 11-Hydroxy Delta-9 THC Delta-9 Carboxy THC	Positive Positive 0.65 1.2	mcg/mL ng/mL ng/mL ng/mL ng/mL	001 - Hospital 001 - Hospital 003 - Hospital 001 - Hospital 001 - Hospital	
	Compound Caffeine Cotinine 4-ANPP 11-Hydroxy Delta-9 THC Delta-9 Carboxy THC Delta-9 THC	Positive Positive 0.65 1.2 42 2.9	mcg/mL ng/mL ng/mL ng/mL ng/mL ng/mL	001 - Hospital 001 - Hospital 003 - Hospital 001 - Hospital 001 - Hospital 001 - Hospital	
	Compound Caffeine Cotinine 4-ANPP 11-Hydroxy Delta-9 THC Delta-9 Carboxy THC	Positive Positive 0.65 1.2	mcg/mL ng/mL ng/mL ng/mL ng/mL	001 - Hospital 001 - Hospital 003 - Hospital 001 - Hospital 001 - Hospital 001 - Hospital	
	Compound Caffeine Cotinine 4-ANPP 11-Hydroxy Delta-9 THC Delta-9 Carboxy THC Delta-9 THC	Positive Positive 0.65 1.2 42 2.9	mcg/mL ng/mL ng/mL ng/mL ng/mL ng/mL	Matrix Source 001 - Hospital 001 - Hospital 003 - Hospital 001 - Hospital	

convicted of second degree murder— cultural Marxism had so extremely enraged the zombie masses, that the jury had not the power to resist the colossal social pressure. Even Chauvin's badge number has been retired in his precinct. Now sits completely innocent Chauvin in a prison cell— an unparallelled travesty.

This is exactly an example of what is supposed to happen when a multiracial society is saturated with the cultural Marxism virus. This

frightful event is the normal symptom of the final stages of its infection.

#### **Never Again**

In his illuminating book "Our Borders, Ourselves" the late Lawrence Auster suggests a possible solution in which the white majority rightfully reasserts itself as the dominant culture while revealing to the minorities that, while they indeed deserve full civil rights, their rightful and actually healthy state is cultural subordination to the majority. Whether or not the left or the right will admit it, Auster is morally correct. Obviously the deeply assailed indigenous United States culture is white culture. This fact combined with the fact that the US population is still majority white at 60% confirms Auster's claim. Thus there exists a chance for cultural recovery, but it is extremely slim. It would require a courage and inspiration in the American population of which there has been no evidence besides the election of President Trump. Furthermore, even if such a revival materialized, Auster wisely attests that the solution would also require popular compassion for our noble and generous nation. Of course this will never be. Each new day "professors" funnel new thousands of our children into their ghastly chambers, seal tight the doors, then release the hideous cultural Marxism virus. If we could take back the schools we'd have a chance. There exist a few campaigns such as "StopK12Indoctrination.org", and "AriseNJ.com". yet again, their substantial success would require participation of many courageous and inspired citizens. We'd have a possible chance if only we could take back the schools. Jordan Peterson confirmed this point, "The corrupt ideas of [cultural Marxism] should be consigned to the dustbin of history, instead we underwrite their continuance in the very institutions where the central ideas of the West should be transmitted across the generations. Unless we stop, [cultural Marxism] will do to America and the entire Western world what it has already done to its universities."

The death of white cultural saturation also means the death of high Western art. High art is only the fruit of passion deeply rooted in racial and cultural identity— of DNA surrounded, nurtured, and guided by forefather DNA. Never again will the world witness the bloom of artists such as Michelangelo, Da Vinci, Shakespeare, Hesse,



Bach, Monet, Mozart, Mahler, Chopin, Turner, Rembrandt, and Hemmingway.

Never again will Americans know true liberty, or the free exchange of opinions and ideas as they did before the previously unimaginable integration of terrifying Orwellian concepts such as "hate speech", "hate crime", "criminal misuse of gender pronouns", "racial supremacy", lawsuit weaponization of workplace discrimination, sexual harassment, and date rape. Never again will Americans know meritocracy— a time before racist selection in academics, employment, movies, TV, music, awards, and commercial media. Never again will appalling anti-white racism be discouraged in mainstream society, even within elementary school teaching. Never again will schools teach the true and outstanding moral, courageous, and brilliant account of European and American history that generates the essential respect necessary to motivate Americans and

Europeans to defend their nation and culture. We've done away will all this so that we can have a "better world". The United States is now



a communist culture, and its multiracial permanence proves cultural change seemingly impossible. The left is fast achieving its utopia. May they never be allowed to leave.

# Solutions to The Catastrophe of Multiracial Society and White Genocide

"All peoples have the right of self-determination. By virtue of that right they freely determine their political status and freely pursue their economic, social and cultural development." –United Nations

#### The Correct Solution to

#### **Multiracial Society and White Genocide**



Whites can survive genocide, and all the races can exit multicultural hell by forming monoracial countries.

Because cultural Marxist multiracial society has brought societal hell to all races, all races should seek societal freedom through the formation of monoracial countries because, as discussed, only through the unpolluted saturation of a race's cultural expression can a race be healthy; additionally, a return to our natural societies will free all of us from racial tension and the sewer of unrelenting insufferable cultural Marxism. There's no one to blame for this greatest catastrophe of multiracial society. We all meant well and wanted happiness and peace. We tried our best. Humans could not have known the hellish consequences of multiracial society. Now we

are clear about these consequences, and thus our obligation is to ourselves, our families, our races, and humanity to appreciate the wisdom of the Chinese and Japanese by evolving out of this well-meaning but greatest societal catastrophe in human history—multiracial society.



This is the look and feel of natural monoethnic society.



This is the look and feel of natural monoethnic society.

The main problem is convincing the darker races that they would be better off in their primitive, technologically backward homelands. Because not only the dark races, but all humans are selfish and significantly immoral, it is unlikely that the dark races would exhibit correct morality by voluntarily leaving the comfort of white societies. Because of this reality, the path forward for whites is to publicly remind the world of their right to self-determination, then engage in whatever moral peaceful or non-peaceful acts are necessary to

force the interloping races out of their societies. As long as the white race makes an effort to maintain compassion, whether or not violence ensues, whites will hold the moral high-ground.

The European path out of multiracial hell is different than that of the United States because European countries have deep historical mandates as ethnic



This is the look and feel of natural cultural expression.

homelands, thus the European countries can assert their undeniable claim to self-determination with immediate moral and humane repatriation of illegal aliens to their foreign lands. Regarding legal residents of non-native ethnicities, national leaders will integrate abundant compassion into detailed explanations of the moral right and necessity of the demand that they leave. Incorporated in this speech and correlating policy will be the official embracing of racism, because racism is normal, moral, and healthy. Nature will resume the genesis of a monoracial society through natural honest nonhospitable interactions with race interlopers. The racial interlopers will be allowed to leave peacefully, given a year to uproot their social and financial commitments. If certain racial interlopers are so immorally selfish that they refuse to leave, then they will be forcefully transferred to the national border if not to their native land. Do not be deceived— racial interlopers thoroughly know that they don't belong in white native lands. Racial interlopers who do not accept their humane expulsion are immoral-- they deserve no one's compassion.

Regarding Islam, though it is not an ethnicity, it is rooted in Arab DNA expression. Its values and customs are severely opposite and explicitly violent to Western culture; thus, Islam's existence in Europe should be illegal.

Tragically for whites, while there exist strong facts that support the morality of changing the USA to a white ethnostate, there are too many multiracial American precedents that make it seemingly impossible to morally enforce implementation of a white ethnostate.

Facts supporting the moral case for a United States white ethnostate at least include: 1. The United States founders and founding population were 100% white. 2. Within the 1790 document "Acts of The First Congress of The United States" is written "any alien, being a free **white person**, who shall have resided within the limits and under the jurisdiction of the United States for the term of two years, may be admitted to become a citizen thereof." 3. The United States has always been, and is currently majority white. 4. Clearly positive

United States culture, however assailed it has been in recent years, is the same white texture and flavor as is in Europe. This truth is particularly enraging to the insane leftists, yet obviously the darker races have contributed extremely little to USA culture. Without the use of the internet I cannot even name something browns have contributed to culture besides Mexican food. Blacks have indeed contributed some quality jazz, blues, and pop music, and also sports spectacle, but these feats are microscopic to the contributions of whites to USA culture.

Facts against the moral case for a United States white ethnostate at least include: 1. Though blacks had no choice in it and forever point to this as a negative, blacks were in the United States at its founding. 2. Because the dubious wording within The Declaration of Independence states "all men are created equal", a practical problem is that the mentally crippled Democrats have not the common intellectual ability to acknowledge the simple truth that "all men are created equal" referred to caste, not race; and thus, will mount furious righteous resistance founded on their incorrect interpretation. 3. In the same way as number 2, the Democrats will unfoundedly rally around the poetry foolishly subsequently attached to the Statue of Liberty, "Give me your tired, your poor, your huddled masses". 4. The Immigration Act of 1965, while fatefully dubious, is a democratically valid document, and thus must command moral respect as a barrier to a total USA white ethnostate. 5. Although whites have a moral justification to demand that the massive 40% non-white minority return to their ethnic homelands, these selfish minorities would never exhibit the morality to comply, and they are a far too large group to remove with force lest whites manifested an appetite for a national race war.

To solve the catastrophe of multiracial society, mere ethnic separatism would prove unsatisfactory for many reasons. There could not exist organic national pride. Whites would rightfully anger at disproportionate economic responsibility. Racial resentment would saturate all federal activity, and a new corruption would breed

along racial lines. The president would be swamped in endless inane issues and accusations of racial inequity because no matter what fair laws were implemented, race realism would always dominate—the black regions would always end up squalid like every other black region on Earth.

To resolve with certainty that a solution to the catastrophe of multiracial society merits highest moral and practical priority, we must keep focus on this aforementioned fact: multiracial society is a catastrophe not only for the whites, but for all races. The correct solution to remedy the United States multiracial society catastrophe is national balkanization. Regarding the mentally-ill leftists, they should be free to persist with their horrific utopia experiment within a remaining multi-ethnic nation; however, their totalitarian attempt to prevent balkanization would have no mandate morally, or in the United Nations as its literature clearly articulates a people's right to self-determination.

#### A Blueprint for United States Ethnic Balkanization



United States Ethnic Balkanization is the correct solution to the catastrophe of multiracial society and white genocide, yet because apathy, laziness, and cowardice are such dominant traits in all humans,

and also because the monstrous Democrats would mount fierce resistance to this moral and correct solution that rejects their communist utopia, it must be admitted that this solution is almost certainly practically impossible, though I could be mistaken. Nevertheless, because the formation of monoethnic countries is

indeed the correct solution, this treatise has a responsibility to articulate the morality of this solution, and also suggest a practical plan for its actualization. (In a succeeding section will be discussed the best practical strategy to survive white genocide in the United States.) Furthermore, the motivation for inserting this blueprint for United States racial balkanization is so that others may ponder it and evolve it.

As minorities are not oppressed by the cultural Marxism virus, they will face no resistance in mounting their separatist campaigns, and whites can point to those campaigns as evidence of moral justification for their balkanization.

For whites, the first step is the establishment and growth of white advocate groups. As of this writing, I cannot find a single such group because the evil left, with its "white supremacy" weapon, has made completely socially forbidden the formation or participation in a group cultivating healthy white identity. This needs to change. Courageous white leaders must make themselves known. They need to bear the burden on behalf of their local white communities

to found white advocate group chapters. It is certain that the evil **Democrats** heinously will threaten these local white leaders with social cancelling. Overcoming this fear is the price to pay to avoid racial extinction. Pay this price. Countless of our forefathers fought and died violent deaths so that we could survive. It is now our turn to combat the evil of our time, and indeed it is ghastly—the legions of heinous, utterly immoral and insane, ruthless Democrat monsters.



Democrats are utterly immoral and insane, ruthless, heinous monsters.

Note that white advocate groups themselves are not tied to a balkanization agenda. Healthy white advocate groups provide

immediate and desperately needed sanctuary and solidarity against the severe genocidal anti-white racism; thus, all healthy whites have the responsibility to either establish or join a healthy white advocate group. Within these groups members cultivate knowledge and confidence by attending monthly meetings where they discuss not only the moral soundness of healthy racial affirmation and cultural preservation, but also productive ways to interact with the public as white advocates. Increasing membership is an important ongoing goal because it immediately gains local political strength for white defense, it increases the organization's public legitimization, and ultimately it will provide the necessary apparatus to legitimize the white race's aspiration for balkanization. White advocacy groups expand their membership through both word of mouth, and dissemination of official literary and video media that explains the substance, morality, and necessity of the white advocacy mission. Morality insists countless white advocacy chapters immediately establish everywhere in the West.

White advocates must originate simple explanations and quotes for posters that easily convey the message of positive white identity. This treatise has articulated the complexity of the situation, yet such complexity fails in random group teaching. A good poster phrase is "Embrace your white identity." or "I love my white culture." It should be obvious that these are absolutely moral phrases; thus, one should never apologize for their display though the horrifically evil Democrats will demonize our moral and healthy expression.

Finally, if whites are to achieve successful white advocate groups, let alone balkanization, their leaders must motivate the community. Experience proves that all people, even rightists, are most easily stirred not by logic but emotions; thus, in order for a leader to be successful, he must stir emotions. This cannot be stressed enough.

When healthy white advocacy groups gain many millions of members, whites will be ready to display their resolve through



multiple peaceful public marches akin to the 1960's civil rights activism led by Martin Luther King. It is correctly moral that all ethnicities ioin the whites: however, because it is whites who are suffering genocide, it is the whites' responsibility through this social activism to make clear to the the current aggressive murder of the white culture and race, and thus the need for balkanization. Specifically, whites' goal is to compel the governments at all levels to

officially allow whites to self-segregate both residentially and commercially, with the long-term aim of monoethnic national balkanization.

When the citizenry finally accepts the white race's commitment to balkanization, the next step is to determine the appropriate sizes of the future countries, and then elect representatives for a committee to resolve the fair location and borders of each nation. The first step simply conducts a national vote tallying who and how many will join their monoethnic societies, or remain in a multiracial America. When these results are known, each newly formed faction will conduct a six month process to elect not their politicians, but their three balkanization committee representatives whom will not solve the myriad difficulties of balkanization, but only approve the fairness of the solutions.

Because the many issues of balkanization will require complex national data and prove difficult to solve, it falls on the existing United States government to solve them through the assembly of competent sub-committees. The sub-committees' work will prove difficult, yet it must have a deadline such as four years to avoid analysis paralysis of the movement. Many major geographical issues will need to be appreciated concerning mineral and agricultural resources, infrastructure resources,



coastal access, natural preserves, climate preferability, national disaster exposure, and international positioning. How will we settle current economic commitments such as contracted obligations, domestic credits and debits, loans, bonds, and the national debt? How will we allocate our military hardware, our foreign military bases, and our nuclear arsenal? The world will need to ponder how best to lessen negative international consequences of the dissolution of the world's leading superpower. This lengthy period will also provide each faction time to elect the authors of their new constitutions, and also their new government leaders.

After the decided interval is up, the balkanization must occur. There can be zero exceptions! No great social change is perfectly executed, and this will be no different. No doubt the sickening Democrat zombie army with their fake-news juggernauts will put up massive resistance every step of the way. The balkanization movement's momentum is crucial, thus it must be maintained. Whites, at least, will do their best, and that must and will be enough. The various leaders will then submit to the world their declarations of independence, the new nations will be born, and the emancipated races will experience incomprehensible, mind-blowing, paradisical joy. The emancipated white race will experience incomprehensible, mind-blowing, paradisical joy.



Imagine the joy of accomplished balkanization.



Imagine the joy of accomplished balkanization.

### What Will Not Work to Stop White Genocide

As stated, it is very difficult to imagine that United States balkanization will ever happen because the leadership and inspiration required is so lacking, but this is not certain.

So then, is the USA white race doomed? No, the USA white race is not doomed—there exists a practical solution to attain a white monoethnic society. First we will note what will not work. Firstly, we can attempt to appeal to the Democrats, but this seems to be a nonstarter as even the non cultural-Marxism infected leftist mind is so crazy. We can try stepping into their insane emotional universe and appeal to them not with morality grounded in logic but with emotions-- we can express to them the asphyxiation we suffer from the absence of our native culture. We can express to them that the way we see our race and its culture is religious— our race and its cultural survival is our meaning of life, and truly the only solution for us is to live separately from the other races. It is hard to believe leftists could even ponder this sincere dire plea, because they would immediately summon the image of a smiling black child which would then outweigh the scale of the hundreds of millions of asphyxiating whites.

A clever idea is to present Democrats with the irresistible logic: "If you hate us white-separatists so deeply, can we leave your society and sequester in our own society?" This will probably fail for two reasons. Firstly, leftists are simply unable to think logically. Secondly,

leftist don't truly want to peacefully coexist with anyone not aligned with their communist utopian vision—they only want them dead. Nevertheless, for public exposure of Democrat evil, it is a good idea to confront them with the quoted question.

Posting within social media echo-chambers will help nothing, and it is demoralizing to witness such a waste of energy from so many well-meaning ethno-nationalists. What could help a bit is if these keyboard warriors exited their echo chambers and made the smallest effort to instead post on leftist accounts in the slim but perhaps possible hope that some leftists may overcome their cultural Marxism infection.

#### The Practical Strategy

#### to Survive White Genocide in The USA

The practical strategy for racially-sensitive United States whites is to actualize North American white-separatism. Whites can use both social media and specific websites to agree on a location, steadily move to this location (Democrats can't stop this!), then finally win statewide elections so that a formal petition for secession may succeed, and a new constitution may be written with its foundation rooted in white racial identity. A perfect slogan for this movement is "We Want To Live Among Ourselves." When asked to articulate the reason for separation, white separatists will point to the aforementioned United Nations quote, "All peoples have the right of self-determination. By virtue of that right they freely determine their political status and freely pursue their economic, social and cultural development." Currently the most promising place for this is northern Idaho where there already exists high white demographics and also white-separatist movements, but it can be anywhere. Once a single region is allowed to erect a white ethnostate, it will suddenly overwhelm with immigrating whites. This extreme demand will irresistibly continue to swell its borders. At long last, white cultural

and physical genocide will be averted, and the maximally morally justified white dream will be achieved of whites living only among themselves within their own culture. (A crowning testament to white Democrat hypocrisy will be that white Democrats that proudly condemned the struggle for a white ethnostate will make every effort to emigrate to it when it becomes reality.)

# The Consequence of White Inaction Is White Genocide.



If the white race does not find both the inspiration and leadership to actualize repatriation, balkanization, or white-separatism, then white genocide is certain; the only question concerns its details. Remember that the term "genocide" does not require a complete eradication of the race. It is true that whites will still exist across the West, but they will forever be an abused fearful minority similar to

Jews. The eternal optimist can assert the probability that certain Eastern European countries such as Poland and Slovakia may endure indefinitely as mostly mono-ethnic nations, but this is an unacceptably pathetic attitude. Besides, it is also probable that in the centuries ahead these Eastern European countries will fall to the cultural Marxism infection.

The tragic reality is that the white genocide will probably succeed because cultural Marxism has brainwashed whites out of their most natural and important motivation of all which is their racial consciousness—the preservation and endurance of their extended family. Unlike every other race, only the whites have been brainwashed to believe that they are nothing more than random disconnected organisms. Biological altruism proves that whites are fundamentally part of a cosmic evolution; thus, if whites passively allow their legacy DNA to be eradicated, then whites have betrayed **their cosmic purpose.** White extinction would be acceptable if whites organically didn't care about their genocide—that would classify as the fate of natural selection of weak DNA. But the white social situation is not one of authentic indifference; it is one of psychological subversion inflicted by Democrat minions of the cultural Marxism intellectual virus. In short: whites must wake up immediately or they will die. Courageous white leaders that are able to appeal to all races must rise, or else the white masses will continue on their path of helplessness. One day will seem like the next. One year will seem like the next, yet we can plainly see that white

genocide is maximally real and accelerating--every single indicator evidences the savage murder of the white race and



culture. It's possible that white advocates may push back the Democrats' white genocide for a couple decades, but without adopting an aforementioned solution, clearly white genocide will complete. What is the plausible yet-unmentioned optimistic

scenario? Will anyone seriously argue that whites will remain the United States majority? Will anvone seriously argue that rightful white culture will maintain? It is always worth repeating: even if genocide could otherwise be staved off, why would anyone want to persist in this cultural nightmare?



Because Democrats encourage this, our nation is definitely doomed.

nightmare? Admittedly, Trump's patriotism and political incorrectness have given us reprieve, but without at least the reclamation of academia, the cultural Marxism virus will always prevail— it is physically impossible for leftist brains to resist infection, and cultural Marxism's destructive dynamic is far too powerful. How can anyone fight these Democrats that can simply say "racist" to



remove all defense against their psychotic destruction of all that is beautiful and just? While minimal a few decades ago, social and cultural conflict will now dominate our zeitgeist until white genocide is complete. Black and Hispanic violence will continue to encroach on communities nationwide. Inflation will soar, Infrastructure will worsen. Healthcare quality will lessen, and become increasingly expensive and unavailable. Education will further dumb down. The members of unsuccessful separatist movements will retreat into unofficial monoethnic enclaves that will be demonized and constantly threatened with horrific forced integration as just occurred in September 2024 in the town of Springfield, Ohio where the Democrats horrifically injected 20,000 Haitians into a town of 60,000 mostly whites-- an action of the purest deepest evil. The white race is now dying. Within twenty years will come the day Democrats cheer newspaper headlines that declare whites an official minority. Heinous unfounded anti-white racism will then claim an official mandate. Whites will now be brutally murdered more than ever before. Even the most modest New England and Midwest hamlets will be consumed by Spanish, hip-hop, and mosques. The white race

is now dying. From now on, once philosophically complex elections will be simple racial headcounts. Even the most racially insensitive whites will now realize that every second person they see is not white; and thus, one



by one every white American, even the typical asinine Democrat, will experience the sudden anxiety that his homeland is no longer his. The entire sickening reality will flash in his mind. It is this emotional shock that was needed for him to wake from his intellectual sickness, but now it is too late. The white race is now dying. How can this be possible? We've been so productive and compassionate. Why didn't others do anything about it? What can we do about it now? There is nothing the white race can do about it now. The white race is now

dying. Deepest justified racism will swell in him. Confusion will overwhelm him. His world is suddenly an inescapable horror. The white race's death is close now. The white amniotic fluid has been destroyed. The white homeland has been usurped. The last strands of white DNA are miscegenated. The white genocide is complete. The white race that contributed more to global quality of life than any other race by far, manifested more compassion and generosity than any other race by far, that painstakingly created science and art of unparalleled brilliance was savagely murdered because its biological defenses were stripped by diabolically evil Democrat cultural Marxism infection.

#### Whites, Your Fate Is Up to You.



White DNA expression can still be saved.

The white race does not have to die this way because it can choose, at least, to implement a separatist solution. All it takes is a regional move, and reasonable political activity, and for white leaders to make themselves known.

It is time for the white race to take responsibility for its dire plight by taking charge of its own destiny. The

United States was founded by whites, has always been a white majority nation, and still is a white majority nation. Until cultural Marxism gained the upper hand in 2008, whites have always rightfully, proudly, and vocally championed indigenous United States culture. The founding majority still lives here with 200,000,000 strong! Wake up!

As explained, whites have the strongest of moral high-grounds. When confronted by Democrat monsters, stop being afraid. Learn to stand up to them by voicing truth. Whites have the moral right to voice outrage at the unfounded astounding anti-white racism that has flooded America, and whites have the moral right to condemn all those that support it. Whites have the absolute moral right to explain that income inequality and crime rates are overwhelmingly caused by racial IQ differences and temperaments. Whites have a moral right to tell blacks the fact that overall, whites have treated blacks with outstanding compassion, and thus whites completely reject the evil false oppression narrative. Whites absolutely have the moral highground to declare the fact that there exists no systemic anti-black racism in America, and whites absolutely have the moral high-ground to condemn both the ridiculous racist police-brutality narrative, and the evil police-murdering group Black Lives Matter. Whites absolutely have a moral and legal right to demand border protection and an immigration freeze for the lone, absolutely moral reason of preserving their culture and white majority. Whites absolutely have a moral and legal right to demand the abolishment of overtly oppressive "affirmative action". Whites absolutely have a moral right to defend their history, both written and in monuments. Whites absolutely have a moral right to honor the awesome courage and determination of the white explorer Christopher Columbus. Whites have an absolute moral right to expect and enjoy white media, and corporations have the absolute moral right to proudly produce white media. In short, whites have the absolute moral right to defend their native culture. Whites have the absolute moral right to voice these moral truths and opinions to the public. And if a minority is moral and honorable, they will support the whites.

Ghastly evil is unjustly shaming the white race into letting others steal its beautiful culture and civilization, and the process is causing irrefutably proven white genocide. Do not succumb to this genocide! Fight for your forefathers and children! Throughout its history the white race has manifested exceptional courage, thus whites need never question their courage— it's there. The white race must right

now access this courage or they will die; thus, the white race's most important element right now is clarity. Whites must right now gain clarity and act on it or they will fail in their naturally evident meaning of life which is the endurance of their DNA. Whites must act now to prevent the maximally evil theft of their all-important culture and homelands, and finally their extinction from the Earth.

#### What You Can Do Right Now

Right now there are two actions any moral human can do to combat the white genocide. Firstly, **propagate the following truths:** 

- 1. Racism is normal, moral, and healthy.
- 2. White Supremacy is a genocidal lie.
- 3. Race Realism is a proven fact.

The more public the declaration, the more effective it will be; however, it is clear that the leftist army of evil will attempt to destroy anyone that speaks these truths. If a white leader is to arise, he will prove himself by speaking these truths publicly. It is forgivable that the rest of the whites will use anonymous social media accounts.

The second action all moral people can do to combat the white genocide is to propagate this treatise and its title and internet address "TabooRaceBook.com" everywhere they can. Please propagate this treatise right now.

#### Hello Reader!

I hope you will find this book as relevant as I do. If this is the case, may you please submit a review of this book on Amazon.com? These reviews help the book propagate.

Thank you.

-Winston Smith